

## SITE ASSESSMENTS

### **Appendix 6 Detailed Assessment Proforma**

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 1 (0.31 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Almond Grove	Parish Bar Hill
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area within a residential area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS 193) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Area of informal playspace near to area of housing. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which a representation was received on this site.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:</p> <p>The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented:</p> <p>Sites of great value on lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 1 (0.31 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Almond Grove		Parish Bar Hill
	<p>a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased social activity</li> <li>• Increased physical activity</li> <li>• Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>• Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>• Attractive places to live, work, play, visit.</li> </ul>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. Amenity area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge to the village and contributes to the setting and views, it is only moderately attractive. The site contains several mature trees but it is rather nondescript and is not enclosed. It is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area within a housing estate. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area which forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. It makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has an informal recreational function but does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 1 (0.31 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of Almond Grove	<b>Parish</b> Bar Hill
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area within a residential area important to the area's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 2 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Acorn Avenue	Parish Bar Hill
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGA 194) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Area of informal playspace near to area of housing. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation and a representation was received on this site.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:</p> <p>The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who commented:</p> <p>Sites of great value on lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits,</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 2 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Acorn Avenue		Parish Bar Hill
	including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased social activity</li> <li>• Increased physical activity</li> <li>• Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>• Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>• Attractive places to live, work, play, visit.</li> </ul>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. Amenity area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides relief from the urban form of the village and contributes to the setting and views, it is only moderately attractive. It is a grassy site that is enclosed by hedgerows and contains several mature trees at its south end and a footpath to help form connections across this residential area of the village. However it lacks distinctive, aesthetically pleasing features. It is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area within a housing estate. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area which forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. It makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has an informal recreational function but does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 2 (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land east of Acorn Avenue	<b>Parish</b> Bar Hill
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area within a residential area important to the area's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 3 (0.67 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Appletrees	Parish Bar Hill
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area within a residential area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		<p>The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS195) with the council’s assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Area of informal playspace near to area of housing. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation, a representation was received on this site.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:</p> <p>The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who commented:</p> <p>Sites of great value on lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide</p>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 3 (0.67 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Appletrees		Parish Bar Hill
	<p>a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased social activity</li> <li>• Increased physical activity</li> <li>• Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>• Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>• Attractive places to live, work, play, visit.</li> </ul>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. Amenity area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides relief from the urban form of the village and contributes to the setting and views, it is only moderately attractive. The site is moderately attractive. It is a long, narrow grassy site that bisects several footpaths and contains several mature trees. It is enclosed by hedgerows and mature trees. However it lacks distinctive, aesthetically pleasing features. It is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area within a housing estate. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area which forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. It makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has an informal recreational function but does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 3 (0.67 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of Appletrees	<b>Parish</b> Bar Hill
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Central amenity area within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Central amenity area within a residential area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Central amenity area within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Central amenity area within a residential area important to the area's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 4 (1.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Bar Hill
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGA196) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Important area of open space within the village used by local community and valued. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 Landowner Consultation, a representation was received on this site.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:</p> <p>The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who commented:</p> <p>Sites of great value on lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 4 (1.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green		Parish Bar Hill
	natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased social activity</li> <li>• Increased physical activity</li> <li>• Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>• Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>• Attractive places to live, work, play, visit.</li> </ul>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It is not of 'particular local significance.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The village green is a central open space for the village, and is linked in function to the Recreation Ground. It is 'of particular local significance' to the community.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The village green is an active location, and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The village green is the central open space for the village, which contributes to the character and amenity of the village. It is linked in function to the Recreation Ground and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 5 (3.17 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Bar Hill
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		<p>The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGA197) with the council’s assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Recreational value to local community. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 Landowner Consultation, a representation was received on this site.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented: Especially large area which support football teams, village events and schools playing area.</p> <p>These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents</p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 5 (3.17 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Bar Hill
	since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased social activity</li> <li>• Increased physical activity</li> <li>• Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>• Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>• Attractive places to live, work, play, visit</li> </ul>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground and allotment area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site is large, tree-lined and centrally located and does enhance the rural character of the village and provides relief from the built form in a residential area. The site is moderately visually attractive with a well maintained grassy playing field, park for walking, a line of different types of trees at the perimeter providing semi-enclosure. It does not offer long views of locally valued landmarks or other features. It is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing a formal pitch area and a sports and social club. As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a village recreational ground and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground provides a formal pitch area and a sports and social club. It is the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 6 (0.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Little Meadow	Parish Bar Hill
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5</b> (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS198) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p>Submitted by Parish Council. Informal area of grassland within a housing estate which provides open space. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 Landowner Consultation, a representation was received on this site.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented:</p> <p>These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 6 (0.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Little Meadow		Parish Bar Hill
	<p>Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased social activity</li> <li>• Increased physical activity</li> <li>• Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>• Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>• Attractive places to live, work, play, visit</li> </ul>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides relief from the urban form in the village and contributes to the setting, it does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. The site contains 3 mature trees but it is rather nondescript and is not enclosed.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small area of land that can support only a limited informal amenity and recreational function within a housing estate. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area within a residential area. It has a limited recreational function and makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 6 (0.07 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of Little Meadow	<b>Parish</b> Bar Hill
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site does not have an important function in the village or in this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as PVAA.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 7 (1.06 Hectares)	Name of Site Land south of Viking Way	Parish Bar Hill
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Grassland between an industrial estate and a residential area.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS199) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA which comprises of an area of grassland with mature scattered trees. This is part of the green network in Bar Hill. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 landowner Consultation which led to a representation.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented:</p> <p>These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 7 (1.06 Hectares)	Name of Site Land south of Viking Way		Parish Bar Hill
	<p>since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966.</p> <p>Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased social activity</li> <li>• Increased physical activity</li> <li>• Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>• Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>• Attractive places to live, work, play, visit</li> </ul>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area located between an industrial estate and a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge to the west side of the village and relief from the Viking Business Park and contributes to the setting and views, it is only moderately attractive. It is a long, narrow grassy site that contains an abundance of colourful mature trees and a footpath. However it lacks any other features and is not enclosed. It is not of 'particular local significance.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area within a housing estate. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an amenity area located between an industrial estate and a residential area. It has a limited recreational function and makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 7 (1.06 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land south of Viking Way	<b>Parish</b> Bar Hill
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland between an industrial estate and a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland separating an industrial estate and a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character in terms of the separation of residential and industrial use
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland located between an industrial estate and a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland located between an industrial estate and a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space separating residential and industrial uses.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 8 (1 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments, south of Saxon Way	Parish Bar Hill
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the western periphery of the village..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS 200) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p>Submitted by Parish Council. Important recreational facility for the village used by the local community as allotments. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented:</p> <p>Allotment area of great importance to village residents, giving home grown produce, healthy lifestyle and like-minded people doing this activity.</p> <p>Offer enhanced opportunities for wildlife habitats and wildlife corridors and with the balancing pond offer climate change</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 8 (1 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments, south of Saxon Way		Parish Bar Hill
	<p>adaption eg flood alleviation.</p> <p>These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966.</p> <p>Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increased social activity</li> <li>- Increased physical activity</li> <li>- Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>- Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>- Attractive places to live, work, play, visit</li> </ul>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the western periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the western periphery of the village, the allotments provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments on the western periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and, although it's location provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 8 (1 Hectare)	<b>Name of Site</b> Allotments, south of Saxon Way	<b>Parish</b> Bar Hill
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Allotment area on the western periphery of the village.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 8 (1 Hectare)	<b>Name of Site</b> Allotments, south of Saxon Way	<b>Parish</b> Bar Hill
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Views towards west and north with no settlement nearby.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty with whether a frontage exists and view merits protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 9 (2 Hectares)	Name of Site Land south of Saxon Way	Parish Bar Hill
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Triangular wooded area with grassland on the southern periphery of the village..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS 201) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p>Submitted by Parish Council. Triangular wooded area with grassland. There are public rights of way following the boundary. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented:</p> <p>Offer enhanced opportunities for wildlife habitats and wildlife corridors and with the balancing pond offer climate change adaption e.g. flood alleviation.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 9 (2 Hectares)	Name of Site Land south of Saxon Way		Parish Bar Hill
	<p>These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966.</p> <p>Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increased social activity</li> <li>- Increased physical activity</li> <li>- Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>- Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>- Attractive places to live, work, play, visit</li> </ul>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Triangular wooded area with grassland on the southern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing a large area of accessible rural, in nature land. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the southern periphery of the village, the area's rural character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Woodland area will have a wildlife / biodiversity function. However, it is not 'of particular local significance'.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a triangular wooded area with grassland on the southern periphery of the village. Located adjacent to a residential area contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the area's rural character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 10 (5.57 Hectares)	Green areas bordering each side of the perimeter road	Bar Hill
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	No recent or current applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Green space part of the village's Green Infrastructure / masterplan and hence integral to the village..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> Yes - Remove area in Green Belt and designate remainder as ICF	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS 203) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p>Submitted by Parish Council. Green area surrounding village part of the green infrastructure of the master planning of the settlement and integral to the village. The area outside of the Green Belt meets the test for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: The area of this land that is outside of the Green Belt meets the tests for LGS and should be included in the local plan.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 10</b> (5.57 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Green areas bordering each side of the perimeter road</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Bar Hill</b>
	<p>Council who were in support and commented:                      Offer enhanced opportunities for wildlife habitats and wildlife corridors and with the balancing pond offer climate change adaption eg flood alleviation.</p> <p>These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966.</p> <p>Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increased social activity</li> <li>- Increased physical activity</li> <li>- Improvements to children's learning</li> <li>- Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging</li> <li>- Attractive places to live, work, play, visit</li> </ul>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Green space makes some contribution to the setting, character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge to the south side of the village and contributes to the setting, it does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It is a long, narrow site that contains a complete covering of colourful mature trees. However it lacks any other distinctive features. It is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Green space part of the village's Green Infrastructure / masterplan and hence integral to the village. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land is adjacent to a village's peripheral road and therefore cannot be said to provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises green space which is part of the village's Green Infrastructure / masterplan and hence integral to the village. It makes some contribution to the setting, character and amenity

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 10</b> (5.57 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Green areas bordering each side of the perimeter road</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Bar Hill</b>
		of the village but does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 10 (5.57 Hectares)	Name of Site Green areas bordering each side of the perimeter road		Parish Bar Hill
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
<b>Details:</b> Green space part of the village's Green Infrastructure / masterplan and hence integral to the village.			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Details:</b>		
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Details:</b>		
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Details:</b>		
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 10 (5.57 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Green areas bordering each side of the perimeter road	<b>Parish</b> Bar Hill
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Views towards Dry Drayton but with no settlement nearby.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty with whether a frontage exists and view merits protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 11 (0.14 Hectares)	Name of Site Church Close Nature Reserve	Parish Barton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Unofficial Nature Reserve.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS002) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p>Submitted by Parish Council. Treed area adjacent to the church. Currently used by parish as a nature reserve. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Barton Parish Council who were in support and commented:</p> <p>The Parish Council put forward this green area which it owns and is currently a nature reserve because it was felt to be a green asset to the village. However, there is one question which we have thought of at a very late stage. It had been intended originally that the area could eventually be used as an extension to the church burial ground. Would</p>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 11 (0.14 Hectares)	Name of Site Church Close Nature Reserve	Parish Barton
this still be permissible in a ' Local Green Space' ?		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Nature Reserve contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located, the small nature reserve provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Nature reserve will have a wildlife / biodiversity function and is also 'of particular local significance' to the local community.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a centrally located nature reserve which contributes to the character and amenity of the village and has an important wildlife and biodiversity function which is of 'particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the local community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 12 (0.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Hines Close	Parish Barton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area. Contributes to the street scene and setting for a number of residential properties..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS003) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This is a grassy area with mature trees on the southern boundary with Comberton Road. Adds rural character to village. The site is already within a larger area of PVAA that extends eastwards alongside the Comberton Road.</p> <p>Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014.</p> <p>Representations were made - rep numbers 64889, 64916 and 64976. Rep 64889 (Mrs Marjorie Ray Pratt) made no</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 12 (0.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Hines Close	Parish Barton
	<p>comments but was in support of the LGS designation for the site in the Local Plan. Rep 64916 was from CRFS Ltd (Mr Alistair Massarella) who was in support of the LGS designation in the Local Plan because “No objections as it is already covered by several other local parish covenants”. Rep 64976 was from I B Kingston who made no comments but was in support of the LGS designation for the site in the Local Plan.</p>	
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b></p>	<p><b>Amber</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge to the north west side of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties, it does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. The small site provides an attractive grassy site enclosed on its south side by a line of mature trees. However it lacks any other distinctive features. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.</p>
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b></p>	<p><b>Red</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site</p>
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b></p>	<p><b>Amber</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> It is a small area of land that can support only a limited informal amenity and recreational function within a housing estate.</p>
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b></p>	<p><b>Red</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area beside Comberton Road and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.</p>
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b></p>	<p><b>Red</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.</p>
<p><b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?</p>	<p><b>Red</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.</p>
<p><b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b></p>		<p><b>No</b></p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 12 (0.13 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Hines Close	<b>Parish</b> Barton
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area. Contributes to the street scene and setting for a number of residential properties.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Green space integral to the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Green space makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland located beside a road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 13 (0.22 Hectares)	Name of Site Elbourn Way South	Parish Bassingbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with some trees beside a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G04) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  Open publically accessible land. Located either side of Elbourn Way on eastern edge of village. Need to consider each side of road separately since village framework boundary follows the line of the road. Land south of the road is adjacent to a wooded area and within the village framework. It is open grassland near to housing. Meets criteria for both PVAA and LGS.  Council recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.  The 2013 consultation drew 4 supports and no comment for option G04.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation.  The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C -</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 13 (0.22 Hectares)	Name of Site Elbourn Way South		Parish Bassingbourn
		<p><b>Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 –</b> summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. One representation was received in support from Bassingbourn-cum-kneesworth Parish Council who commented that: they want to reiterate their support for the inclusion of this area as made in the original consultation.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass beside a residential area. Its openness does contribute to the street scene. Although it provides a break from the urban form at the north east of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties, it does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. The small grassy site is only semi-enclosed on 2 of its sides by mature trees and provides an open space adjacent to the wooded area to the west. However it lacks any other distinctive features. It is not of particular local significance.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, as an informal open space in a housing area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.</p>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.</p>	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 13 (0.22 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Elbourn Way South	<b>Parish</b> Bassingbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Small amenity area of grass with some trees beside a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area. Its openness does contribute to the street scene.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site does not have an important function in the village or in this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland located beside a road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 14 (0.80 Hectares)	Name of Site Elbourn Way North	Parish Bassingbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with a playarea beside a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G01) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p>Open publically accessible land. Located either side of Elbourn Way on eastern edge of village. Need to consider each side of road separately since village framework boundary follows the line of the road. Land north of road is outside village framework so could only be considered for LGS. Recreational value to community since grassy area including formal play equipment.</p> <p>Council recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The 2013 consultation drew 4 supports and one comment for option G01.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to one representation.</p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. One representation was received in</p>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 14 (0.80 Hectares)	Name of Site Elbourn Way North	Parish Bassingbourn
	support from Bassingbourn-cum-kneesworth Parish Council who commented that: they want to reiterate their support for the inclusion of this area as made in the original consultation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with a play area beside a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a break from the urban form at the north east of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties, it does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. The small grassy site is enclosed on its sides by hedgerows and provides an open space plus a children's play area. However it lacks any other distinctive features. It is not of particular local significance.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, including a formal children's play area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the northern periphery of the village, the grassland with play area provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area with a play area located on the northern periphery of the village beside a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function, makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and the location in a remote area provides some feeling of tranquilly. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 14 (0.80 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Elbourn Way North		<b>Parish</b> Bassingbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland with a play area beside a residential area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 14 (0.80 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Elbourn Way North	<b>Parish</b> Bassingbourn
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Most of the site is outside the development framework.
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Views towards north and east with no settlement nearby.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 15 (0.18 Hectares)	Name of Site Fortune Way	Parish Bassingbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with a playarea within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G02) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p>Open publically accessible land adjacent to housing. Recreational value to community since grassy area including formal play equipment on edge of village. Within village framework. Meets criteria for both PVAA and LGS. Council recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The 2013 consultation drew 6 supports and no comment for option G02.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner consultation which led to a representation. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. One representation was received in support from Bassingbourn-cum-kneesworth Parish Council who commented that:</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 15 (0.18 Hectares)	Name of Site Fortune Way	Parish Bassingbourn
		they want to reiterate their support for the inclusion of this area as made in the original consultation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with a play area within a residential area. Its openness and landscaped boundaries do contribute to the street scene, and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge at the north east of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties at Fortune Way, it does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. The small grassy site is only semi-enclosed on its north and east sides by mature trees for which it provides a setting and it provides an open space plus a small area with children's play equipment. However it lacks any other distinctive aesthetically pleasing features. It is not of particular local significance.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, including a formal children's play area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land too small to provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area with a play area within a residential area. Its openness and landscaped boundaries do contribute to the street scene and it has a limited amenity and recreational function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 15 (0.18 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Fortune Way	<b>Parish</b> Bassingbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland with a playarea within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Enclosed play area with grassland for children to run about beside a residential road.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Enclosed play area with grassland for children to run about beside a residential road. Its openness and landscaped boundaries do contribute to the street scene.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Enclosed play area and grassland beside a residential road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Enclosed play area and grassland beside a residential road.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	Name of Site The Rouses	Parish Bassingbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Large grassed field.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G03) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>Identified in 'Issues and Options 1' consultation as Site Option 39 –amber site. This site consists of an agricultural field and the house and garden at 60 Spring Lane. The site adjoins housing to the north, west and east. The site adjoins Ford Wood to the south a Woodland Trust area open to the public. A footpath runs along part of this southern boundary giving access to this rural edge of the village. Willmott Playing Field is to the north and there is to be an extension to the playing fields on land adjoining the site. The site is close to a number of Grade II listed buildings. Site is outside of village framework and therefore could not be PVAA. Council Recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</i></p> <p>The 2013 consultation drew 15 supports, 1 objection and 1 comment for option G03.</p> <p><i>The only objection came from Cambridgeshire County Council, who claim it does not meet all the tests. Agricultural field – not special to community. Site is highly sustainable for future development. Support for the option, including from Parish Council. Parish Council claim valued as a</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	Name of Site The Rouses	Parish Bassingbourn
<p><i>green, tranquil area and used for informal recreation. Site forms part of the setting of Listed Buildings and the Conservation Area. Site meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and the 2013 submission consultation led to: Support LGS from 71 respondents. Comments included: <i>Open access including informal paths leading to Ford Wood, Willmott playing field and South End. Setting for listed buildings. Undisturbed meadow area. Rich in wildlife. Development of site would harm character and appearance of historic part of village. Surviving relic of village's manorial / field system. Site of Rowses manor house, recorded as vacant 1589. Valuable village amenity – used by many for informal recreation / meeting place / dog walkers. Green space near centre of village. Additional recreational land needed by Bassingbourn-cum-Kneesworth.</i></p> <p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>. Site was addressed in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p> <p>Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014: The representation (rep no.64932) from Cambs County Council was objecting and proposed amending the boundary and commented that: <i>Of the three tests in NPPF the site fails on the second and third.</i> <i>The site is a featureless agricultural field and the Council has not shown that the site is 'demonstrably special' to the community or of particular local significance.</i> <i>The site is well located within the village and has development potential. A LGS designation would prevent development which is inconsistent with national policy on enabling sustainable development.</i> <i>Part of the site is considered to be an extension to the playing fields, benefiting the village and can be designated a LGS.</i> <i>The Inspector is respectfully requested to exclude the designation from the Local Plan with the exception of the area of land shown on the attached plan.</i></p> <p>Council Response in 2015: The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</p>		



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	Name of Site The Rouses	Parish Bassingbourn
<p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council’s Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 28-9 where the following question was considered: SC4C.vi.</p> <p><i>Should the boundary of the LGS designation at The Rouses, Bassingbourn be amended to exclude the land between Clear Farm and Knutsford Road which sits immediately to the south of the playground and Riverdale House and extends southward to the point where the overall site widens considerably to the west? Would this area, which is an agricultural field, meet the criteria for designation as a LGS particularly in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance? Would the designation of this parcel of land be consistent with achieving sustainable development in the area? (NH/12-016)</i></p> <p><i>The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the three issues included within it:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>a. Should be the boundary of the Rouses LGS be amended?</i></li> <li><i>b. Would this area which is an agricultural field meet the criteria?</i></li> <li><i>c. Would designation be consistent with achieving sustainable development?</i></li> </ul> <p><i>The Rouses LGS (NH/12-016) was proposed by the Bassingbourn Parish Council as they considered the site is valued by the local community as a green, tranquil area and that it is used for informal recreation. The site forms part of the setting of listed buildings and the conservation area and is part of the historic core of the village. During the Proposed Submission consultation 71 respondents supported the inclusion of the whole site as a LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The full assessment of this site is in the note on the Targeted Consultation with Landowners of Local Green Space.</i></p> <p><i>An objection has been received to this LGS from the owners of this land who are Cambridgeshire County Council. The County Council has proposed an amended boundary and was considered in the Targeted Consultation with Landowners of LGS – Appendix D.</i></p> <p><i>Bassingbourn Parish Council has submitted further detailed evidence to support the designation of this LGS (See Appendix 4). The PC has set out why this LGS meets all the criteria for being a LGS. It is demonstrably special to the local community reflected by the fact that some 70 respondents supported its inclusion in the Local Plan. It has a long history of being used as a meeting place for the local</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	Name of Site The Rouses	Parish Bassingbourn
<p><i>community.</i></p> <p><u>Should the boundary be amended?</u>  <i>The suggested amendment to the LGS boundary described in the above question is not that proposed by the objector. The objector's proposal is to exclude the whole of LGS NH/016 – the Rouses LGS and to allow the land currently leased by the County Council to the Parish Council, immediately to the south of the Bassingbourn Recreation Ground to remain in LGS NH/018. The objectors recognise that the village benefits from this leased land as these forms an extension to the current recreation ground. The land which is proposed for exclusion from the LGS is an agricultural field which the objector does not consider meets the criteria for being a LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The Council do not consider that the boundary should be amended as the Rouses LGS is valued by the local community as is set out in Bassingbourn PC's statement in Appendix 4. It forms a important green edge to the village bringing countryside up to the built form of Bassingbourn and alongside the two adjoining LGS of the Recreation Ground and Ford Wood is an important part of the village that if developed would have an adverse impact on the setting of both the wood and recreation ground.</i></p> <p><u>Would this area meet the criteria for LGS as it is an agricultural field?</u>  <i>Bassingbourn Parish Council submitted the larger site as they considered that it had value as an informal area for recreation and is a green, tranquil area. The Inspector examining the Local Plan 1993 considered the land at Clear Farm to be wholly open in character and that development of this land would be harmful to the character and appearance of this part of the village.</i></p> <p><i>The whole LGS forms an area open in character which is valued by the local community and if developed would alter the character of this edge of Bassingbourn impacting on both the adjacent LGSs to the north and south. The PC considers that the Rouses has a particular local significance due to its tranquillity, its recreational use and its historic setting. It is close to the high street but retains a quiet charm. Footpaths cross the site and are well used by local residents for walks and dog walking. Due to its limited size it has rarely been used for agricultural purposes. It is the site of one of the original manors in the village and is close to a number of listed buildings providing a historic setting.</i></p> <p><u>Would the designation of this land be consistent with achieving sustainable development in the area?</u>  <i>The site proposed by the representor for exclusion from the LGS is outside of the village framework in both the adopted</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	Name of Site The Rouses	Parish Bassingbourn
	<p><i>LDF and the Submitted Local Plan, and therefore would not be suitable for development other than as a rural exception site for affordable housing.</i></p> <p><i>The whole site was included as a site option for housing (Site Option 39) in the Issues and Options consultation in 2012/73 where it was considered as a site with limited development potential. The site was rejected as an option for a housing allocation in the Submission Local Plan. As addressed in paragraph 117 above, the designation of LGS in the plan will not prevent the council from delivering a sustainable development strategy which meets identified development needs.</i></p> <p><i>The PC in their supporting evidence consider that other sites within the village have come forward for housing development and that the site should remain as LGS. Its designation would not in itself be a barrier to development elsewhere in Bassingbourn. (See Appendix 4).</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u>  <b>LGS Site 016-The Rouses, Bassingbourn</b>                      The site is essentially a fairly large grassed field with an overhead power line running diagonally across it. It is located between two of the other proposed LGS designations (NH/12-017 and 018) and is contiguous with both, but is materially different in character to them. From my observations, there is nothing demonstrably special in respect of this site which would merit the LGS designation, having regard to the criteria set out above.</p>	
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:  <b>Beauty</b></p>	<p><b>Amber</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Grassed field with power lines overhead makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge at the north east of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties at South End Street, Knutsford Road and Spring Lane. It does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. The open agricultural field adjoins Ford Wood to the south which is a Woodland Trust area open to the public. A footpath runs along part of this southern boundary of the site giving access to this rural edge of the village. It is only semi-enclosed by hedgerows. It lacks any other distinctive aesthetically pleasing features. It is not of particular local significance. This reflects</p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	Name of Site The Rouses	Parish Bassingbourn
		the Inspectors interim findings.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is located near to a number of listed buildings, and adjoins the Conservation area. The Parish Council have also highlighted historic connections with a village manor. Whilst the site has historic connections, it does not pass the high bar of having a 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> A public right of way runs along part of the southern boundary, and there is a public right of way through part of the field linking to the recreation ground. Parish report it is well used by dog walkers. However there is no formal usage of this field for recreation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the southern periphery of the village, the grassed field provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The Inspectors have provided interim conclusions regarding this site. Having considered the evidence submitted they did not consider that the site warranted LGS status. No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a grassed field on the southern edge of the village which clearly has some value to the village, contributing to the village character and the setting of a number of listed buildings and the adjoining conservation area. It also has an amenity value, providing access to the countryside and links to Ford Wood. However, this field itself does not have a particular local significance to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Rouses		<b>Parish</b> Bassingbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Large grassed field		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Rouses	<b>Parish</b> Bassingbourn
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 17 (2.23 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Ford Wood	<b>Parish</b> Bassingbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Local wood..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS05) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Wood managed by the Woodland Trust on southern edge of village. Created in 1995 when trees planted entirely by volunteers in grass field as part of the Cambridgeshire Woodland Fund project. Well used by local community. Public footpath runs along northern boundary of woodland. Meets the tests for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.</i>  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which did not lead to any representations.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The Woodland Trsut describe the site as: A volunteer-planted wood of mainly ash and

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 17 (2.23 Hectares)	Name of Site Ford Wood	Parish Bassingbourn
<b>Recreational value</b>		field maple, part of Ford Wood is an old orchard and large hedgerows enclose the trees. A well used path runs through the wood and numerous paths link small grassy glades. It is of 'particular local significance' to the local community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the southern periphery of the village, the wooded area's character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Woodland area will have a wildlife / biodiversity function. However, it is not 'of particular local significance'.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. A wooded area located on the southern periphery of the village, planted by volunteers in 1995. It contributes significantly to the character and amenity of the village, has a wildlife and biodiversity function, as well as providing a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 18 (2.51 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Bassingbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA01) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is the recreation ground within Bassingbourn village which is already a PVAA. It has recreational value to the local community. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i></p> <p>The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to one representation.</p> <p>The site was addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>:</p> <p>There was a representation in support from Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth Parish Council who stated that they wanted:</p> <p><i>to reiterate their support for the inclusion of this area as</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 18 (2.51 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground		Parish Bassingbourn
		<i>made in the original consultation.</i>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty as it is visually attractive, adds to the setting of surrounding buildings and offers long views through the settlement and of local features.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a village recreational ground and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 19a (0.23 Hectares)	Name of Site Hall Close Playground (inside Village Boundary)	Parish Bourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal play area on the edge of a residential area.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS006) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Important informal play spaces for younger children. Mown grass with well-established trees along northern boundary which forms part of Riddy Lane which is a County Wildlife site. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The Council recommendation in 2013 was:            Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p> <p>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 19 into two halves. Site 19a is now the area inside the village boundary.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 19a</b> (0.23 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Hall Close Playground (inside Village Boundary)</b>	Parish <b>Bourn</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal play area with mature trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge at the south west of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties at Hall Close and the Bourn Church of England Primary School. It does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It's a grassy area with a small amount of children's play equipment. The site is dominated by attractive mature trees which provide semi-enclosure on 3 sides with one side running parallel to Riddy Lane (a public right of way) but lacks any other distinctive features. It is not of particular local significance.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal play area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small informal play area on the edge of the village and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a play area with mature trees adjacent to a residential area. It has only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and does have a recreational function and does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 19a (0.23 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Hall Close Playground	<b>Parish</b> Bourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Informal play area on the edge of a residential area	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of informal open space in a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of grassland in a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the western periphery of the village beside a residential area	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important, tranquil amenity space on the edge of the village.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 19b (0.35 Hectares)	Name of Site Hall Close Playground (outside Village Boundary)	Parish Bourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal play area on the edge of a residential area.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 19 into two halves. Site 19a is now the area inside the village boundary. 19b is the area outside the village boundary to the north of 19a. For information for site considerations through plan making for site 019b, please refer to that for site 019a (above) when it was part of the larger site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal play area with mature trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge at the south west of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties at Hall Close and the Bourn Church of England Primary School. It does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It's a grassy area with a small amount of children's play equipment. The site is dominated by attractive mature trees which provide semi-enclosure on 3 sides with one side running parallel to Riddy Lane (a public right of way) but lacks any other distinctive features. It is not of particular local significance.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 19b (0.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Hall Close Playground (outside Village Boundary)	<b>Parish</b> Bourn
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal play area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small informal play area on the edge of the village and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a play area with mature trees adjacent to a residential area. It has only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and does have a recreational function and does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 19b</b> (0.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Hall Close Playground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Bourn</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Informal play area on the edge of a residential area	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 19b (0.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Hall Close Playground (outside Village Boundary)	<b>Parish</b> Bourn
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Views towards west are over open fields and towards north include farm buildings.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 20 (0.12 Hectares)	Name of Site Hall Close Green	Parish Bourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS007) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Important informal play spaces for younger children. Mown grass with very few trees along the edge. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The Council recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides a break from the urban form at the south west of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties at Hall Close. It does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It's a small grassy site containing 2 birch trees and includes residents' car parking bays on the north and south sides of the site. The

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 20 (0.12 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Hall Close Green	<b>Parish</b> Bourn
		trees are the only feature apart from the grass and the appearance of the site is marred by the presence of cars in the parking bays. It is not of particular local significance.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area within a housing estate. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 20 (0.12 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Hall Close Green	<b>Parish</b> Bourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of informal open space in a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of grassland in a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of grassland in a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space within a residential area.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 21 (1.72 Hectares)	Name of Site Jubilee Recreation Ground	Parish Bourn
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS008) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Significant value for recreation use as only area for outdoor sport. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</i></p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of village as it is positioned close to the entry point at the north end of the village. It adds to the setting of surrounding residential buildings and offers long views through the village to the south and beyond the settlement to the north but there are no views of locally valued landmarks or other features. The site is visually attractive with a well maintained grassy playing field, park for walking,

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 21 (1.72 Hectares)	Name of Site Jubilee Recreation Ground	Parish Bourn
		a line of different types of trees, at the perimeter provide an enclosed space. It is not of particular local significance.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)	Name of Site Camping Close	Parish Bourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Grassland adjacent to Bourn Brook..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No - Site has already been reduced in size following concerns raised by farm who owned part of the site.	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	In regular use by local community
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS009) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Used by walkers (especially the dog walking community) and has become an informal meeting place; it is also a very important flood plain for the village. Beautiful area of green space valued by community. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The Council Recommendation in 2013 was Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to representations.</p> <p>The site was addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>:                      – a summary of reps from Saunderson &amp; Co for rep numbers 64899; 64900; 64901; and 64910 is mentioned below. There was also a rep from an individual (James</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)	Name of Site Camping Close	Parish Bourn
	<p>Davies) for rep 64904 whose comments are summarised as  <i>“The proposed Local Green Space designation for land adjacent to Camping Close Bourn does not comply with the NPPF and supporting NPPG and should be removed. A failure to amend the plan and the proposals map on this basis will render the local plan unsound. The respondent is aware of the submission by the owners of Camping Close and fully supports their objections and proposed revised boundary for the Local Green Space designation”.</i></p> <p>The representations received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014 were from Saunderson &amp; Co. Rep numbers. 64899; 64900; 64901; and 64910. They objected for these 5 reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Boundary put forward by Parish Council is inaccurate and if designation is not removed it should be amended.</i></li> <li>2. <i>Scale of proposed site is unreasonable ( contrary to Paragraph 77 of the NPPF)</i></li> <li>3. <i>Land does not meet criteria of Local Green Space designation in NPPG.</i></li> <li>4. <i>Land already protected as within conservation area and flood plain, curtilage of two listed buildings and has two rights of way (protected under Highway Act 1980)</i></li> <li>5. <i>Not sound consultation process regarding this proposal which renders it unsound.</i></li> </ol> <p><b>In appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>, the Council Response in 2015 with recommended change where appropriate:  <i>The site was originally proposed by Bourn Parish Council during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. The landowners are concerned that the extent of the LGS designation is contrary to the guidance in the NPPF. The land is already protected as it is within the conservation area and in the flood plain. The owners consider that by having this designation on their land it could impact on the future uses they may wish to carry out affecting the economic viability of their farm. They are supportive of the LGS policy but have taken the opportunity during the consultation to submit a revised boundary for the site. If the boundary is not amended they have requested that the whole LGS designation be removed from their land. The main area of special character is the open area adjacent to Bourn Brook which is a well used local route for informal recreation. The wider open field objected to by the landowner has less special character. A smaller site would be more acceptable to the landowners who are supportive of the policy but not the scale of the original LGS proposed for their land. The Parish Council is supportive of the amendment.</i></p> <p><i>Recommendation: Amend the boundary of the Camping Close, Bourn LGS to include just the area adjacent to Bourn Brook.</i></p>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)	Name of Site Camping Close	Parish Bourn
	<p>Site was addressed specifically in <b>Matter SC4 paper (November 2016)</b> on pages 31-32:  <i>SC4C.vii - Would Camping Close, Bourn meet the designation criteria for LGS given its overall size, its agricultural nature and the protection which is already afforded to the site through its relationship to designated heritage assets including a conservation area? In this regard, should consideration therefore be given to amending the boundary so as to designate a smaller parcel of land in close proximity to Camping Close? (NH/12-022)</i></p> <p><i>The Council has since the Local Plan was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. The site was originally proposed by Bourn Parish Council during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. The owners of this site during the targeted landowner consultation in 2014 expressed their concern at the extent of the LGS designation believing it to be contrary to the guidance in the NPPF. They stated that the land is already protected as it is within the conservation area and in the flood plain. The owners considered that by having this designation on their land it could impact on the future uses they may wish to carry out affecting the economic viability of their farm. They are supportive of the LGS policy but took the opportunity during targeted consultation to submit a revised boundary for the site. If the boundary is not amended they have requested that the whole LGS designation be removed from their land.</i></p> <p><i>The main area of special character is the open area adjacent to Bourn Brook which is a well used local route for informal recreation. This smaller area clearly meets the tests used by the Council for LGS designation and reflects the criteria provided by the NPPF. The wider open field objected to by the landowner has less special character. A smaller site would be more acceptable to the landowners who are supportive of the policy but not the scale of the original LGS proposed for their land. The Parish Council is supportive of the amendment. (See Appendix 4).</i></p> <p><i>In the report to the South Cambridgeshire Planning Portfolio Holder on 10 March 2015 it was agreed to amend the boundary of the site of the Camping Close, Bourn LGS to include just the area adjacent to Bourn Brook (see Note to the Inspectors on the Targeted Consultation with Landowners of LGS). This is proposed as a modification to the Policies Map.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has proposed to amend the boundary of the LGS and has met the objectors concerns. This modification was submitted to the inspectors on 5 July 2016 with the note about the targeted consultation with landowners.</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)	Name of Site Camping Close	Parish Bourn
	<i>Bourn Parish Council has submitted further evidence of their support for this site meeting the tests as a LGS but with the amended boundary put forward as a modification following the targeted consultation with landowners of LGS. (See Appendix 4).</i>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland beside Bourn Brook makes a contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides a break from the urban form at the north east of the village and contributes to the setting of Manor farm but does not contribute to the setting of residential properties. It does contribute to views across the village but it is only moderately attractive. It features a well maintained grassy area and public footpath. It is neatly enclosed by hedgerows but lacks any distinctive features. It is not of particular local significance.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The western part of the site is within the Conservation area and the curtilage of two listed buildings. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing a well used informal amenity through public rights of way beside Bourn Brook. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located beside Bourn Brook, the open grassland provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises grassland beside Bourn Brook. It is partly within the conservation area and curtilage of two listed buildings and makes a contribution to the character and amenity of the village, as well as providing informal recreation and a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2015) to include a

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Camping Close	<b>Parish</b> Bourn
		smaller area close to Bourn Brook]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 22 (1.40 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Camping Close	<b>Parish</b> Bourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
<b>Details:</b> Grassland adjacent to Bourn Brook.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Camping Close	<b>Parish</b> Bourn
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 23 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Access to Camping Close	Parish Bourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Agricultural field / meadow.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS010) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Access to Camping Close and has wildlife significance because its hedges support a population of White-Letter Hairstreak butterflies. Meets the tests for LGS. The Councils Recommendation in 2013 was Include in local plan as LGS.</i></p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>:  <i>The respondent supports the proposed local green space over their land. Land has been in ownership of Haggars family for generations. The respondent is the last surviving member of the family and desires that after she has gone the community will benefit from the land. Believe the land</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 23 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Access to Camping Close	Parish Bourn
<i>has particular local significance because of its beauty, tranquillity and recreational value.</i>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Makes a contribution to the rural setting of the village and provides a break from the urban form at the north east of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties to the south. It does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It is grassy land enclosed by trees and hedgerows but it lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features. It is not 'of particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is a public right of way through this site, providing access to the Bourn Brook. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located close to Bourn Brook, the open grassland provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Hedgerows contain White-Letter Hairstreak butterflies, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site makes a contribution to the character and amenity of the village, provides informal recreation and a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It's hedgerows also provide a wildlife value. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 23 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Access to Camping Close	Parish Bourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Agricultural field / meadow	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 23 (0.36 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Access to Camping Close	<b>Parish</b> Bourn
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 24 (5.77 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Caldecote
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G05) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>The sports field is located on the western edge of the village outside of the village framework and therefore could not be considered as a PVAA. It has recreational value to the local community. Site meets test for only LGS.</i> The Council Recommendation in 2013 was to include in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of village, providing a soft edge to the residential development and borders the Bucket Hill wood plantation and County Wildlife site. It offers long views through the village to the north and east and is fairly visually attractive with a well

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 24 (5.77 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Caldecote
		maintained grassy playing field, a park for walking, a line of mature trees, at the perimeter on 3 of its sides provide a semi-enclosed open space. It is not 'of particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a village recreational ground and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	There are current applications but these are for discharge of conditions for the wider area rather than specific to the site (Plot 4010 at the business park and Parcels 5A,5B and 5C) and the original Cambourne application from 1992.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees with a drainage pond beside a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way, Cambourne site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G06) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is an area of grassland with trees and a pond that is accessible to the public. Having a pond it has a value for wildlife. It is within the village and adds character. It meets the criteria for being a PVAA.</i></p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 7 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The council recommendation in 2013 was Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way	Parish Cambourne
		<p>addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>In their rep 64934, MCA Developments Limited state: Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations;</i></li> <li>- <i>Not 'effective' as applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance' ;</i></li> <li>- <i>Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS not been undertaken and as such consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties;</i></li> <li>- <i>Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.</i></li> </ul> <p>The Council's Response in 2015: <i>The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area.</i> <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. <i>Would the LGS designations relating to (a) Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way, Cambourne (NH/12-025), (b) Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School, Cambourne (NH/12-26), (c) Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane, Cambourne (2) (NH/12-027), Land east of Stirling way, Cambourne (NH/12-028), (d) Land east of Sterling way, North of Brace Dein, Cambourne (NH/12-029), (e) Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane, Cambourne (NH/12-030), (f) Land east of Greenbank,</i></p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
	<p><i>Cambourne (NH/12-031), (g) Land north of School Lane, west of Broad Street, Cambourne (NH/12-032) (h) Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane, Cambourne (1) (NH/12-033) (i) Land north of Great Common Farm, west of Broadway, Cambourne (NH/12-034), (j) Large areas within village and around edge of village, Cambourne (NH/12-035) and (k) Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space, Cambourne (NH/12-036) meet the designation criteria for LGS as the LGS sites are, in most cases, extensive tracts of land and the defined development frameworks already afford protection against future development?</i></p> <p><i>Each of these sites in Cambourne have been assessed individually to review if they meet the test for designation as LGS. The Council has considered that once assessed unless there are new issues raised during the targeted consultation with landowners of LGS carried out in 2014 that affect the assessments of these sites or where it can be shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the sites designated should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p><i>The master planning of Cambourne as a new settlement included as a fundamental part of its design the inclusion of a network of green spaces which link the three villages that together form Cambourne. These green areas are a combination of land with different characteristics from village greens to allotments, from the bridleway that surrounds Cambourne to play areas and recreation grounds. The NPPG states that new residential areas can include green areas that were planned as part of the development, and where they are demonstrably special and hold particular local significance could be designated as LGS. The Parish Council submitted this green network as being space that it valued by the local community as it is an integral part of the character of this relatively new settlement.</i></p> <p><i>Objections to some of these sites have suggested that it would be more appropriate if some were identified as Protected Village Amenity Areas (NH/12- 025; 028; 029; 030; and 035). The Council has not designated any additional PVAAs in the emerging Local Plan as it is intended that existing PVAAs will be reviewed and tested to see if they meet the criteria for LGS when the Local Plan for the district is next reviewed. PVAA designation will be superseded by the new LGS policy.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of these sites should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way		Parish Cambourne
	<p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u></p> <p>Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3rd bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that "blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a 'back door' way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name". As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with pond within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It does provide a break from the urban form at a residential area of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties on its south and east sides (Jeavons Lane), and north side (Monkfield Lane). It does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It is grassy land with a pond and there are a few scattered young trees across the site but it lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features. It is not 'of particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate surrounded by roads and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site has a pond so therefore has some value for wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area with pond within a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has some value for wildlife. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
		high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way		<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>		
	<b>Details:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees with a drainage pond beside a residential area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees with a drainage pond.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees with a drainage pond contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate surrounded by roads and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 26 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	There are current applications but these are for discharge of conditions for the wider area rather than specific to the site (Plot 4010 at the business park and Parcels 5A,5B and 5C) and the original Cambourne application from 1992.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Local wood with a path around the perimeter..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b>	map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School, site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G07) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>This is a wooded area accessible to the public with a footpath through it. It provides an opportunity for wildlife within an urban area. It is within the village and adds character. It meets the criteria for being a PVAA.</i>                      Council Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.                      The consultation in 2013 drew 7 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore not addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 26 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School		Parish Cambourne
	<p>for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council’s Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See site NH/12 - 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors’ Interim Findings March 2017</u></p> <p>Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that “blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a ‘back door’ way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name”. As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> This is a wooded area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides a break from the urban form at a central point in the village. The site adds to setting of the residential buildings surrounding the south and west sides of the site and Jeavons Wood Primary School to its east. It does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It is heavily populated with mature trees across the site but it lacks any attractive entry points or distinctive aesthetically pleasing features. It is 'of particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function providing a small woodland in an urban area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The area provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 26 (0.41 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Woodland area has a wildlife / biodiversity function, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a wooded area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreational function, provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, as well as having some value for wildlife. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 26 (0.41 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	
	<b>Details:</b> Local wood with a path around the perimeter.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small wooded area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area which contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small wooded area at the junction of two roads.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 27 (0.15 Hectares)	Name of Site Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Amber</b>	An application for a new sports centre (S/6427/07/RM) was approved in Sept 2007. There are several recently approved and current applications for discharge of conditions for earlier applications for the wider area rather than being specific to this LGS si
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small open space adjacent to sports hall and large area of open space..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties and village areas
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane site was assessed in the Sustainability <b>Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G08) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>Small area of rough grassland within village framework behind the Sports Centre. It is open and accessible to the public.</i></p> <p>The Council Recommendation in 2013 was include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 6 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore not addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 27 (0.15 Hectares)	Name of Site Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane		Parish Cambourne
	<p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council’s Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See site NH/12 - 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors’ Interim Findings March 2017</u></p> <p>Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that “blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a ‘back door’ way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name”. As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area, makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site only makes a modest contribution to the setting and views of local features and so does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to a lack of visual attractiveness as a whole, lack of distinctiveness and enclosure. It is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is adjacent to a large village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The amenity area forms a part of the recreation ground and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 27 (0.15 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
		special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 28 (0.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Sterling Way	Parish Cambourne
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	None	
<p>The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?</p>	<p><b>Green</b></p> <p>Numerous recently approved and current applications for discharge of conditions for earlier applications for the wider area and there is also the application for 950 dwellings approved in October 2011 (S/6438/07/O) for the wider area.</p>	
<p>Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b></p>	<p><b>Green</b></p> <p>Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> Small open space north of large area of open space. Has trees and a piece of public art..</p>	
<p>Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?</p>	<p><b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> No</p>	
<p>Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b></p>	<p><b>Green</b></p> <p>Close to residential properties</p>	
<p>Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?</p>	<p><b>Green</b></p> <p>Site submitted by Parish Council</p>	
<p>How was the site considered through the plan making process?</p>	<p>The Land east of Sterling Way site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G09) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>Triangular areas of open space north of the large area of informal open space within village framework of Upper Cambourne. It forms part of this larger green informal space separated only by a small road. It has trees planted within it and a piece of public art. It meets the criteria for being a PVAA.</i></p> <p>The Council Recommendation in 2013 was include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 6 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 28 (0.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Sterling Way	Parish Cambourne
<p>addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 <b>and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>In their rep 64937, MCA Developments Limited object. Not designate as LGS. In order to be consistent with other village greens in Cambourne should be PVAA. They state: Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations;</i></li> <li>- <i>Not 'effective' as applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance' ;</i></li> <li>- <i>Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS not been undertaken and as such consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties;</i></li> <li>- <i>Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.</i></li> </ul> <p>The Council's Response in 2015: <i>The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area. This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii – see site NH/12 - 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u> Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of</p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 28 (0.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Sterling Way	Parish Cambourne
	paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that “blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a ‘back door’ way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name”. As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site is only moderately visually attractive with a small area of grassy open land which has trees planted within it and a piece of public art. It is not especially distinctive and the site is not very well enclosed. It is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an play area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a play area in a housing estate adjacent to a large cricket pitch already designated as PVAA. Does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a play area within a residential area. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village and it has a limited amenity and recreational function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 28 (0.13 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land east of Sterling Way	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	
	<b>Details:</b> Small open space north of large area of open space. Has trees and a piece of public art.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small open space north of large area of open space. Has trees and a piece of public art.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small open space north of large area of open space. Has trees and a piece of public art, contributes to the character and amenity of the village	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area within a residential area	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 29 (2.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Sterling way, north of Brace Dein	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Numerous (over 30) recently approved and current applications for discharge of conditions for earlier applications for the wider area and there is also the application for 950 dwellings approved in October 2011 (S/6438/07/O) for the wider area.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large informal play area with large green area and play equipment.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Land east of Sterling Way, north of Brace Dein site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G10) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This is a large area within the village framework of Upper Cambourne. Provides informal green space for Upper Cambourne having large green area plus play equipment and public art located on it. It meets the criteria for being a PVAA.</i> The Council Recommendation in 2013 was include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 6 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 29 (2.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Sterling way, north of Brace Dein	Parish Cambourne
	<p><b>PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>In their rep 64938, MCA Developments Limited Object and suggest not designating as LGS to be consistent with other village greens in Cambourne should be designated as PVAA. They repeat what they wrote for reps 64937 and 64934. See above.</p> <p>The Council's Response in 2015: <i>The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area.</i> <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council's Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii – see site NH/12 - 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u></p> <p>Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that "blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a 'back door' way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name". As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides a break from the urban form at a residential area of Upper Cambourne and adds to setting of the residential

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 29 (2.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Sterling way, north of Brace Dein	Parish Cambourne
		buildings on its west side (Sterling Way) and east side (Mosquito Road) and the Vine Inter-Church Primary School on its south side. However the site does not offer long views through the centre of Cambourne to the west. The site is only moderately visually attractive with a large green area plus children’s play equipment, a footpath and public art. It is not especially distinctive and the site is not very well enclosed. It is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal play area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a village recreational ground and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a play area within a residential area. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village and it has a limited amenity and recreational function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 29 (2.3 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land east of Sterling way, north of Brace Dein	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	
	<b>Details:</b> Large informal play area with large green area and play equipment	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large informal play area with large green area and play equipment	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal play area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area and grassland beside a residential road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 30 (0.17 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane		Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	None		
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Several recently approved and current applications for discharge of conditions for earlier applications for the wider area.	
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area..		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No		
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G11) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>This site has the cricket pavilion and a children's play area and therefore it valued as a recreational area by the community. It is located next to an existing PVAA. Within village framework so could be considered as a PVAA.</i>                      The Council Recommendation in 2013 was include site in local plan as LGS.                      The consultation in 2013 drew 6 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting</b></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 30 (0.17 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane		Parish Cambourne
	<p><b>10/03/2015.</b> In their rep 64939, MCA Developments Limited suggest not designating as LGS. More appropriate to designate as PVAA. They repeat what they wrote for reps 64938, 64937 and 64934 etc. See above.</p> <p>The Council's Response in 2015: <i>The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area. This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii – see site NH/12 - 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u> Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that "blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a 'back door' way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name". As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal play area. However, it could	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 30 (0.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
		not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a play area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a play area within a residential area. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village and it has a limited amenity and recreational function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 30 (0.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	
	<b>Details:</b> Play area within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> lay area within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area and grassland beside a residential road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 31 (1.02 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Greenbank	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside the village boundary, but within LGS 35 which is adjoining. Easy footpath links to area.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties and nature reserve connected to village
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Land east of Greenbank site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G12) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>These are allotments located outside of the village framework of Cambourne and therefore could not be considered as a PVAA. As allotments they have a value to the local community. Site meets test for only LGS.</i></p> <p>Council Recommendation In 2013 was Include in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 5 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore not addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>, or <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 31 (1.02 Hectares)	Name of Site Land east of Greenbank		Parish Cambourne
	<p>meeting 10/03/2015.</p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council’s Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See site NH/12 - 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors’ Interim Findings March 2017</u> Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that “blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a ‘back door’ way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name”. As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the periphery of the village within a country park (site ref. NH/12-035c) which contributes to the character and amenity of the village by providing a tranquil area. The site comprises large allotment gardens with walking paths and clear definition to the allotments and it lacks any distinctive enclosure.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located within the country park (site ref. NH/12-035c), the allotments provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site comprises an area of allotments within, and indistinguishable from the country park (site ref. NH/12-035c).	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 32 (1.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of School Lane, west of Broad Street	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Recently approved or current applications relate to discharge of conditions for earlier applications on the wider site.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassed open space with seating and a footpath adjacent to residential area.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Land north of School Lane, west of Broad Street site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G13) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>This site is an open grassy space with a new trim trial around it. It is located outside of the village framework and therefore could not be considered as a PVAA. It has seating and trees planted within it so is a tranquil area for the local community. Site meets test for only LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.                      The consultation in 2013 drew 7 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore not addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 32 (1.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of School Lane, west of Broad Street		Parish Cambourne
	<p>for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council’s Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See site NH/12 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors’ Interim Findings March 2017</u></p> <p>Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that “blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a ‘back door’ way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name”. As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassed area shielded from roads by trees and earth bunds, contributes to the character and amenity of the village by providing a tranquil area.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It has a recreation function providing a relaxation area with seats for the local community and it is of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Shielded from buildings on three sides and out of sight of roads, the area's character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a grassed area, shielded from roads by trees and earth bunds. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village and being shielded from buildings on three sides and away from roads it provides a tranquil area with a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It has a	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 32 (1.3 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land north of School Lane, west of Broad Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
		recreation function providing a relaxation area with seats for the local community and it is of 'particular local significance' and demonstrably special, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 33 (7.86 Hectares)	Name of Site Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Amber</b>	An application for MUGA and cricket pavilion (S/1155/14/FL) was approved in May 2014. Other than that, several recently approved or current applications relate to discharge of conditions for earlier applications on the wider site.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G14) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>This site is adjacent to the Sports Centre including playing fields. The vast majority of the site is outside of the village framework so could not be considered as a PVAA. As playing fields it has recreational value to the community of Cambourne. Site meets test for only LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 6 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore not addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 33 (7.86 Hectares)	Name of Site Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane	Parish Cambourne
<p><b>for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council’s Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See site NH/12 – 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors’ Interim Findings March 2017</u></p> <p>Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC - Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3rd bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that “blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a ‘back door’ way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name”. As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 33 (7.86 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
		LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 34 (1.5 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Grean Common Farm, west of Broadway		Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>			None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions for earlier applications.	
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area adjacent to village boundary.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No		
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Land north of Great Common Farm, west of Broadway site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G15) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>Allotments located on the eastern side of Cambourne but outside of the village framework so could not be considered as a PVAA. As allotments they have a value to the local community. Site meets test for only LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 6 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore not addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>, or <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 34 (1.5 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Grean Common Farm, west of Broadway	Parish Cambourne
	<p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See site NH/12 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors’ Interim Findings March 2017</u></p> <p>Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that “blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a ‘back door’ way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name”. As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the periphery of the village makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides a soft edge at the east side of Upper Cambourne. The site adds to setting of the residential buildings on its north side (Spitfire Road) and Great Common Farm to the south. However the site does not offer long views through the centre of Cambourne to the west. The site is only moderately visually attractive with a large area of allotment gardens which are symmetrical, well ordered and enclosed by hedgerows. However the allotment lacks any distinctive visually pleasing features. It is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the edge of the village, the allotments provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 34 (1.5 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of Grean Common Farm, west of Broadway	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
<b>Richness of wildlife</b>		wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments on the periphery of the village. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has a recreation function, and its location provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 34 (1.5 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of Grean Common Farm, west of Broadway		<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>		
	<b>Details:</b> Allotment area adjacent to village boundary		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 34 (1.51Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land north of Grean Common Farm, west of Broadway	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 35a (35.35 Hectares)	Name of Site Sirius Lake	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions for earlier applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
<b>Reasons:</b> .		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Large areas within village and around edge of village site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G16) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>Large areas particularly around Lower and Upper Cambourne - to the western and eastern extent of the village; thin strip alongside A428 and finger of green around northern roundabout areas entering the village. These are areas of green space which are integral to the masterplan of Cambourne.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 8 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS and 6 comments.</p> <p>The Wildlife Trust commented <i>about how they manage the boundary green area in Cambourne as part of Section 106 agreement. Boundary of G16 slightly different so suggest amending area so same as their management area. Some revisions made to the boundary.</i></p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 35a (35.35 Hectares)</b></p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Sirius Lake</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Cambourne</b></p>
<p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p> <p>In their rep 64944, MCA Developments Limited suggest designating smaller sites as LGS rather than have one large area. Also some areas are more appropriate as PVAA. They repeat what they wrote for reps 64938, 64937 and 64934 etc. See above.</p> <p>The Council's Response in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See above for LGS NH/12 025 referring to (j) Large areas within village and around edge of village, Cambourne (NH/12-035).</p> <p>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 35 (Large areas within village and around edge of village, Cambourne) into six parts (35a, 35b, 35c, 35d, 35e and 35f).  Site 35a is now Sirius Lake.</p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u>  Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that "blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a 'back door' way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name". As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 35a (35.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Sirius Lake	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Large amenity area with pond within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity through public rights of way, access to wildlife and views of countryside. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the edge of the village, the area's character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation with views of countryside, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The Wildlife Trust's website shows this as a natural area, contains grassland, wetland, woodland and lake. Therefore has some value for wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a large amenity area located on the eastern periphery of the village beside a residential area. It has an amenity and recreational function, makes a contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and the location in a remote area provides some feeling of tranquilly. It is also a natural area and has some value for wildlife. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude development.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 35a (35.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Sirius Lake	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	
	<b>Details:</b>	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 35a (35.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Sirius Lake	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 35b (45.43 Hectares)	Name of Site Wamping Willow Lake	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions for earlier applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> .	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	For information for site considerations through plan making for site 35b, please refer to the information for site 35a (above).	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with pond within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity through public rights of way, access to wildlife and views of countryside. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the edge of the village, the area's character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation with views of countryside, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 35b</b> (45.43 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Wamping Willow Lake</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The Wildlife Trust's website shows this as a natural area, contains grassland, wetland, woodland and lake. Therefore has some value for wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a large amenity area located on the eastern periphery of the village beside a residential area. It has an amenity and recreational function, makes a contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and the location in a remote area provides some feeling of tranquilly. It is also a natural area and has some value for wildlife. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 35b</b> (45.43 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Wamping Willow Lake</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	
	<b>Details:</b>	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 35b (45.43 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Wamping Willow Lake	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 35c (30.7 Hectares)	Name of Site Crow Hill (Country Park)	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions for earlier applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> .	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	For information for site considerations through plan making for site 35c, please refer to the information for site 35a (above).	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Country park contributes to the character and amenity of the village by providing a tranquil area.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It has a clear recreation value containing foot and cycle paths and access to wildlife. Crow Hill provides excellent views of the local area. Community orchard to north of area. Bridleway to west of site. Area providing a relaxation area with seats for the local community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the edge of the village, the area's character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation with views of countryside. Hill provides clear views of local area.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Managed by the Wildlife Trust, the WT's website highlights farmland birds on the hill and wetland birds in the lakes. Wildlife Trust also

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 35c</b> (30.7 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Crow Hill (Country Park)</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
		mention fruit in the community orchard. Site is of local significance to the local community.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. A country park, with a community orchard in the northern part of the site. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village, has a clear recreational value, provides a large tranquil area with a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation away from roads and built development. Crow Hill provides excellent views of the surrounding countryside. Managed by the Wildlife Trust, it has a wildlife value. Site is of ‘particular local significance’ and demonstrably special to the local community warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 35d (22.35 Hectares)	Name of Site Land around the west and north west	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions for earlier applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> .	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	For information for site considerations through plan making for site 35d, please refer to the information for site 35a (above).	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area and wooded area within a perimeter bridleway makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, provides perimeter bridleway with circular walk of village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the edge of the village, part of the area's character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Woodland provides wildlife habitat. Includes a number of newt ponds.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 35d</b> (22.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land around the west and north west</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area and wooded area within a perimeter bridleway that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, provides a recreational function and has a wildlife value. It's location on the edge of the village also provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 35d (22.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land around the west and north west	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	
	<b>Details:</b>	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 35d (22.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land around the west and north west	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 35e (6.55 Hectares)	Name of Site Oaks Wood (Eco Park)	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions for earlier applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> .
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b>
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		For information for site considerations through plan making for site 35e, please refer to the information for site 35a (above).
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> This is a wooded nature reserve which contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It has a clear recreation value containing foot and cycle paths and access to wildlife. Wooded nature reserve with wildlife signage. It is of 'particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded nature reserve provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation being shielded from village by trees.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded nature reserve provides wildlife habitat, with wildlife signage and is of significance to the local community.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a wooded nature reserve which contributes to the

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 35e</b> (6.55 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Oaks Wood (Eco Park)</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		character and amenity of the village and has important recreation, wildlife and biodiversity functions and provides a remote area of quiet contemplation. It is of 'particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the local community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 35f (6.16 Hectares)	Name of Site South of A428	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions for earlier applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> .	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	For information for site considerations through plan making for site 35f, please refer to the information for site 35a (above).	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area, thicket area with paths forming buffer against A428. Makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have some recreation function, providing an informal amenity through public rights of way. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The area's character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation being shielded from village by trees, however traffic noise from A428 is a consideration.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Green woodland provides some wildlife habitat, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 35f</b> (6.16 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>South of A428</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity and thicket area with public footpaths that form a buffer to the village against the A428. It makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has some recreational and wildlife function and provides a remote area away from built development, although it is close to a major road. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 35f</b> (6.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>South of A428</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	
	<b>Details:</b>	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 35f (6.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> South of A428	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 35g (1.6 Hectares)	Name of Site Pitches next to Cambourne Sports Centre	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions for earlier applications.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> .
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	For information for site considerations through plan making for site 35g, please refer to the information for site 35a (above).	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sports pitches makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing sports pitches and is of 'particular local significance' to the community
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is part of a large village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The sports pitches are the primary village recreation area

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 35g (1.6 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Pitches next to Cambourne Sports Centre	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
suitable for designation as LGS?		and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 36 (0.12 Hectares)	Name of Site Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space	Parish Cambourne
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions applications for earlier applications for parcels of housing land 5a, 5b and 5c, the original Cambourne application in 1992 and plot 4010 at the business park.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wild area with trees forming area of greenery, part of planned green area for village..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS012) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Wild area with trees planted forming informal area of greenery - overlooked by housing and adjacent to grassy walkway - rectangular area outside village framework. Part of planned green space of village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was to include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> but not in</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 36 (0.12 Hectares)	Name of Site Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space	Parish Cambourne
		<p><b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>In their rep to the 2014 consultation, MCA Developments Limited state No objection to designation of this site which is a small private copse and is worthy of retention as a LGS.</p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See site NH/12 25 above.</p> <p><u>Inspectors’ Interim Findings March 2017</u></p> <p>Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that “blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a ‘back door’ way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name”. As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.</p>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Planted area of trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, is it is not of ‘particular local significance’.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing a wooded area adjacent to village, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the edge of the village, the wood provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area provides some diversity of wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 36 (0.12 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Cambourne</b>
community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		wooded area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreational function, provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, as well as having some value for wildlife. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 36 (0.12 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Wild area with trees forming area of greenery, part of planned green area for village.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 36 (0.13 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space	<b>Parish</b> Cambourne
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 37 (0.34 Hectares)	Name of Site The Old Market Place	Parish Caxton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass makes a significant contribution to the character of the village and that of the listed buildings opposite..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village within the Conservation Area and is an existing PVAA. It is located opposite the grade II* listed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provides a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS.</i> Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area contributes to the character of the village. Within Caxton it is unique and is of particular local significance.
Does the site have a particular	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Provides the setting of No. 53 Manor

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 37 (0.34 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Old Market Place	<b>Parish</b> Caxton
local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		House (Grade II*) and No. 55 Manor Cottage (Grade II) listed buildings and is within the conservation area. Caxton dates back to the Domesday book (1086) and the modern village has grown up around the Roman Ermine Stre
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land provides an informal recreation resource. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The amenity area is beside a road and therefore does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The amenity area makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has historical significance, being the site of a former market and lies within the conservation and forming a part the setting of listed buildings. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	Name of Site Land South of Barton Road	Parish Comberton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area & Important Countryside Frontage
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is made up of a number of uses. The largest area is grassland meadow, with an area of trees to the east and south..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS015) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Part of site is existing PVAA and part of site is within the Green Belt. North side of Barton Rd is within the Green Belt with an important countryside frontage protecting views across the green space northwards. The south side is within a PVAA. Both come within the Conservation Area for the village. The areas provide a valuable green rural character to the village.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Only south side of road meets the tests for LGS. North side of road is within Green Belt therefore not designate as LGS and not include in local plan.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which 2 representations were received on this site.	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	Name of Site Land South of Barton Road	Parish Comberton
<p>The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p> <p>One rep (no.64905) was from an individual who objected to the boundary. The comments are summarised as:  <i>Respondent objects to inclusion of his land within this Local Green Space designation. Propose site boundary is amended as per attached map.</i>  <i>Land in question is garden of 36 Barton Road, Comberton. In respondent's view does not meet criteria in that it holds no particular local significance in terms of its beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquillity or its wildlife. The land cannot be accessed or viewed by the local community from any public access point. Land is already protected as its within curtilage of No 36 Barton Road, a Grade II listed building and the conservation area.</i></p> <p>The other rep was from individuals (nos. 64952) who objected to the LGS boundary. The comments are summarised as:  <i>1/ Please give max LGS protection to ALL the fields/meadows and orchards and open areas within the currently adopted PVAA for the wildlife, particularly barn owls, kestrels and badgers.</i>  <i>2/ Please amend the south east corner of the proposed LGS as per plan 3 so that brown field bits are removed and some of the omitted green and wooded bits are included (if the LGS idea is to be used at all).</i>  <i>3/ If one leaves the adopted PVAA as is, maybe this would in practice, provide more sound protection. But better to afford all the Comberton PVAA fields meadows and orchards and open areas - LGS status.</i></p> <p>The council responded on 2015 with a recommendation:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 33.  <b>SC4C.ix.</b>  <i>Should the boundary of the LGS designation relating to the land south of Barton Road, Comberton be amended so as to exclude the private garden of no. 36 Barton Road having regard to the designation criteria for a LGS particularly in terms of local or historical significance, recreational value or</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	Name of Site Land South of Barton Road	Parish Comberton
<p><i>tranquillity? Should the designation also include all of the land within the current PVAA but exclude the brownfield land? (NH/12-038)</i></p> <p><i>This land forms a green wedge into the centre of the village and is partly within the Green Belt and the rest is currently protected as PVAA. The central green area in Comberton has had a long history of being recognised as land worthy of protection from inappropriate development. It makes a significant contribution to the character of the centre of the village, and is valued by the local community.</i></p> <p><i>The Parish Council submitted this wider area, for consideration as a LGS through the Issues and Options Consultation 2 in January 2013. The area was described by the Parish Council as a 'green lung' through the village. The Council assessed the land south of Barton Road as meeting the tests for LGS. The land to the north is within the Green Belt therefore the Council did not designate as LGS avoiding duplicating policy protection of the land.</i></p> <p><i>During the targeted consultation with landowners of proposed LGS a number of the owners submitted representations relating to different parts of this wider area.</i></p> <p><i>Comberton Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. (See Appendix 4) The PC has re-emphasised that the green space form a green lung through the village in a conservation area and represent a protected village amenity, being adjacent to a historic footpath, with public access on three sides, and as such provides tranquillity and a site for wildlife.</i></p> <p><i>The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:</i></p> <p><i>a. Should the garden of 36 Barton Road be excluded?</i></p> <p><i>b. Should all the PVAA excluding the brownfield land be designated?</i></p> <p><u><i>Excluding the garden of 36 Barton Road?</i></u></p> <p><i>Land does not have to be publicly accessible or indeed in public ownership for it to be valued by the local community. The PC when it submitted the larger site for consideration as a LGS identified this garden area within its boundary as it forms a part of this 'green lung'. The PC has provided further evidence to support the designation of this LGS and state that the land referred to as garden at no 36 should be retained as LGS as a larger area has greater benefit to the community and the dwelling at no 36 would retain a garden and curtilage. (Appendix 4) The Council remains therefore of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in this</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	Name of Site Land South of Barton Road	Parish Comberton
	<p>LGS.</p> <p><u>Designate all the current PVAA excluding the brownfield land?</u></p> <p><i>During the targeted consultation with landowners of proposed LGS one respondent questioned why only part of the existing PVAA in Comberton had been included as a LGS (Representation 64952). In their representation they considered that the wider area should be protected by just one policy rather than two. Comberton Parish Council when asked to consider what areas of land within the village merited consideration as LGS only included certain areas to the north and south of the Barton Rd, i.e. not the whole PVAA. The Council in assessing sites has only considered the areas proposed by local residents and from parish councils taking their lead on what is considered by them to be demonstrably special to the local community. The remit of the targeted consultation about LGS was only to give landowners the opportunity to make comments about their own land being proposed as LGS and not to suggest further areas for consideration. The Council therefore has not had the opportunity to assess the whole PVAA as to its suitability as a candidate for LGS.</i></p> <p><i>No new issues have been raised that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed and therefore the Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p><i>The PVAA addresses a significantly wider area than the area identified as an LGS. Due to the characteristics of the area, which has a distinct rural character at the heart of the village, development has the potential to have an adverse impact on the character, amenity, tranquillity or function of the village. It is correct this is specifically recognised in the plan, in order to provide clear guidance for potential developers and future planning decisions. Not all PVAAs meet the tests for LGS designation.</i></p> <p><i>It should be noted that PVAAs can include individual buildings or areas of built development. This typically occurs where development is of a low density, or is of a particular character that it contributes to village amenity. On this basis it would not be automatically appropriate to exclude 'brownfield land', as the amenity provided by these areas could be compromised by development which did not take account of the particular contribution the area makes to contribution to the amenity, tranquillity or function of the village.</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land South of Barton Road	<b>Parish</b> Comberton
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The central green area in Comberton has had a long history of being recognised as land worthy of protection from inappropriate development. It contributes to the character of the centre of the village. However, this applies to a wider areas than the area identified as LGS, and this area is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is located within a Conesevation Area. There are listed buildings fronting Barton Road and Swaynes Lane. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The Parish Council describe the site as being a green lung for the village. A public right of way runs to the east of the site, providing a reeational opportunity to experience the rural charcater of the area. However, recreation use does not extend to the site itself.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located, the grassed field provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Although the site includes a range of small fields, meadows, hedges etc. Site does not have a diversity of wildlife giving it a 'particular local significance'.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises grassland within a residential area and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, within the conservation area and part of the setting of several listed buildings. It has an informal recreation function and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land South of Barton Road		<b>Parish</b> Comberton
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Site is made up of a number of uses. The largest area is grassland meadow, with an area of trees to the east and south.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large informal recreation ground within a residential area.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Central informal recreation ground within a residential area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large, centrally located informal recreation ground within a residential area.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important recreation space which also supports the character of the village and provides some tranquil areas.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 39 (0.83 Hectares)	Name of Site All Saints Church	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Churchyard.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G17) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This area is around the church with its associated setting. It is within the Conservation Area. It therefore has historic value for the local community. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i> In 2013, the council recommended Including the site in the local plan as LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 4 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Churchyard contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Church of All Saints is Grade I listed building, within the conservation area. The earliest documented evidence of a church in Cottenham is in a Charter of Saxon King Edred in 948. This church was replaced by a Norman edifice in the 12th century, fragments of which

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 39 (0.83 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> All Saints Church	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
		can still be seen in the present building, which itself dates from the 15th century.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The church yard could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The churchyard provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife .
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Churchyard contributes to the character and amenity of the village, and the setting of the Grade I Listed Church of All Saints and the conservation area. Its location provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 40 (0.05 Hectares)	Name of Site Broad Lane High Street Junction	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees in a residential area beside a main road.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G19) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is a triangle of grass at a road junction. It is within the village framework and Conservation Area. It has a number of well-established trees and some seating. It provides a pocket of green space within a urban setting. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i></p> <p>In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>In the 2013 consultation, there were 4 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees beside a main road makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is within the conservation area and within 25m distance of 3 separate grade II



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 40 (0.05 Hectares)	Name of Site Broad Lane High Street Junction	Parish Cottenham
<b>Historical significance</b>		listed buildings (4 Broad Lane, 109 High Street, 120 High Street). The site contains a number of well-established trees and does enhance the street scene and contribute to the setting of these buildings. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area with seating. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area adjacent to the High Street and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. A small amenity area of grass with trees adjacent to the High Street, makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and is within the conservation area and provides part of the setting of several listed buildings. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 41 (0.24 Hectares)	Name of Site Land at Victory Way	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with a few trees within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G20) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This is a large area of grass in the middle of a housing estate. There are a few trees at each end. It provides a valuable area of informal open space and has recreational value for the local community. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i> In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 4 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area within a housing estate. However, it could not be

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 41 (0.24 Hectares)	Name of Site Land at Victory Way	Parish Cottenham
		described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area with trees within a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 41 (0.24 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land at Victory Way		<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area with a few trees within a residential area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Area of informal open space in a residential area.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Area of grassland in a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland in a residential area.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space within a residential area.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 42 (0.51 Hectares)	Name of Site Cemetery	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Cemetery.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G21) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This is a cemetery with some trees on the northern boundary. It could provide a haven for wildlife and be a tranquil place. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i> In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 4 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Cemetery makes some contributes to the character and amenity of the village. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> In the 19th century, Cottenham became a prominent centre of non-conformist religious dissent. A dissenters' cemetery was opened in 1845 in Lamb's Lane. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 42 (0.51 Hectares)	Name of Site Cemetery		Parish Cottenham
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The cemetery provides an informal recreation resource. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The cemetery provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site could be a haven for wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a cemetery that makes some contributes to the character and amenity of the village, has historical significance and offers a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 42 (0.51 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Cemetery	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
<b>Details:</b> Cemetery		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Cemetery	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character
	<b>Reasons:</b> Cemetery makes some contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Cemetery	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Cemetery supports village character and provides a tranquil area.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 43 (0.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Orchard Close	Parish Cottenham
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with some trees within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<p><b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> No</p>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G22) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is a grassy area in the middle of a housing estate. There are some trees and scrubs planted within the green space. Car parking spaces have been designed into the space (assuming it was originally oval). It provides an area of grass for informal recreation within this residential area. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i></p> <p>In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 3 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 43 (0.07 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Orchard Close	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
<b>Historical significance</b>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area with trees within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 43 (0.07 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Orchard Close	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Small amenity area of grass with some trees within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area with trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 44 (0.27 Hectares)	Name of Site Coolidge Gardens	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G23) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>"This is a large green space scattered with trees within a residential area. It has a meadow like atmosphere which adds to the character of this part of the village. It is within the Conservation Area. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i> In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation area. The site is 40m distance from 2 grade II listed buildings and the site does contribute positively to the setting of the nearest of these buildings (60 Denmark Road). However, it is not considered the

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 44 (0.27 Hectares)	Name of Site Coolidge Gardens	Parish Cottenham
		land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area beside one of the village's main arterial road and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green, within the conservation area and part of the setting of listed buildings, it makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 44 (0.27 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Coolidge Gardens	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
<b>Details:</b> Village green.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees within a residential area including a small local notice board.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees within a residential area including a small local notice board.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees within a residential area including a small local notice board support the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 45 (0.65 Hectares)	Name of Site South of Brenda Gauty Way	Parish Cottenham
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	<p>Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with a few trees beside a road in a residential area..</p>
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<p><b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> No</p>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G24) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is a strip of woodland that follows the village framework boundary and the rear of housing on the edge of Cottenham. The strip is within the village. It forms a distinctive edge to this part of Cottenham and has value for wildlife as well as for informal recreation. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i></p> <p>In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area, a long, narrow grassy strip containing several mature trees and hedgerows. The trees and hedgerows provide semi-enclosure for the space. It also provides a landscaped edge to the village, provides a setting</p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 45 (0.65 Hectares)	Name of Site South of Brenda Gautry Way	Parish Cottenham
		for properties on the village edge, and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Part of the site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area on the edge of the village's and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a grass amenity area containing several mature trees and hedgerows which provide a landscaped edge to the village, It contributes to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 45 (0.65 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> South of Brenda Gautry Way	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area with a few trees beside a road in a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of informal open space beside a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of informal open space beside a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the southern periphery of the village beside a residential area	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 46 (0.17 Hectares)	Name of Site Dunstall Field	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with a few trees beside a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G25) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This site is a field on the edge of the village within the village framework. It has value to the local community for informal recreation. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i> In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 3 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with trees beside a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 46 (0.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Dunstall Field	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
<b>Recreational value</b>		could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area on the edge of the village's and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area with trees beside a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 46 (0.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Dunstall Field	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area with a few trees beside a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland in a residential area on the edge of the village with Cottenham Village College opposite.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 47 (0.10 Hectares)	Name of Site West of Sovereign Way	Parish Cottenham
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	<p>Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with a few trees beside a road in a residential area..</p>
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<p><b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> No</p>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G26) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This area of woodland links with the strip of green space south of Brenda Gautrey Way. It creates a distinctive character to this part of Cottenham and has value for wildlife and for informal recreation. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i></p> <p>In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with trees beside a road in a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.</p>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.</p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 47 (0.10 Hectares)	Name of Site West of Sovereign Way	Parish Cottenham
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area on the edge of the village's and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area with trees within a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 47 (0.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> West of Sovereign Way		<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area with a few trees beside a road in a residential area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 48a (2.71 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Old Recreation Ground	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large recreation ground.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties via site 48b
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G27) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>This is an area of open space on the north-western edge of Cottenham. It is open to the public for informal recreation. Site meets test for only LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</i></p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore not addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The site was not specifically addressed in the Council's</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 48a (2.71 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Old Recreation Ground</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Cottenham</b>
	<b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016).</b>  In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 048 into two parts (048a Old Recreation ground and 048b Broad Lane Amenity Area).		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassed informal amenity area contributes to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> An important village recreation ground which is 'of particular local significance' to the community.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the northern periphery of the village, the area's mixed character uses provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a grassed informal amenity area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It is an important village recreation ground, located on the periphery of the village and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 48b</b> (0.85 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Broad Lane Amenity Area</b>	Parish <b>Cottenham</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Pond area and surrounding trees/ vegetation and informal amenity area. No dogs allowed even on a lead..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 048 into two parts (048a Old Recreation ground and 048b Broad Lane Amenity Area).  For information for site considerations through plan making for site 48b, please refer to the information for site 48a (above).	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Large pond area and surrounding trees / vegetation and informal amenity area contribute to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Area surrounding pond has an informal amenity function, providing an informal amenity area.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the northern periphery of the village, the area's character use provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 48b</b> (0.85 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Broad Lane Amenity Area</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Cottenham</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site contains a large pond area and the surrounding trees / vegetation and informal amenity area contribute to the character and amenity of the village. It provides an informal recreation function and given its location on the periphery of the village, the area's character use provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 49a (8.34 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Amber</b>	Site does not have planning permission. The site is subject to a live planning application on the western part of the site. It is anticipated to be considered by planning committee in August 2017.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreation Ground.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G28) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is an extensive area of open space which includes the village recreation ground with pitches for football and cricket, plus a bowling green as well as allotments. It has a variety of uses which are of value to the local community. It is outside of the village framework. Site meets test for LGS only.</i></p> <p>The Council's recommendation in 2013 was: Playing fields relating to schools are not being designated for LGS and therefore part of the site is not included in local plan as LGS. The rest of the site meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports and 1 comment for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 49a (8.34 Hectares)</b></p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Recreation Ground</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Cottenham</b></p>
		<p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:</b>            Cambridgeshire County Council objected (rep no. 64933) for these 4 reasons:  <i>Objection relates to part of proposed designation area which is not considered to comply with tests set out in NPPF.</i></p> <p><i>Objection site forms an extension to recreation ground, is poorly drained, not well related to existing recreation ground and currently underused by community.</i></p> <p><i>CCC lease site to Parish Council. Lease requires that should area be used by CCC for other purposes an equivalent area in close proximity to recreation ground would be provided by CCC. Any residential scheme on adjacent land could accommodate this and provide a better overall solution. LGS designation may preclude such a consideration.</i></p> <p><i>Site is featureless open area of land that is not demonstrably special to the local community. Only value is for recreation and it is currently underused.</i></p> <p><b>In appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>, the council’s response in 2015 to the objection was:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council’s Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 35.            SC4C.x.  <i>Should the LGS boundary of the Recreation Ground and Playing Fields, Cottenham be amended so as to exclude the land comprising an extension to the recreation ground on the grounds that the site is featureless and is not demonstrably special to the local community? (NH/12-049)</i></p> <p><i>The Recreation Ground and Playing Fields is identified in the Cottenham Design Statement Supplementary Planning Document as open space and was submitted by the Cottenham Design Group for consideration as a LGS. The Council’s assessment shows that this site meets the tests</i></p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 49a (8.34 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Cottenham</b>
	<p><i>for LGS designation.</i></p> <p><i>An objection has been received from Cambridgeshire County Council to amend the boundary of the LGS to exclude part of the area from LGS designation as they do not consider it meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p><i>Cottenham Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support why they consider that the whole of this proposed LGS should be included in the Local Plan. The Parish Council has provided a detailed description and history of the elements that together form this LGS. The PC has set out the reasons why the field the County Council considers should be excluded from the LGS meets the test for designation and that this part of the site is demonstrably special to the local community as it provides for social, sport and recreational needs in Cottenham.</i></p> <p><i>Cottenham Parish Council is currently preparing a neighbourhood plan and during the neighbourhood plan making process consideration has been given to the need for a new village hall and nursery facility within the village. The PC has recently submitted a planning application to demolish an existing single storey village hall and build a new two storey village hall in the same location.. It would be located on the edge of the Recreation Ground and the PC has provided as part of its additional evidence the proposed boundary of the village hall and nursery which partly extends into the southern area of the LGS.</i></p> <p><i>A planning application has been submitted for residential development on land north east of Rampton Road, Cottenham comprising 154 dwellings. ( S/2876/16/OL) part of which falls within the northern part of this site – ‘Two Mill Field’ as well as the adjoining LGS – NH/12 – 052The application, as well as proposing development on part of the proposed LGS area, also proposes additional open space in a different location. In considering this planning application the Council will give the appropriate weight to the LGS designation and the current status of the plan. A decision on this application will be decided on the merits of the case taking into account all the material considerations. It is anticipated it will be determined in early 2017.</i></p> <p><i>An update on the status of these applications and any implications for the LGS will be provided to the Inspectors when available.</i></p> <p><i>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 49 into two parts (049a Recreation ground and 049b Allotments).</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 49a (8.34 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Cottenham
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreation ground makes a modest contribution to the setting and views of local features and so does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to only moderate level of visual attractiveness as a whole. It is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located beside a school, allotments and residential properties, the area provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 49b (1.7 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments	Parish Cottenham
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Allotments.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 049 into two parts (049a Recreation ground and 049b Allotments).  For information for site considerations through plan making for site 49b, please refer to the information for site 49a (above) about the wider site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments provide a recreation function. However, they could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located beside a school, a main road and residential properties, the allotments only provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 49b (1.7 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Allotments		<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 49b</b> (1.7 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Cottenham</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Allotments		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 49b (1.7 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments	Parish Cottenham
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 50 (1.05 Hectares)	Name of Site Land in front of Village College	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity land with a few trees..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (sub-divided into 4 sites given the reference of PVAA02) with the council's assessment (in 2012) identical for all 4 sub-divided sites (named Morgans 1, 2, 3 and 4):</p> <p><i>These are areas of open space at the entrance to the village college. They provide a setting to this entrance with well established trees. This area is already a PVAA. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i></p> <p>The Council's recommendation in 2013 was identical for all 4 sub-sites: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 did not draw any responses for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, a representation (64981, see below) was made on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 50 (1.05 Hectares)	Name of Site Land in front of Village College	Parish Cottenham
	<p><b>for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:</b> It was the representation from Mr Nick Baker (rep no. 64981) which the council summarised as: <i>Respondent comment is that as far as he can tell the reference area referred to above which relates mainly to land adjoining Cottenham Village College appears possibly to include part of his front garden. There has been a hedge defining his garden boundary for at least 20 years so the Council's plans may pre date that. His front garden has a driveway and some lawn behind the hedge. As such he is not sure that it is relevant to the local green space designation.</i></p> <p><b>In appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015,</b> the council's response in 2015 to the objection was: <i>This site includes part of the front garden of a house adjacent to Cottenham Village College. The owner has requested that the boundary of the site be amended to exclude this residential land. The residential property has a different character to the adjoining open green area in front of the village college. It forms one of a row of residential properties. A minor change to exclude this and adjoining front gardens would better reflect the area of special character and would not undermine the protection of this wider area.</i> <i>Recommendation: Amend the boundary of the Village College, Cottenham LGS to exclude the front gardens of adjacent residential properties.</i></p> <p>The site boundary was amended following Targeted landowner consultation.</p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 36. In response to the objection, the statement considered: <i>SC4C.xi. Should the boundary of the LGS designation for Land in Front of Village College, Cottenham be amended so as to exclude the residential garden land and College Farm to the north-west of the site which already benefits from designated heritage asset protection as it is within a conservation area? (NH/12-050)</i></p> <p><i>The Council has since the Local Plan was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. This site includes part of the front garden of a house adjacent to Cottenham Village College. The owner has requested that the boundary of the LGS be amended to exclude this residential land. The residential property has a different character to the adjoining open green area in front of the village college. It forms one of a row of residential properties. A change to exclude this and adjoining front</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 50 (1.05 Hectares)	Name of Site Land in front of Village College		Parish Cottenham
	<p><i>gardens would better reflect the area of special character and would not undermine the protection of this wider area.</i></p> <p><i>In the report to the South Cambridgeshire Planning Portfolio Holder on 10 March 2015 it was agreed to amend the boundary of the Village College, Cottenham LGS to exclude the front gardens of adjacent residential properties.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has proposed to amend the boundary of the LGS and has met the objector's concerns.</i></p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area of grass with trees on school premises. It does contribute to the setting of the school, and complements the green. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the village Conservation area. The site is 20m distance from 2 grade II listed buildings and the site does contribute positively to the setting of these buildings (High Street / Morgans Close). However, it is not considered the land has a '	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees on school premises could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees on school premises beside a main road does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area of grass and trees on school premises that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, forming part of the setting of the school and listed buildings, and complements the green. It provides an informal recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2015) to exclude the front gardens of adjacent properties.]	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 50 (1.05 Hectares)	Name of Site Land in front of Village College		Parish Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity land with a few trees.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area of grass with some trees in front of Village College.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees in front of Village College contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area of grass with some trees in front of Village College.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees in front of Village College contributes to the character and amenity of the village. [Note - this site boundary was previously amended (in March 2015) to exclude the front gardens of adjacent properties.]	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 51 (2.48 Hectares)	Name of Site Fen Reeves Wood	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Red</b>	Site is not connected to the village. <b>Reasons:</b> Woodland.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Red</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS019) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council and others. Community woodland owned by the Parish Council on behalf of the community which helps manages the area. Trees first planted in 1993. Mixture of trees to enhance biodiversity and encourage wildlife. Some fruit trees to remind of extensive orchards which once dominated village area – historical value. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The Council's recommendation in 2013 was to include it in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 51 (2.48 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Fen Reeves Wood	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Not connected to the village
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 51 (2.48 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Fen Reeves Wood		<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Woodland		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b>	<b>Name of Site</b>	<b>Parish</b>
<b>NH/12 – 51 (2.48 Hectares)</b>	<b>Fen Reeves Wood</b>	<b>Cottenham</b>
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 52 (3.76 Hectares)	Name of Site Les King Wood	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Red</b>	Site is not connected to the village. <b>Reasons:</b> Woodland.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Red</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS020) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council and others. Woodland planted in 2000 – owned and managed by Cambridgeshire County Council. Aim is to enhance long term appearance of landscape and create a quiet place to enjoy walks through woodland and improve environment for wildlife. Planted by local people. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The Council's recommendation in 2013 was to include it in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:		<b>Reasons:</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 52 (3.76 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Les King Wood	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
<b>Tranquillity</b>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Not connected to the village
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 52 (3.76 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Les King Wood	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Woodland	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 52 (3.76 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Les King Wood	<b>Parish</b> Cottenham
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 53 (0.59 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Cottenham
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Village green..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS023) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This forms a valuable green space within the village. It has numerous trees upon it and seating for quiet enjoyment of the space. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council recommendation in 2013 was Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation area. It provides the setting for several Grade II Listed buildings on the site boundary including 316, 318, 324, 331, 332, 333, 337, 339 and 344-346 (Manor Farm House) High Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 53 (0.59 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Cottenham
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area between the village's main arterial roads and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green, within the conservation area and part of the setting of listed buildings, it makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. It is also an important space used for fairs and cars when the classic car rally comes through. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 54 (0.28 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Dry Drayton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS026) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Northern part is existing PVAA and southern part is within Green Belt. The village green provides a valuable area of open space within the village. Mown grass with trees creating a rural character to the village and setting for nearby grade II* listed church.</i> The council recommendation in 2013 was: Only north side of road meets the tests for LGS. South side of road within Green Belt therefore not designate as LGS and not include in local plan.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> At the heart of the village the village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Provides part of the setting of the grade II* listed church. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 54 (0.28 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Dry Drayton
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area beside the village's main arterial road and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. At the heart of the village the village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village and forms an important part of the setting of the Grade II* Listed church. It also has an information recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Greenacres	Parish Duxford
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with scattered trees within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Amber</b>	Site submitted by the Parish Council but who subsequently withdrew their support during the Local Plan examination – see South Cambridgeshire District Council's written statement for Matter SC4, Appendix 4.
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS029) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Area of mown grass with scattered trees within a housing estate. Area for informal recreation use so valued by local community. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council recommendation in 2013 was to include it in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. In the consultation, there was support for LGS from 9 respondents. These can be summarised as:  Village already short of green areas. Popular safe play area in cul-de-sac – can be viewed by parents. Alternative play area requires crossing busy road, blind junction. Valued by local residents – LGS preserves open, pleasing aspect to area – character noted recently by planning inspector. Venue for annual street BBQ – helps bring community</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Greenacres	Parish Duxford
<p>together.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:</b>  <i>It was an individual respondent (Rep 64914) who objected and their comments are summarised as:            Do not designate as LGS. Site does not meet any of 5 tests set out in NPPF for Local Green Space. Parish Council claim land is special to local community - open nature and recreational value. Site is area of left over grassed highway verge adjacent to road in middle of housing estate - not beautiful by normal standard, no historic interest, not tranquil, not wildlife rich. Land only available for recreation with permission of landowner - now withdrawn. Land to be fenced off. Site better suited to meeting the main focus of the NPPF of providing increased housing numbers and sustainable development.</i></p> <p>The council's response in 2015 was:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 36:  <i>SC4C.xii. Would the land at Greenacres, Duxford meet the designation criteria for LGS as it represents a relatively small area of grass verge in private ownership which is to be fenced to restrict public access and could potentially be developed for additional housing as it is surrounded by the residential properties in Greenacres? (NH/12-055).</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that there have been no new issues raised neither has there been a change of circumstance to merit the removal of the designation. The site was submitted by Duxford Parish Council who considered it to be an area of informal recreation valued by the local community.</i></p> <p><i>The owner of the land has objected to the designation of the land as LGS. A planning application (S/2038/08/F) for a dwelling and garage on the site was refused in 2008.</i></p> <p><i>Included in the reasons for refusal was the fact that the open green space contributes towards the local character of this part of the village and the proposed development would</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Greenacres		Parish Duxford
	<p><i>compromise the openness and landscape character of the area. The site is valued by the local community as it is an area of green space within a predominately residential area. Land that is designated as LGS can be in private ownership and there does not have to be public access for the local community nearby to value the space. Development of this green space for additional housing would impact on the character of this part of Duxford and the Council considers that it should remain in the plan as a LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u>  <b>LGS Site NH/12-055-Duxford</b>                      This site represents a small grass verge within a modest residential cul-de-sac, a feature which is common in many housing estates. It could not, to my mind, be regarded in any way as demonstrably special and therefore fails to satisfy the LGS criteria.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area which contributes to the character and amenity of this part of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'. This reflects the Inspectors interim findings.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is outside the conservation area but does provide a setting for the Conservation Area. The site provides a setting for one grade II listed dwelling which lies 50m distance south of the site – No. 4, St Johns Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area within a housing estate. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a grass verge adjacent to a residential road leading to a cul-de-sac of housing therefore it is not demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Greenacres	Parish Duxford
community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		small grassed amenity area within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and the setting of a listed building and conservation area. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Greenacres	Parish Duxford
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland with scattered trees within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)	Name of Site End of Mangers Lane	Parish Duxford
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	<p>Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with scattered trees and residential gardens without public access..</p>
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<p><b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> No</p>
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA03) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>Site is within the village framework adjacent to Duxford Primary school and playing fields. Is within Conservation Area. Appears not to be accessible to the public. Fields with trees can be seen from Green St to the east. Enclosed area with well-established trees which adds to the character of this part of the village. Respondent who wishes the existing PVAA designation to be removed states that within the site lie two derelict former barns which are beyond functional use. Entire site has overgrown and has unkempt appearance which detracts from character and appearance of PVAA and wider Conservation Area.</i></p> <p>Council's recommendation in 2013: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. In the consultation, there was objection to designation by individuals - their comments are summarised as:</p>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)	Name of Site End of Mangers Lane	Parish Duxford
<p><i>should remove designation of PVAA as no longer meets criteria. Replace with more flexible and responsive community use allocation / designation (for allotments / orchard / affordable housing) to serve local community and village.</i></p> <p><b>Assessment by Council -</b> All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan.</p> <p>Representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore not addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>, or <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p> <p>There was one objection from an individual (64973) whose comments are summarised as: <i>Inclusion of site as LGS not following robust assessment of site. Previously designated as PVAA - does not mean it meets criteria for LGS. Concerned that the landowners had received no notification of proposed designation. Site enclosed area, not accessible to public. Not visible from public domain therefore does not contribute to village character. No community role. No recreational value. No significant wildlife. Extensive tract of land - not suitable as LGS. Some parts may be suitable but not northern section owned by respondent - College Farm. This should not be LGS. Site within conservation area which will protect its character and appearance.</i></p> <p>There was another objection from an individual (64975) whose comments are summarised as: <i>Site within conservation area - does not need further constraint. Comprises of 2 paddocks and 2 garden areas enclosed by fences. Accept paddocks have only limited development potential due to access constraints. Have been assured that LGS designation would not allow public right of access onto the land or use of compulsory purchase of site. On that understanding the landowner does not object to LGS on paddock areas but does strongly object to gardens being included. Both areas in full use as garden for adjoining houses. Delineation includes front portion of their house. Adjoining and neighbouring gardens not designated as LGS - expect to be treated likewise.</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)	Name of Site End of Mangers Lane	Parish Duxford
<p><i>Site not visible from public road or footpath - do not think site has been properly visited and assessed before designation.</i></p> <p>The council's response in 2015 was: <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 37-8: <i>SC4C.xiii. Should the boundary of the LGS designation for the End of Mangers Lane, Duxford be amended so as to include the paddock areas but exclude all of the residential garden land? Would the land remaining meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance? (NH/12-056)</i></p> <p><i>The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:</i></p> <p><i>a. Amend the boundary of the LGS</i> <i>b. Remaining land meets the LGS test?</i></p> <p><u><i>Amendment of the boundary?</i></u> <i>This site is an existing Protected Village Amenity Area, and since 1993 has been recognised in plans for the district as an area worthy of protection in the central part of Duxford, due to the contribution the openness of the area makes to the character and amenity of the village. The Council has assessed this area as being a suitable candidate for LGS.</i></p> <p><i>An objection has been received from the owners of the residential properties whose garden land is included within this LGS requesting that it be removed. The Council assessed the area and considered that it met the test for LGS providing an important open area within the village. A LGS can be made up of land with different characters – the paddocks alongside the residential gardens form an important open area within the centre of the village that if developed would impact on the character of this area.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p><u><i>Remaining land meeting the LGS test?</i></u></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)	Name of Site End of Mangers Lane		Parish Duxford
	<p><i>The 'remaining land' is an area which comprises of two paddocks with mature trees. The Council considers that this holds a particular local significance to this part of the village and therefore would meet the test for LGS together with the residential garden areas.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Paddocks and residential gardens contribute to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is in the Conservation area and provides the setting for 2 grade II listed buildings which are positioned on its east boundary - no.3 the Old House, Green Street and No.11, College Farmhouse and attached barn, Green Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises paddocks and residential gardens, located within the conservation area it contributes to the setting of listed buildings and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)	End of Mangers Lane		Duxford
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland with scattered trees and residential gardens without public access.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Paddocks and residential gardens		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Paddocks and residential gardens contribute to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with scattered trees and residential gardens without public access.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Paddocks and residential gardens contribute to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments	Parish Elsworth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS030) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Valued community asset providing recreational use for village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was to include it in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there were no representations.</p> <p>Representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore not addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p> <p>Davison &amp; Sons (Great Barford) Ltd objected in their rep (no.64911) because:  <i>Designation is not justified and Council has been</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments	Parish Elsworth
	<p><i>inconsistent in approach to assessing recreational open space and consequently LGS. Site privately owned and no mechanism to secure use for public.</i></p> <p><i>Relevant draft policy in Local Plan (NH/12) does not accord with the NPPF. Assessment of site's quality as allotments is not reflective of it circumstances. Alternative policy - SC/9 would provide adequate protection of allotment from development.</i></p> <p><i>LGS designation will not secure the allotments, indeed there is a distinct risk that their use for these purposes may be significantly curtailed altogether.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015: <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 38-9: <i>SC4C.xiv. Would the LGS designation of the allotment gardens on land at Meadow Drift, Elsworth be necessary or appropriate given that Policy SC9 of the Plan would provide adequate protection to the allotments from their loss or future development? (NH/12-057)</i></p> <p><i>185. The Council considers that the allotments should continue to be designated under Policy NH/12. Elsworth Parish Council submitted this site as they considered it to be valued community asset providing recreational use for the village in the form of allotments in this part of the village. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation. The Council recognises that Policy SC9 of the Plan does provide protection to allotment uses in general but not to specific sites and the policy would allow for another suitable location if there was a proposal to remove the allotment use from this site. The LGS designation shows that the local community value this particular location for the allotments as it has a long history and is oversubscribed by villagers. The protection of allotments is one of the types of green area that is listed in the National Planning Practice Guidance as being a suitable use for a LGS..</i></p> <p><i>Elsworth Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. (See Appendix 4) The Parish Council has set out the reasons why this LGS meets the tests for a LGS as this site is of special local significance. These are the only allotments in the village and the PC would find it difficult to find a suitable alternative site for this use within the village. They have been consistently</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments		Parish Elsworth
	<p><i>and continuously in use as allotments for at least 80 years. There has been a high demand for them. They contribute to the character and amenity of the rural community of the village.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p><u>Inspectors Interim Findings March 2017</u>  <b>Site NH/12-057 Meadow Drift, Elsworth</b>                      The site is an allotment situated on the northern fringe of the village. It clearly serves a purpose within the village but could not, to my mind, be regarded as demonstrably special in LGS terms.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site provides a soft edge at the north west corner of the village and adds to the setting of the Church of England Primary School. However, the site does not offer long views through the village. The allotment garden site is moderately visually attractive. It has well maintained allotments and paths and the site is enclosed with mature trees and hedgerows on 3 sites. It is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Part of the site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments provide a recreation function. However, they could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS. This reflects the Inspectors interim findings.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the north west corner of the village, they provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments which is partly	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments	Parish Elsworth
significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		within the conservation area. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. Its location on the periphery of the village provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. This refelects the Inspectors interim findings.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments	Parish Elsworth
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments	Parish Elsworth
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 58 (0.90 Hectares)	Name of Site Fardells Lane Nature Reserve	Parish Elsworth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Unofficial Nature Reserve.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS031) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This nature reserve is one that South Cambs DC included in the Biodiversity Strategy as being an open space of local importance. Wooded area important for wildlife. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there were one representation (no. 64881) in support of the LGS designation from Elsworth Parish Council who wrote: <i>Valuable village amenity accessible to all residents. Supports wide variety of plant and animal species in their natural habitat. Site provides a nearby educational asset for nature study for pupils at local Primary School.</i>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Nature Reserve contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation area and provides the setting for two grade II listed

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 58 (0.90 Hectares)	Name of Site Fardells Lane Nature Reserve	Parish Elsworth
<b>Historical significance</b>		buildings Nos 2 and 4, (Dears Farmhouse), Fardells Lane to the east of the site and No 4 (Ivyfold), Broad End to the west of the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land is accessible to local people, but it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located to the west of the village's centre, it is a the small nature reserve providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Nature reserve identified in the Biodiversity Strategy and will have a wildlife / biodiversity function and is also 'of particular local significance' to the local community.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a nature reserve located within the conservation area, which contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of listed buildings. It is accessible for recreation and provides a remote area of quiet contemplation. It is identified in the Biodiversity Strategy for its importance for wildlife and biodiversity function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 59 (2.68 Hectares)	Name of Site Grass Close	Parish Elsworth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area & Important Countryside Frontage
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreation Ground.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS034) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Playing fields providing recreational use for village. Field edged with mature trees. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of recreation ground makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation area and does provide the setting for grade II listed buildings at Nos 18, 20, 22 and 24, (The Manor House), Smiths Street to the east of the site, several Grade II listed cottages on Broad End to

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 59 (2.68 Hectares)	Name of Site Grass Close	Parish Elsworth
		the west of the site, Grov
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located beside a local nature reserve and residential properties, the area provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 60 (0.34 Hectares)	Name of Site Glebe Field	Parish Elsworth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees around the perimeter within a residential area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS033) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Field adjacent to the local church surrounded by mature trees. Brings green treed area to within the village adding rural character. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site. A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The rep (no. 64927) was an objection from the Ely Diocesan Board of Finance who commented:  <i>Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 60 (0.34 Hectares)	Name of Site Glebe Field	Parish Elsworth
<p><i>paragraph 77 of NPPF.</i></p> <p><i>Site is valued for its mature trees which are already statutorily protected.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has not demonstrated that site is special and holds a particular local significance apart from trees which could be retained with a sensitive development proposal.</i></p> <p><i>Eight sites have been identified within the village amounting to an extensive overall reduction in potential for the bringing forward of sustainable development, contrary to NPPF.</i></p> <p><i>The Inspector is respectfully requested to exclude the designation from the Local Plan.</i></p> <p>The council's response in 2015 was:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement</b> (November 2016) on page 39:  <i>SC4C.xv. Would the land known as Glebe Field, Elsworth meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular significance, and is currently protected by its designation as a PVAA? (NH/12-060).</i></p> <p><i>The value of this site in contributing to the character of this part of Elsworth has been recognised through earlier plan making as it is protected as an existing PVAA. This field is adjacent to the local church surrounded by mature trees. Brings green treed area to within the village adding rural character. The Council considers that the land known as Glebe Field should be designated as a LGS. Elsworth Parish Council submitted this site as it is an area of historical interest being the only 'glebe land' remaining within the village. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation.</i></p> <p><i>Elsworth Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. (See Appendix 4). This LGS has both historic significance and recreational value for the local community. Glebe originates in the Middle Ages as land held by the parish church to support the incumbent priest. After the 1803 Enclosure Award there was 58 acres of glebe land within the village and this field proposed as LGS is the last remaining piece of that mediaeval legacy - it therefore has great historical significance. It also has landscape value as it abuts the churchyard and contributes</i></p>		



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 60 (0.34 Hectares)	Name of Site Glebe Field	Parish Elsworth
	<p><i>to the setting of the Grade I listed church. It has recreational value as it is the site of the church fete and other village events.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area which contributes to the character and amenity of this part of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the conservation area. There are 2 Grade II listed buildings lying 50m distance to the east of the site (No 38, (Chapel cottage), Boxworth Road and No 40, (Baptist Chapel), Boxworth Road. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area in a residential area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a grass amenity area within the conservation area which contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of listed buildings. It provides an informal recreation function. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 60 (0.34 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Glebe Field		<b>Parish</b> Elsworth
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland with trees around the perimeter within a residential area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area of grass with trees.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees within a residential area beside a main road.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees within a residential area supports the village's character.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 61 (0.42 Hectares)	Name of Site Grounds of Low Farm	Parish Elsworth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Garden (not open to the public).	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS037) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. A grade II listed thatched farmhouse – Low Farm – stands in the middle of this area which is an existing PVAA. As the oldest house in the village this has historic interest and the surrounding land provides a setting to this property. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</i>  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It has a beauty in itself, but its contribution to the wider character of the village is limited as it surrounded by tall trees and cannot be seen from the public road.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The garden is part of the oldest building in the village, a Grade II listed farmhouse and it is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 61 (0.42 Hectares)	Name of Site Grounds of Low Farm	Parish Elsworth
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a privately owned garden.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises residential garden, located within the conservation area it contributes to the setting of listed buildings and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 61 (0.40 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Grounds of Low Farm		<b>Parish</b> Elsworth
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Garden (not open to the public)		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Privately owned site with no public access. The garden is part of the oldest building in the village, a Grade II listed farmhouse.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> The garden is part of the oldest building in the village, a Grade II listed farmhouse.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Secluded private garden.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Private garden of a Listed Building - the oldest in the village - supports the village's character.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 62 (1.121 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street	<b>Parish</b> Elsworth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Important Countryside Frontage
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Meadowland.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS039) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. This is grassland on the southern edge of the village with a mature hedgerow following the western boundary. Views across the site towards scattered properties in the village are protected by an important countryside frontage along Brockley Road. Many of these properties are listed and therefore this grassland provides a rural setting to them. This creates a rural entrance to the village from the south. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.                      A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 62 (1.121 Hectares)	Name of Site Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street	Parish Elsworth
		<p><b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>An individual objected to the boundary in a rep (no. 64896) whose comments are summarised as:  <i>Owners of land believe that there is an opportunity to provide a large element of green space on the site whilst integrating it with a sustainable development of open market and affordable housing - More detailed information is available on the proposed scheme.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 39:  <i>SC4C.xvi. Should consideration be given to amending the boundary of the Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street, Elsworth LGS designation in light of the proposals for residential development on part of the site? (NH/12-062)</i></p> <p><i>No. The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS, and warrants protection in the Local Plan. Elsworth Parish Council submitted this site as an area which is important to the character of the rural setting of the village. The Council considers that this site meets the tests for LGS designation.</i></p> <p><i>The proposed residential development was refused by the Council in a planning application in September 2015 (Ref S/1279/15/OL) and subsequently there was an unsuccessful appeal in January 2016 (APP/W0530/W/15/3135579)91. One of the main reasons for refusal was the important contribution this site makes in its current undeveloped form to the overall character and appearance of the conservation area and the setting of the church and other buildings including listed buildings which have views across this land. Due to the surrounding topography in the inspector’s opinion it provides important contextual views of the parish church and the village within the wider rural landscape. Development of this site would result in a substantial change in the land’s character and appearance. It would significantly erode the important balance of historic built form and landscape within the conservation area.</i></p> <p><i>Elsworth Parish Council in its additional evidence (See</i></p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 62 (1.121 Hectares)	Name of Site Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street	Parish Elsworth
		<p><i>Appendix 4) has highlighted the appeal decision and indicated that the current owners of this LGS support the LGS designation.</i></p> <p><i>The value of the proposed LGS has been supported through the appeal decision as the Inspector recognised the important contribution this site makes to the rural character of this part of the village. This has further reaffirmed the Council's assessment that this site should remain in the plan.</i></p>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It has a beauty in itself, but its contribution to the wider character of the village is limited as it surrounded by tall trees and cannot be seen from the public road.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No public access.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is meadowland located within the conservation area, which contributes to the character and amenity of the village, but none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 62 (1.12 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street	<b>Parish</b> Elsworth
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Meadowland	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 62 (1.12 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street	<b>Parish</b> Elsworth
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Land between two nearby but detached parts of the development framework and has strong countryside character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Views between Elsworth's own development framework.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> An ICF could go along the eastern edge of Brockley Road - Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street to protect its rural character and penetrating views of open countryside between Elsworth's own development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The frontage allows land with a strong countryside character to penetrate into the built up area providing a significant connection between the street scene and the surrounding rural area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street can be protected by the designation of an ICF along Brockley Road.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 63 (0.209 Hectares)	Name of Site Land at south end of Brook Street	Parish Elsworth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Grassland.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS040) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Adjacent to Brockley End Meadow County Wildlife site. This is a wooded area with the village brook running through it with a bridge. It provides a tranquil area and has wildlife value. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 63 (0.209 Hectares)</b></p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Land at south end of Brook Street</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Elsworth</b></p>
<p>The rep (no.64974) was an objection from an individual whose comments are summarised as:  <i>No robust assessment of LGS against criteria in para 77 of NPPF. Concerned that no formal notification of proposed designation.</i>  <i>Site does not meet criteria for LGS - no public access; vacant and unkempt site; not special to local community - not previously designated as PVAA; Valued as next to County Wildlife site - no significant wildlife on site. Limited evidence as to why LGS.</i>  <i>Site within conservation area which will protect its appearance and character.</i></p> <p>The council's response in 2015 was:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 40:  <i>SC4C.xvii. Would the Land at south end of Brook Street, Elsworth meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of it being demonstrably special and of particular local significance as the site is unkempt, overgrown, well screened and has no public access, and the land already benefits from designated heritage asset protection as it is within a conservation area? (NH/12-063).</i></p> <p><i>The value of this site in contributing to the character of this part of Elsworth has been recognised through earlier plan making as it is protected as an existing PVAA.</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. Elsworth Parish Council submitted this site as an area which is considered to be a tranquil area by the village brook, adjacent to the Brockley End Meadow Wildlife site and has wildlife value to the village. The Council considers that this site meets the tests for LGS designation.</i></p> <p><i>It should be noted that a LGS does not have to have public access and can be valued by the local community if it has a rural unkempt appearance.</i></p> <p><i>Elsworth Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. (See Appendix 4). This site meets the tests for LGS on the grounds of its tranquillity and richness of wildlife. The site sites between LGS NH/12-061 to the north which has within it the oldest</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 63 (0.209 Hectares)	Name of Site Land at south end of Brook Street		Parish Elsworth
	<p><i>building in the village and the County Wildlife site to the south. It is a highly significant area for wildlife and environmental conservation in the village and each component should be given the highest protection against development.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It has a beauty in itself, but its contribution to the wider character of the village is limited as it surrounded by tall trees in parts and cannot be seen from the public road.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Most of the site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No public access.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland, mostly located within the conservation area. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village, but none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 63 (0.21 Hectares)	Land at south end of Brook Street		Elsworth
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 63 (0.21 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land at south end of Brook Street		<b>Parish</b> Elsworth
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>		
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>		
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 64 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Land at Fardell's Lane	Parish Elsworth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Important Countryside Frontage
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS044) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Views northward from Fardell's Lane protected by an important countryside frontage. The site is within the Conservation Area enhancing the rural setting of the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It has a beauty in itself and contributes to the wider character of the village. It is surrounded by hedgerows and trees and can be easily seen from the public road.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 64 (0.41 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land at Fardell's Lane	<b>Parish</b> Elsworth
<b>Recreational value</b>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No public access.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland, located within the conservation area. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village, but none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 64 (0.41 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land at Fardell's Lane	<b>Parish</b> Elsworth
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b>	<b>Name of Site</b>	<b>Parish</b>
NH/12 – 64 (0.41 Hectares)	Land at Fardell's Lane	Elsworth
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> An ICF could go along the Fardell's Lane frontage to protect its rural character and penetrating views of open countryside.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The frontage allows land with a strong countryside character to penetrate into the built up area providing a significant connection between the street scene and the surrounding rural area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site's southern edge can be protected by the designation of an ICF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 65 (3.5 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Eltisley
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Village green.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS047) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. The village green in Eltisley is an important key green feature within the village recognised by already being identified as a PVAA. It provides a setting for the buildings in the centre of the village which include listed buildings. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The rep (no.64971) was an objection from Eltisley Parish</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 65 (3.5 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green		Parish Eltisley
	<p>Council: <i>Support the designation of village green as a LGS but wish to point out that the designated area must match the original land as mapped in the inclosure award of 17/3/1864. Council are aware of an error in the land registered with land registry and are in the process of seeking amendment of their records.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015: <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 41: <i>SC4C.xviii. Should the LGS designation for the Village Green, Eltisley be concurrent with the original land as mapped in the inclosure award of 17 March 1864? (NH/12-065).</i></p> <p><i>Eltisley Parish Council (PC) submitted this site as it is the village green and is an important key green feature within the village recognised by already being identified as a PVAA. The Council considers that this site meets the tests for LGS designation and designated the LGS to follow the same boundary as the existing PVAA.</i></p> <p><i>The Parish Council has requested that the inclosure award boundary be used to identify the LGS and has submitted a map showing this boundary (See Appendix 4). This boundary is very similar to that proposed as that for the LGS. Where there are differences it would appear that development has taken place since 1864 on the southern boundary of the green. The existing PVAA has protected the village green over a number of years and the Council considers that for planning purposes continuing the boundary of the PVAA to the LGS provides continuity and is an effective logical boundary for the LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan with no amendment to its boundary.</i></p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Large village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is in the Conservation area and	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 65 (3.5 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Eltisley
<b>Historical significance</b>		there is one listed building on site (a telephone kiosk). It provides the setting for the Grade II listed Green farmhouse, 50m to the south of the Green. There is a war memorial on the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green, within the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings, it makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides a primary recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 66 (0.59 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments for Labouring Poor	Parish Eltisley
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS048) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Allotments to north side of Caxton Drift provide a recreational use for the village/ Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site provides a soft edge at the south east corner of the village. The site does not add to the setting of nearby buildings. or offer long views through the village. The allotment garden site is only moderately visually attractive with well maintained allotments and paths and the site is fully enclosed with mature trees. It lacks any distinct aesthetically pleasing feature and is not of 'particular local significance'.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 66 (0.59 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments for Labouring Poor	Parish Eltisley
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments provide a recreation function. However, they could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the south east corner of the village, they provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. Its location on the periphery of the village provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 66 (0.59 Hectares)	Allotments for Labouring Poor		Eltisley
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Allotments		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 66 (0.59 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Allotments for Labouring Poor	<b>Parish</b> Eltisley
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 67 (0.29 Hectares)	Name of Site Pocket Park	Parish Eltisley
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS049) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. This is a wooded area which has a mature hedgerow all along the northern boundary with the road. The local community are working to encourage wildlife into this area by careful management. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 67 (0.29 Hectares)	Name of Site Pocket Park	Parish Eltisley
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS. <b>Reasons:</b> It is a small woodland area providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a wooded area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, and has informal recreation, wildlife and biodiversity functions and provides a remote area of quiet contemplation. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 67 (0.29 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Pocket Park	<b>Parish</b> Eltisley
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 67 (0.29 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Pocket Park	<b>Parish</b> Eltisley
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 68 (0.7 Hectares)	Name of Site Paddock, Ditton Lane at the junction with High Ditch Road	Parish Fen Ditton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Important Countryside Frontage
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
<b>Reasons:</b> .		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS050) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>Submitted by Parish Council. The western edge of the site has an important countryside frontage along its length in the Cambridge East Area Action Plan protecting views out across the site towards properties and gardens and beyond to the wider open countryside. Area of pastureland which is a green space which brings countryside into village and enhances rural character of this part of Fen Ditton. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 68 (0.7 Hectares)	Name of Site Paddock, Ditton Lane at the junction with High Ditch Road	Parish Fen Ditton
<p><b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no.64926) was objecting to the LGS designation. It was from RM Francis Will Trust who stated: <i>Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF. Site fails second test as it has not been shown to be demonstrably special and of particular local significance. Concept of bringing countryside into village to enhance rural character is not considered demonstrably special due to the existing scale of the village and its already strong rural character. Views of the site are limited. Views of properties and gardens are not considered special. Views out towards open countryside are restricted by mature trees and views are already protected by Importance Countryside Frontage designation. Designation will preclude any consideration of a sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015: <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 41-2: SC4C.xix. <i>Would the Paddock, Ditton Lane at the junction with High Ditch Road, Fen Ditton meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular significance, and is currently protected by its designation as an Important Countryside Frontage? (NH/12-068).</i></p> <p><i>Fen Ditton Parish Council submitted this site for consideration as a LGS stating that it is always regarded as the ‘green lung’ of the village and that it enhances its rural aspect. The Green Belt surrounds the village of Fen Ditton and this field is a pocket of green space within the village where the boundary of the Green Belt extends around the built form of Fen Ditton.</i></p> <p><i>The value of this land has been recognised in an earlier plan as there is an Important Countryside Frontage identified along part of its boundary in the Cambridge East Area Action Plan. This frontage is along the northern and western boundary of the site; protecting views out across the site towards properties and gardens and beyond to the</i></p>		



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 68 (0.7 Hectares)	Name of Site Paddock, Ditton Lane at the junction with High Ditch Road	Parish Fen Ditton
		<p><i>wider open countryside. This frontage provides protection from development of the site. The Council is assessing this site considered that it met the tests for LGS designation.</i></p> <p><i>The owners of the land, the RM Francis Will Trust have objected to the LGS designation considering that it does not meet the test for LGS according to paragraph 77 of the NPPF and that designation as LGS would preclude any consideration of a sensitively designed scheme for sustainable development.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It has a beauty in itself and its openness contributes to the wider character of the village. It surrounded by tall trees.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the conservation area and is positioned next to a grade II listed building (no 6, (Honeysuckle Cottage) High Ditch Road. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No public access.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is paddock land which has a beauty in itself. Its openness contributes to the wider character of the village and it forms part of the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 68 (0.70 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Paddock, Ditton Lane at the junction with High Ditch Road	<b>Parish</b> Fen Ditton
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details: 0</b>	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 68 (0.7 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Paddock, Ditton Lane at the junction with High Ditch Road	<b>Parish</b> Fen Ditton
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Land between two nearby but detached parts of the development framework and has strong countryside character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Views towards the south and east from the centre of Fen Ditton's own development framework.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> An ICF could go along the northern and western edges of the site to protect its rural character and penetrating views of open countryside from Fen Ditton's own development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The frontage allows land with a strong countryside character to penetrate into the built up area providing a significant connection between the street scene and the surrounding rural area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site's northern and western edges can be protected by the designation of an ICF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 69 (0.01 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Fen Ditton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS051) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Very small area of grass land located at a cross roads upon which there is a seat and the village sign. Due to the busy nature of this road it is not an area for informal recreation or quiet reflection but has the village sign which is important to the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small village green which is the location of the village sign in the heart of the village. It makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of this crossroads in the central part of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation area. It is located 50m distance from the Grade II listed Ancient Shepherd public House on the High

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 69 (0.01 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Fen Ditton
		Street and Musgrave farmhouse on the High Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small, central area beside a busy crossroad and therefore provides a very limited feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green, within the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings, it makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 70a (2.81 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreation Ground	<b>Parish</b> Foxton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G30) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>Recreation ground in the middle of the village outside of the village framework so could not be considered as a PVAA. To the north of the site is a grade I listed church which overlooks the recreation ground with views across the green space to open countryside. Housing overlooks the green space on two sides. The local character of this part of the village would be protected if this area were designated as LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 2 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 70a</b>                      (2.81 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Recreation Ground</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Foxton</b></p>
	<p>addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no.64969) was objecting to the LGS designation. It was from Cambridgeshire County Council who stated:  <i>Site should only be designated if it passes the tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF. Objection to part of site being designated. Site used as allotment land -this has not been demonstrated by District Council to be special to local community or to hold a particular local significance. Site forms part of an extensive tract of land of different character areas that have not been assessed for their individual contributions to local community. Site fails to comply with second and third tests set out in NPPF. Designation will risk harming future delivery of sustainable housing development on adjacent land contrary to aims of enabling sustainable development set out in NPPF.</i>  <i>Inspector requested to amend designation to exclude objection site.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 42-3:                      SC4C.xx.  <i>Should the land currently in use as allotments south of the recreation ground and immediately adjacent to the hammer head at the western end of Killingworth Way be excluded from the LGS designation for the Recreation Ground, Foxton on the basis that it is of an entirely different nature to the recreation ground and is not demonstrably special or hold a particular significance for the local community? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles? (NH/12-070)</i></p> <p><i>The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:</i>                      a. Remove the allotment area from LGS                      b. Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 70a</b> (2.81 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Foxton</b>
<p><u>Remove the allotment area from the LGS?</u>  <i>Foxton Parish Council submitted this site for consideration as a LGS. It comprises of the village recreation ground and allotments which were identified as much loved local amenities and would have a hugely detrimental effect on the character of the village if they were lost. The local community value both the recreation area and the allotments and in other villages where these two uses are separate LGS has been designated on both sites. The Council considers that this site meets the tests for LGS designation. The protection of allotments is one of the types of green area that is listed in the National Planning Practice Guidance as being a suitable use for a LGS.</i></p> <p><i>A statement has been submitted by Foxton Parish Council PC (See Appendix 4) which reaffirms the high significance that the local community place on this LGS, both the recreation ground and the allotments – it is a green space at the heart of the community. The allotments are highly valued and the PC has suggested that they would be supportive of the allotments being designated as a separate area to the main recreation ground. The Council however considers that the whole site meets the LGS criteria and therefore it is not necessary to split the site into two separately designated areas.</i></p> <p><u>Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles?</u>  <i>The owners of the allotment land – Cambridgeshire County Council has objected to this area being included in the LGS designation. In designating LGS areas within villages the Council has taken into account the need to ensure that these designations are not over used so that a village ends up with no future space for growth.</i></p> <p><i>In Foxton there are only four sites being proposed as LGS. The Parish Council is currently preparing a neighbourhood plan and through this process will be considering the future uses of land within the village. The Council considers that the current boundary of the LGS should be retained and that during the neighbourhood plan process there could be an opportunity for the Parish Council to take account of the views of the local community to see if there are opportunities for sites suitable for housing.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p><u>Inspectors’ Interim Findings March 2017</u></p>		



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 70a</b> (2.81 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Foxton</b>
	<b>LGS Site NH/12-070-Foxton</b> The site comprises a recreation ground and allotment which is well located within the village. The playing field clearly has a recreational value and is served by a pavilion. In my view this recreation area would meet the criteria above although the allotment by contrast would fall short of that objective.  In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 070 into two parts (070a Recreation ground and 070b Allotments).	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty as it is visually attractive, adds to the setting of surrounding buildings and offers long views through the settlement and of local features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is located 50m distance south from the Grade I listed 12th century Church of St Lawrence for which it provides a setting. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a village recreational ground with approximately 90% of the site surrounded by residential uses and therefore cannot demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 70b</b> (0.57 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Foxton</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 70 into two parts (070a Recreation ground and 700b Allotments).  For information for site considerations through plan making for site 070b, please refer to the information for site 070a (above).	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments make only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments provide a recreation function. However, they could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS. This reflects the Inspectors interim findings.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a village recreational ground with approximately 90% of the site surrounded by residential uses and therefore cannot demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 70b</b> (0.57 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Foxton</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 70b</b> (0.57 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Foxton</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details: Allotments</b>		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 70b (0.57 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Allotments	<b>Parish</b> Foxton
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost.  The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 71 (0.10 Hectares)	Name of Site The Green	Parish Foxton
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<p><b>If yes</b>, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> No</p>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G31) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>Located to the south of the High Street. This is a triangle of green space with trees and seating which offers a tranquil area within the village. There are a number of grade II cottages overlooking the green. Sites meets test for only LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 2 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Compact village green area with seating and trees overlooked by Grade II listed buildings, makes a significant contribution to the character of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area and provides the setting of the adjacent Grade II listed buildings. The Green includes a war memorial, a

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 71 (0.10 Hectares)	Name of Site The Green	Parish Foxton
		grade II listed cottage (Cottage on the Green) and the listed Water Pump. There are 2 further listed buildings (Cottage at no.1 the Green and Home Farmhouse outside the Green but overlooking the Green.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an informal amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land is adjacent to a village's peripheral road and therefore cannot be said to provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Compact village green area with seating and trees overlooked by Grade II listed buildings, makes a significant contribution to the character of the village. Site is within the conservation area and provides the setting of adjacent Grade II listed buildings. The Green itself includes a war memorial, a grade II listed cottage (Cottage on the Green) and the listed Water Pump. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 72 (0.44 Hectares)	Name of Site Dovecote Meadow	Parish Foxton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Meadowland..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G32) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>Within centre of village on the High Street with important countryside frontage identified along its northern boundary to protect views across the site. It is outside of the village framework. The grassy meadow has a dovecote within it which has been restored by the local community. This dovecote has historical significance to the village. The site is being managed to improve its biodiversity. (There is a 25 year lease (2006) from the owners, Cambridgeshire County Council to the Parish.) Sites meets test for only LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 2 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Provides views through the village and is of 'particular local significance'.



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 72 (0.44 Hectares)	Name of Site Dovecote Meadow	Parish Foxton
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Dovecote on-site has some unspecified historical significance to the village. Site is located in conservation area and is 30m distance from a Grade II listed cottage on the High Street and 30m distance from another Grade II listed dwelling on the High Street and also a war memorial. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an informal amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Improvements to the site's unspecified biodiversity have been implemented, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Meadowland provides views through the village and is of 'particular local significance'. The site is within the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings and the on-site dovecote of historical importance to the community. It does provide a remote area of quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	Name of Site Green Area on Station Road	Parish Foxton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Amber</b>	Outline planning permission granted for residential development of up to 22 dwellings on the land to the rear of 7-37 Station Rd, Foxton. Access to this proposed development is indicated as crossing a section of the LGS.
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
<b>Reasons:</b> Village green.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> Yes - Remove southern corner area owned by Goreway Holdings Ltd to allow access improvements to sites at the rear of station road.	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PC15) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This is a wide grass verge following the western side of Station Road. It has some trees within it creating a rural character to this stretch of road. As it is beside a road it would not have a recreational value or be tranquil. It is within the village framework. The Council does not consider that it meets the criteria for either a PVAA or LGS.</i>  The consultation in 2013 drew 2 supports and 1 objection for including the site in the plan as LGS. Foxton Parish Council confirm their and local support for this site. It adds character to this area of the village and is setting of two listed buildings. A recent planning application was refused on the grounds that this open green space was an	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	Name of Site Green Area on Station Road	Parish Foxton
	<p>important part of the village. An objection was received from landowner of site to rear of properties in Station Rd (SHLAA site 233) to having a parish council proposed important green space since this is not consistent with NPPF or the Council's approach. Site does add to the setting of two listed buildings in Station Rd and to the rural character of this part of Foxton. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no.64950) was objecting to the LGS designation. It was from Goreway Holdings Limited c/o Endurance Estates Limited who stated: <i>This wide roadside verge does not hold any recreational value for community and would be unsafe to use. Does not provide tranquil oasis due to its proximity to Station Road. No evidence that significant wildlife is present. Statement from Parish Council does not demonstrate land is demonstrably special to local community and no evidence has been submitted. Council's assessment in 2012 concluded land did not meet criteria of Local Green Space. From Council's Submission documents designation is based on Parish Council's recommendations, which were limited and vague. To apply LGS designation to roadside verge undermines criteria of LGS. Applying designation to one roadside verge suggests it could be applied to many, which is not intention of Paragraph 77 of the NPPF. Proposed designation is unsound and should be removed.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015: <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 43-4:</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	Name of Site Green Area on Station Road		Parish Foxton
	<p>SC4C.xxi.</p> <p><i>Would the land at Green Area on Station Road, Foxton meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of particular local significance given that the Council’s own assessment of the land in 2012 did not support its designation? (NH/12-073)</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. Foxton Parish Council (PC) submitted this site in the Issues and Options consultation in 2012 and the Council did not consider that it met the criteria as little evidence was submitted by the parish council at that time as to the reasons for why it was a site demonstrably special to the local community. It was included as a Parish Council proposal in the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013 where there was support from the Parish Council and also further evidence submitted by them to explain the value of the site. The PC states that the land adds to the character of this part of the village and is the setting of two listed buildings. As a result of this additional information the Council re-assessed the site and decided that it met the tests for LGS and was included in the Proposed Submission Plan.</i></p> <p><i>The PC has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this area as LGS (See Appendix 2). The historical importance of this site is set out including its close links to the adjacent housing built for key worker at the University Tutorial Press in the early twentieth century. According to the PC it is a unique example of a model village development in Cambridgeshire, and the open space in front of the cottages is as much at the heart of this as the buildings themselves. The area is being considered by the PC for inclusion in a proposed extension to the Foxton Conservation Area in a review that is currently being carried out. The Council is working closely with the PC during this review</i></p> <p><i>The owners of the land have objected to its designation – Goreway Holdings Ltd and have submitted a planning application to the Council in August 2016. This application (S/2148/16/OL) is for residential development of up to 22 dwellings on the land to the rear of 7-37 Station Rd, Foxton. Access to this proposed development is indicated as crossing a section of the LGS. This application is to be considered by the Council at the December 2016 Planning Committee. An update on the status of the application and the implications for the LGS will be provided to the Inspectors prior to the hearing.</i></p>		
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b></p>	<p><b>Amber</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	Name of Site Green Area on Station Road		Parish Foxton
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site faces 2 grade II listed dwellings and provides a setting for these. A war memorial and a building of local interest (The Burlington Press building) lie south of the site. This green space survives from historic times, when Station Road was named 'Stockers Lane', and is part of original parkland pasture. The site is also closely linked to the adjacent housing built for key worker at the University Tutorial Press in the early twentieth century.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land is adjacent to a village's main arterial road and therefore cannot be said to provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Village green with some historical significance which contributes to the character of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Green Area on Station Road		<b>Parish</b> Foxton
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Village green.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site considered close to community	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green with some historical significance which contributes to the character of the village.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond	<b>Parish</b> Fulbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Amber</b>	Site does not have planning permission but is the subject to a live planning application. However, an Inspector considering a previous application considered that it did not warrant LGS status. (Appeal Ref. APP/W0530/15/3139730)
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Large area of unused rural land..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Red</b>	Site not submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G34) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>The site is located on the northern edge of Fulbourn south of the railway line from Cambridge to Ipswich. The site comprises of two enclosed fields and is adjacent to Green Belt land. This site was submitted during the Call for Sites as part of the SHLAA (Site 162). The site was assessed and was found to have limited development opportunities. Two existing PVAs adjoin the southern boundary one of which includes the Horse Pond. The site is outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVA. The respondents have stated that the area is used by many residents for recreation, dog walking, toddler walking etc. and is a green space that is widely used and appreciated. Site meets test for only LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b> Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond</p>	<p><b>Parish</b> Fulbourn</p>
<p>The consultation in 2013 drew 60 supports and 2 objections for including the site in the plan as LGS. Objection from owner of land to LGS. Site is neither available for open space nor capable of delivery of such purposes. The land is entirely within private ownership and does not benefit from any form of public access. Lots of support for the option. Fulbourn Parish Council supports this as the Parish Plan calls for the village's setting and best landscapes and views to be preserved. LGS does not have to be accessible to the local community to be considered special to them in providing a rural setting to their village. Site meets test for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013: <i>Fulbourn PC – support LGS policy as it protects intrinsic character of village and surrounding countryside. Support designation from Fulbourn Forum for Community Action and 24 individuals. Haven for local wildlife. Important green space for village. Field enhances setting and appearance of this part of village – brings countryside into heart of village. Contributes to retaining rural character. As village has expanded in recent years important to preserve character and ambience of village.</i></p> <p>Objection that site does not meet criteria for LGS by <i>Castlefield International Ltd. No public access / private land – therefore any public activity on land represents trespass. Need for sixth criteria for assessing sites – whether they are deliverable as LGS – this site is not. Not put forward by Parish Council even though they made comprehensive represents to S Cambs therefore not worthy of designation. If site to be secured as long term green space would need support of PC. Priority in South Cambs is for housing land, sustainable site for allocation - complies with NPPF. Remove designation.</i></p> <p><b>Assessment by Council</b> <i>All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting</b></p>		



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b> Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond</p>	<p><b>Parish</b> Fulbourn</p>
<p><b>10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no.64907) was objecting to the LGS designation and requested that the boundary is amended. The representation was from an individual whose comments are summarised as:  <i>Leg of site extending east across frontage of private property is not part of adjacent Victorian Garden. Maps accompanying PVAA and LGS documents are inconsistent, lacking definition as to extent of land to be designated. Potential designated area includes paved access roads and parking areas. Local community may not know that land now has no connection to the Victorian Garden and therefore respondents request that it is deleted from designated area. Alternatively, request the designation be limited to a 10m deep strip north from the property's southern site boundary with Cow Lane extending eastwards from Victorian Garden only as far as the western side of existing property main access road.</i></p> <p>The council's response in 2015 was:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 44-5:  SC4C.xxii.  <i>Would the LGS designation relating to the land comprising the Field between Cox's Drive, Cow Lane and Land adjacent to the Horse Pond, Fulbourn be necessary as the land already benefits from designated heritage asset protection as it is within a conservation area? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles as the site is located within the village development framework? (NH/12-074).</i></p> <p><i>The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:</i>  a. <i>LGS designation necessary?</i>  b. <i>Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?</i>  <i>The Council considers that the site should remain as a LGS as it is valued by the local community.</i></p> <p><u><i>LGS designation necessary?</i></u>  <i>The site is located on the northern edge of Fulbourn south</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b> Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond</p>	<p><b>Parish</b> Fulbourn</p>
<p><i>of the railway line from Cambridge to Ipswich. The site comprises of two enclosed fields and is adjacent to Green Belt land. Two existing PVAAs adjoin the southern boundary one of which includes the Horse Pond. The respondent who proposed this site as suitable for LGS stated that the area is used by many residents for recreation, dog walking, toddler walking etc. and is a green space that is widely used and appreciated.</i></p> <p><i>The site was considered by the Council to meet the test for LGS designation and received a high level of support when it was consulted upon in Issues and Options 2 including support from Fulbourn Parish Council who pointed out that the Parish Plan supports this site as it forms part of the village's setting that the parish wants to be preserved. The landowner also objected at this stage stating that the site was neither available for open space nor deliverable as such. The site is in private ownership and does not benefit from public access. It should be noted that the National Planning Practice states that LGS can be in private ownership with no public access.</i></p> <p><i>As stated at paragraph 109 above, the Council does not consider that a site being within a conservation area means that it cannot be considered as a suitable candidate for LGS. It does not duplicate protection. It is in fact only the southern part of the site that is within the conservation area.</i></p> <p><i>Fulbourn Parish Council has submitted further evidence in support of the designation of this LGS. (See Appendix 4). The Parish Council has outlined the reasons why this site meets the test for LGS. For many years the site has been an area of peace and tranquillity for parishioners to enjoy and is a much loved local amenity which allows for quiet enjoyment. It is used for informal outdoor lessons by local school children and for informal recreation – dog walking and as an informal play space. It is considered an oasis of green space frequently by all over many years. Residents of the local nursing home appreciate walks or just the view to the green space. The site has a rich biodiversity with a chalk stream running through it.</i></p> <p><u><i>Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?</i></u> <i>The landowners of the site, Castleford International Ltd, have objected to its designation as a LGS saying that South Cambridgeshire District Council should give priority to allocating housing land in sustainable locations such as this site.</i></p> <p><i>The site had been submitted during the Call for Sites as part</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Fulbourn</b>
	<p><i>of the SHLAA (Site 162). The site was assessed by the Council for its development potential and was found to have limited development opportunities and was not included as a housing option in the Local Plan. In assessing sites for LGS the Council has taken into account the need to ensure the designations are not over used so that a village ends up with no future space for growth. There are only two LGS sites within Fulbourn. A recent appeal decision has recognised that there is strong support for the LGS designation from local people. It is acknowledged that in this case the Inspector was not persuaded that the site possesses any particular beauty, historic significance, or richness of wildlife to warrant LGS designation.</i></p> <p><i>However, whether the proposed LGS designation should be retained is now a matter for the Local Plan Inspectors to determine having regard to all of the evidence before them, including the views of the s.78 appeal Inspector.</i></p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u>  <b>LGS Site NH/12-074-Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and land adjacent to Horse Pound, Fulbourn</b>                      This is a large parcel of land on the northern fringe of the village which appears largely unkempt and overgrown. I could see nothing demonstrably special that would enable this site to be designated as LGS.</p> <p>In this regard, I therefore agree with the findings of the Inspector in regard to appeal decision APP/W0530/15/3139730 who commented that he did not consider the site as a valued landscape in Framework terms, or that it satisfies the criteria for LGS designation.</p> <p>He also commented that LGS designations should not be applied to sites in sustainable locations, which are otherwise unconstrained and well suited for development of (in that case) new homes. He further stated that the guidance in the Planning Policy Guidance (PPG) [Reference ID 37-007-20140306] that LGS designations should not be used in a way that undermines this aim of plan making.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of grassland with a rural character. Planning appeal ref: APP/W0530/15/3139730: the site only plays a limited role in defining the rural character of the village.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> South part of the site is in the village conservation area. However, it is not considered	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond	<b>Parish</b> Fulbourn
		the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No formal rights of way across the site. The land has no recreational value that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site has a limited role in defining the rural character of the village and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. A recent appeal decision highlights: the site's potential for housing development; it has no valued landscape, deserving of protection under paragraph 109. It is 'of particular local significance' and therefore warrants the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond		<b>Parish</b> Fulbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Part of the site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Large area of unused rural land.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes - in part</b>	<b>Details:</b> Part of the site has previously been designated as a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of grassland with a rural character		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Southern part of the site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> South part of the site makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character. Include the part of the site that is within the village framework as PVAA.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 75 (0.78 Hectares)	Name of Site Victorian garden	Parish Fulbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Victorian garden.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> Yes - Private garden areas removed	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Amber</b>	Site submitted by Fulbourn Forum
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS059) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Fulbourn Forum for community action and others. Existing PVAA. This area has within it the Old Pumping Station. A garden was designed in 1891 and contains pond which was originally used to cool condensed steam from the engines. The site is not open to the public. The boundary with Cow Lane has mature trees. The presence of the pumping station and related garden give this area a historic value to the local community. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The Council Recommendation in 2013 - Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013: <i>There was support for designation from Fulbourn Forum for community action and 16 individuals. Area valued by local community – has both historic and recreational value. Landscape value – where springs emerge in village. Countryside penetrating into village, contributes to rural village character.</i>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 75 (0.78 Hectares)	Name of Site Victorian garden	Parish Fulbourn
<p>2 representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015:</b></p> <p>Rep no. 64907 was from an individual who objected and proposed amending the boundary. The individual's comments are summarised as:  <i>Leg of site extending east across frontage of private property is not part of adjacent Victorian Garden. Maps accompanying PVAA and LGS documents are inconsistent, lacking definition as to extent of land to be designated. Potential designated area includes paved access roads and parking areas. Local community may not know that land now has no connection to the Victorian Garden and therefore respondents request that it is deleted from designated area. Alternatively, request the designation be limited to a 10m deep strip north from the property's southern site boundary with Cow Lane extending eastwards from Victorian Garden only as far as the western side of existing property main access road.</i></p> <p>Castleford International Ltd (Rep no. 64959) also objected to the designation as LGS for these reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Not 'positively prepared' as seeks to sterilise a site which clearly has development potential and is capable of coming forward during SCDC five year period under assessment (2014-2019)</i></li> <li>2. <i>Not 'effective' as site is already designated as Conservation Area and not afforded any other special protection in Local Plan.</i></li> <li>3. <i>Not 'justified' as consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties.</i></li> <li>4. <i>Not 'consistent with national policy' as it does not meet LGS criteria of para 77 or accord with para 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.</i></li> </ol> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 46:  <b>SC4C.xxiii.</b></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 75 (0.78 Hectares)	Name of Site Victorian garden	Parish Fulbourn
		<p><i>Should the LGS boundary designation relating to the Victorian Garden, Fulbourn be amended to exclude the area of land extending east across the frontage of private office accommodation which is fenced off from the Victorian Garden? (NH/12-075)</i></p> <p><i>This site has been recognised for a number of years as providing character to this part of the village being a PVAA and during plan making was proposed by the Fulbourn Forum for community action for consideration as a LGS as it is the area where the Old Pumping Station is located within a garden designed in 1891. The presence of the pumping station and related garden give this area a historic value to the local community.</i></p> <p><i>There was support for this LGS from the Fulbourn Forum and 16 individuals during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013.. This level of support is high compared to other LGS areas proposed in the plan. The supporters highlighted that this site was recognised as having landscape value where countryside penetrates into the village contributing to the rural character of Fulbourn.</i></p> <p><i>The owners of the proposed LGS have suggested an amended boundary to the site which excludes the paved access roads and parking areas in front of their property. This amended area would also exclude some mature trees that add to the character of this part of the village linking to the garden site where the pumping station is located. The Council considers that the whole of the site meets the tests for LGS designation and therefore no modification is proposed.</i></p> <p><i>Fulbourn Parish Council has not previously provided any views about this LGS. However the Council consulted with the PC for their help in providing comments on any local support for LGS sites in Fulbourn. The PC has submitted comments regarding this LGS confirming that it does not have an objection to the boundary of this LGS being amended to exclude the area of land extending east across the frontage of the private office accommodation which is fenced off from the Victorian Garden. (See Appendix 4)</i></p> <p><i>The Council does not consider on balance that the removal of this LGS would be necessary for the plan to be found sound.</i></p>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site of Old Pumping Station, within the conservation area. The old Pumping Station is



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 75 (0.78 Hectares)	Name of Site Victorian garden	Parish Fulbourn
		referred to on the Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Record (CHER) website. The site does have a particular local significance due to its historical significance.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site of Old Pumping Station cannot demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Site of Old Pumping Station, within the conservation area, and with a particular local significance due to its historical significance. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 76a</b> (0.80 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Log Field</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Gamlingay</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Open land.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS62) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>A large rough grassy area with well established trees along northeastern edge beside Greenacres. It would have some wildlife values. It is on the western edge of Gamlingay village outside of the village framework. It does not appear to have any distinguishing features to it to be identified as LGS. The Parish Council has mentioned this field in their submission relating to wanting to preserve the special character Gamlingay has with its nearby hamlets (Representation 33539). According to the respondent this area is demonstrably special to the village. Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS.</i>  The council's reassessment in 2013 was: <i>Resubmitted by Parish Council. Assessed in earlier consultation and with the information available at that time it was not considered that it met the tests for either PVAA or LGS. Further information is now available from the Parish Council in which they stress the value the local community place upon the site for its beauty, tranquillity and richness of</i>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 76a</b>                      (0.80 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Log Field</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Gamlingay</b></p>
	<p><i>wildlife. It is seen as a green lung providing a buffer between Gamlingay and Dennis Green. It has high recreational value since it is close to an area of housing with few green spaces. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was - Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013:                      Support for LGS designation came from Gamlingay PC and 54 individuals – preserves openness, beauty, tranquillity and richness of wildlife for residents on west side of village. Valued by local community. Should not be developed. Focal point of village especially when lupins flower in summer. Limited opportunity and access to open space on this part of village. Suggest part of Merton Field should be fenced off as play area. Field marks boundary between edge of settlement and Hamlet of Dennis Green – natural boundary.</p> <p>An objection to LGS came from from Merton College as site does not meet criteria for designation as LGS. Council misguided in designating it as LGS. NPPF states blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements is not appropriate + Landowner does not believe they have been properly consulted – plan fails legal compliance. No public right of access. Limited historic or wildlife value. Reaction from community to planning application on site. Designation barrier to future development.</p> <p>Assessment by Council - All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no.64951) from Merton College was objecting to the LGS designation. Reasons for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The land lies outside of the Village Framework.</i></li> <li>2. <i>It is not an area of beauty, comprising a scrub area and open grazing land that is indistinguishable from other areas around the village.</i></li> <li>3. <i>There has been no identification of any of the College</i></li> </ol>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 76a</b>                      (0.80 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Log Field</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Gamlingay</b></p>
	<p><i>land being of ecological value</i></p> <p><i>4. It is not of known historic significance or tranquillity.</i></p> <p><i>5. Only part of the land serves any recreational use and this is only on a temporary arrangement.</i></p> <p><i>6. It is not demonstrably special to the local community.</i></p> <p><i>Proposed allocation is considered inappropriate, unnecessary and contrary to NPPF, as such it is considered not sound. This is reflected in fact that when initially requested to designate area as a Local Green Space officers concluded 'Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS' and in absence of any change in circumstances this remains the case.</i></p> <p><i>In 2015, the council responded:                      This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p><i>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 47-8:                      SC4C.xxv.                      Would Lupin Field, Gamlingay meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of particular local significance as it represents an area of scrub and open grazing that is indistinguishable from other areas of open space around the village and only part of the area supports recreational activities and this is on a temporary arrangement? Further, the land is beyond the village development framework and would therefore be protected from future development which could result in coalescence with Dennis Green by Policy S/7 of the Plan. (NH/12-076).</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. This site was submitted by Gamlingay Parish Council during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in which they stressed the value the local community place upon the site for its beauty, tranquillity and richness of wildlife. It is seen as a green lung providing a buffer between Gamlingay and Dennis Green. It has high recreational value since it is close to an area of housing with few green spaces. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation using the evidence from the Parish Council and was included in the Proposed Submission Local Plan where there was much local support for its inclusion (Gamlingay Parish Council and 54 individuals).</i></p> <p><i>The owners of the land, Merton College, have objected to</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 76a</b> (0.80 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Log Field</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Gamlingay</b>
	<p><i>the designation considering that the LGS does not meet any of the criteria for being designated as a LGS. They consider that the site is indistinguishable from other areas of open space around the village. However the local community have indicated that it is considered a demonstrably special area by them. The site does not have to be in recreational use for it to be a LGS. The LGS is outside of the village development framework but could be considered as a rural exception site for development and therefore is not protected from future development if the LGS designation were to be removed.</i></p> <p><i>Gamlingay Parish Council has submitted further detailed evidence supporting the continued designation of this site as a LGS.( Appendix 4) There are specific characteristics that support the site as being worthy of LGS status. A natural spring on the site has created a biodiversity that has a significant value to the village and is unique to this site. It also plays an important role in preserving the settlement pattern of hamlets surrounding the village of Gamlingay. This site preserves the village separation on the edge of the village from the Denis Green/Park Lane hamlet – it is the last ‘green lung’. It has a distinct character and is highly valued by the residents of Green Acres who use the northern part of the site- Log Field - for recreation. There is a lack of play spaces within the adjoining residential area. The southern section of the site has a more natural environment which is treasured by local residents. It also has a historical value as it forms the main access the Clopton Way – a long distant walkway that dates from Anglo-Saxon times. The PC supports the site remaining in the plan as LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 076 into three parts (076a Log Field, 076b The Horse paddocks and 070c Lupin Field).</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Meadowland with mature trees contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area between two	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 76a</b> (0.80 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Log Field</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Gamlingay</b>
<b>Recreational value</b>		residential areas. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the western periphery of the village, the area's character use provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Meadowland with mature trees, located on the periphery of the village. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 76b (1.10 Hectares)	Name of Site The Horse Paddocks	Parish Gamlingay
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Paddocks.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 076 into three parts (076a Log Field, 076b The Horse paddocks and 070c Lupin Field). For information for site considerations through plan making for site 076b, please refer to the information for site 076a (above) when it was part of a larger site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As a rural field it makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No formal rights of way across the site. The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located between residential housing, the site is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 76b</b> (1.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Horse Paddocks</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Gamlingay</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. A rural paddock, located on the periphery of the village. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 76b</b> (1.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Horse Paddocks</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Gamlingay</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details: Paddocks</b>	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 76b (1.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Horse Paddocks	<b>Parish</b> Gamlingay
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 76c (1.35 Hectares)	Name of Site Lupin Field	Parish Gamlingay
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Open land.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 076 into three parts (076a Log Field, 076b The Horse paddocks and 070c Lupin Field).  For information for site considerations through plan making for site 076c, please refer to the information for site 076a (above) when it was part of a larger site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Meadowland with wildflowers makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 76c</b> (1.35 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Lupin Field</b></p>		<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Gamlingay</b></p>
<p><b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?</p>	<p><b>Red</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Meadowland with wildflowers, located on the periphery of the village. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.</p>	
<p><b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b></p>			<p><b>No</b></p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 76c (1.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Lupin Field		<b>Parish</b> Gamlingay
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Open land		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 76c (1.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Lupin Field	<b>Parish</b> Gamlingay
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 77 (0.28 Hectares)	Name of Site Middle of Magna Close	Parish Great Abington
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with football posts.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Red</b>	Site not submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA04) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This is an expansive of grassland in the middle of a residential area in Great Abington. It is already identified as a PVAA It has some trees and a goalpost so has a recreational value for the local community. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area between two residential areas. However, it could not be

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 77 (0.28 Hectares)	Name of Site Middle of Magna Close	Parish Great Abington
		described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprising grassland, makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it is not of 'particular local significance' and does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 77 (0.28 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Middle of Magna Close	<b>Parish</b> Great Abington
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland with football posts	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass and a few trees within a residential area only make a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space within a residential area.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 78 (3.51 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Guilden Morden
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS67) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Valued area for recreational uses for the village consisting of playing fields. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.                      The representation (no. 64917) from Guilden Morden Parish Council was in support of the LGS designation for the site to be used as Public Open Space. The site was not assessed in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 78 (3.51 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground		Parish Guilden Morden
		10/03/2015.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. This large grassy area is divided into 2 playing fields with mature trees and hedgerows at the perimeter of the site and a line of trees providing the division. The west side of the site is fully enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows and provides an attractive area for sport and recreation whereas the east side is semi-enclosed by trees and hedgerows on 2 sides of the perimeter of the site. The site only makes a modest contribution to the setting and views of local features and so does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to only moderate level of visual attractiveness as a whole. It is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site adjoins the Conservation area. There is one Grade II listed feature (Pump against wall of No. 2 (Edward VII Public House) on Fox Hill Road a few meters to the west of the recreation ground.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)	Name of Site The Craft	Parish Guilden Morden
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland - Natural England Countryside Stewardship project.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS68) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This area is pastureland bringing land with a rural character into the heart of the village. It is managed under a Countryside Stewardship scheme – part of a Natural England project. The aim of such schemes is 'to improve the natural beauty and diversity of the countryside, enhance, restore and re-create targeted landscapes, their wildlife habitats and historical features, and to improve opportunities for public access'. The parish council has indicated that this is an important area for wildlife and for the community to access green space. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)	Name of Site The Craft	Parish Guilden Morden
	<p>Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015:</b></p> <p>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance objected to the designation in rep no. 64928. They stated: <i>Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF. Site is an extensive tract of open rough grassland of no particular character. It is not considered to have been demonstrated to be special to local community and to hold a particular local significance. Site fails the second and third tests set out in NPPF. Designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within NPPF.</i></p> <p>The council's response in 2015 was – <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 48. <i>Would The Craft, Guilden Morden meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance, and is currently protected by its designation as a PVAA? (NH/12-079)</i> AND <i>SC4C.xxvii.</i> <i>Would the site at Church Meadow, Guilden Morden (SEE BELOW) meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles in the area as the site is within the village settlement boundary? (NH/12-080)</i></p> <p><i>These two sites together are part of a much large PVAA in the adopted LDF which have provided protection to this important green area within the centre of Guilden Morden. It has a long history of being recognised as providing an important open rural character to this part of the village.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has responded to these two questions as one given that the two sites are adjacent to each other.</i></p> <p><i>Guilden Morden Parish Council submitted these two sites</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)	Name of Site The Craft	Parish Guilden Morden
<p><i>providing identical reasons for them being suitable candidates for LGS – both areas were according to the PC part of a higher level of Countryside Stewardship scheme in 2013 and are important areas for wildlife and public access. The sites adjoin each other and are within an existing PVAA which extends over pastureland that brings land with a rural character into the heart of the village.</i></p> <p><i>The owners of the land, the Ely Diocesan Board of Finance has objected to the designation considering that the sites does not pass the tests set out in paragraph 77 of the NPPF and that by designating them as LGS this will preclude consideration of any sustainable housing development. The Council considers that both the sites meet the tests for LGS designation with the Parish Council indicating that they consider them suitable candidate as LGS. In assessing all LGS sites the Council took into account the need to ensure that the LGS designations are not used so that the village ends up with no future space for growth. The designation is therefore consistent with sustainable development principles in the area.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of these sites should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland - Natural England Countryside Stewardship project. The site and the adjoining meadows contribute significantly to the open rural character of the village. However, these sites do not have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The south part of the site is in the Conservation area. Site adds to the setting for 2 Listed Buildings (No 1, (The Bells), Fox Hill Road and the Parish Church of St Mary, Church Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> A public right of way runs across the site, providing a recreation opportunity to access the countryside within the heart of the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Craft	<b>Parish</b> Guilden Morden
		by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Improvements to the site's unspecified biodiversity have been implemented, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland on the site and the adjoining meadows contribute significantly to the open rural character of the village and part of the site is within the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings. It provides informal recreation, a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation and is of value for wildlife and biodiversity. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Craft		<b>Parish</b> Guilden Morden
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Pastureland - Natural England Countryside Stewardship project		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site considered close to community	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Open pastureland, managed under Countryside Stewardship scheme.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland contributions to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of pastureland.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Undeveloped tranquil pastureland important to the village's character.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 80 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Church Meadow	Parish Guilden Morden
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland - Natural England Countryside Stewardship project.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS69) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This area is pastureland bringing land with a rural character into the heart of the village adjacent to the church. It is managed under a Countryside Stewardship – part of a Natural England project.</i></p> <p><i>The aims of such schemes are 'to improve the natural beauty and diversity of the countryside, enhance, restore and re-create targeted landscapes, their wildlife habitats and historical features, and to improve opportunities for public access'. The parish council has indicated that this is an important area for wildlife and for the community to access green space. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 80 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Church Meadow		Parish Guilden Morden
	<p>Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015:</b></p> <p>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance objected to the designation in rep no. 64929. They stated:  <i>Site is a largely enclosed featureless area of open rough grassland of no particular character. Significant areas of open land exist in vicinity that are more readily visible from built up area and already bring a rural character to village. It is not considered to have been demonstrated to be special to the local community and to hold a particular local significance. The site fails the second test set out in the NPPF. The designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme within the context of the setting of the church for sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within the NPPF.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council’s Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 48. See above.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland - Natural England Countryside Stewardship project. The site and the adjoining meadows contribute significantly to the open rural character of the village. However, these sites do not have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site adjoins Conservation area and adds to the setting for 2 Listed Buildings (No 1, (The Bells), Fox Hill Road and the grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary, Church Street and the war memorial to the south of the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> A public right of way runs across the site, providing a recreation opportunity to access the countryside within the heart of the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 80 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Church Meadow	Parish Guilden Morden
		protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Improvements to the site's unspecified biodiversity have been implemented, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland on the site and the adjoining meadows contribute significantly to the open rural character of the village and the site adjoins the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings. It provides informal recreation, a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation and is of value for wildlife and biodiversity. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 80 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Church Meadow	Parish Guilden Morden
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
<b>Details:</b> Pastureland - Natural England Countryside Stewardship project		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site considered close to community
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields  <b>Reasons:</b> Open pastureland, managed under Countryside Stewardship scheme.
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.  <b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland contributions to the character and amenity of the village.
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity  <b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland not near to any roads.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Undeveloped tranquil pastureland important to the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectares)	Name of Site Land between Swan Lane and Pound Green	Parish Guilden Morden
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland on the western periphery of the village..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS73) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>Submitted by Parish Council. This is an area of pasture on the western edge of the village with a public footpath running along the northern boundary. It is within the Conservation Area and provides a countryside setting for adjoining houses – some of which are listed buildings. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectares)	Name of Site Land between Swan Lane and Pound Green	Parish Guilden Morden
<p><b>10/03/2015:</b></p> <p>The representation (no.64854) was objecting to the designation. It came from FW Pepper Ltd who wrote: <i>Do not designate to LGS</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Field is agricultural</i></li> <li>2. <i>No amenity value.</i></li> <li>3. <i>No footpath on site.</i></li> <li>4. <i>Not visible from the road.</i></li> <li>5. <i>No historical significance.</i></li> <li>6. <i>No recreational value, no tranquillity (Agricultural), no richness of wildlife.</i></li> <li>7. <i>Not demonstrably special to local community.</i></li> </ol> <p>The council responded in 2015: <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 49. <i>SC4C.xxviii. Would the land between Swan Lane and Pound Green, Guilden Morden meet the designation criteria for a LGS as it is an agricultural field? (NH/12-081).</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. Guilden Morden Parish Council (PC) submitted this site as being a suitable candidate for LGS. This is an area of pasture on the western edge of the village with a public foot path along its northern boundary. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation being an area that brings a rural character into the village and a setting for adjoining houses which include some listed buildings.</i></p> <p><i>The owner of the land has objected to the LGS designation considering that the site is an agricultural field with no significant value. The PC by submitting this site as a candidate for LGS considers that it does have value to the local community as a LGS as it provides a setting to adjoining listed buildings and enhances the rural character of this part of the village.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectares)	Name of Site Land between Swan Lane and Pound Green	Parish Guilden Morden
<i>shown that circumstances have changed.</i>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland on the western periphery of the village with a public footpath along the northern edge adjacent to a residential area contribute to the character and amenity of the village. However, the site does not have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The east half of the site is in the conservation area. Provides the setting of 3 nearby grade II listed buildings (no.19 Pound Green, No 25, (Home Dale) Pound Green and Swan House, Swan Lane which lie within 50m distance of the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing a large area of accessible rural, in nature land. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the western periphery of the village the area's rural character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Agricultural land and does not have diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises grassland on the periphery of the village, adjacent to a residential area. Part of the site is within the conservation area and contributes to the character and amenity of the village and listed buildings, has an informal recreation function and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land between Swan Lane and Pound Green	<b>Parish</b> Guilden Morden
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland on the western periphery of the village.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectare)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land between Swan Lane and Pound Green	<b>Parish</b> Guilden Morden
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 82 (0.05 Hectares)	Name of Site Pound Green	Parish Guilden Morden
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Triangular area of grassland with trees..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS78) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. This is a triangle of grassland with trees planted within it and a seat. It provides a tranquil area within the village and has historic interest being once the village green. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>. The representation was from an individual (no.64893) and was in support of the LGS designation, the individual's comments are summarised as stating:  <i>Site is of historic significance to village, being the area where animals were kept before being moved to a different</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 82 (0.05 Hectares)	Name of Site Pound Green	Parish Guilden Morden
	<i>location. It is also one of the few green spaces within the village, acting as a surrogate 'village green'. It is a useful amenity, recently acting as a display area in the recent successful 'Guilden Morden in the 1940's' staged by the Local History Group.</i>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Triangular area of grassland with trees and a bench contribute to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within conservation area. One grade II listed dwelling lies 50m distance north of the site. The site was a former village Green. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land beside a road and does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprises a triangular area of grassland with trees and a bench. It is within the conservation area and contributes to the character and amenity of the village and listed buildings and has an informal recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 82 (0.05 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Pound Green	<b>Parish</b> Guilden Morden
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Triangular area of grassland with trees.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Triangular area of grassland with trees.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Triangular area of grassland with trees and a bench contribute to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Triangular area of grassland with trees and a bench located beside a main road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Triangular area of grassland with trees and a bench contribute to the character and amenity of the village.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 83 (0.08 Hectares)	Name of Site Thompsons Meadow	Parish Guilden Morden
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass and a few trees within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS80) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Area of informal open space within housing development. Grassland with some planted trees. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>. The representation was from Guilden Morden Parish Council (no.64918) and was in support of the LGS designation, stating: Used as Public Open Space.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 83 (0.08 Hectares)	Name of Site Thompsons Meadow	Parish Guilden Morden
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass and a few trees within a residential area only makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The grassy site provides a break from the urban form at the south east of the village, adds to the setting of the surrounding residential properties and offers long views north through the village including the church. However the site is moderately visually attractive. A small piece of grassy open land that is semi-enclosed by bushes. It provides an entrance for the larger field to the east of the site. However, it lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features and does not have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small area of land that can support only a limited informal amenity and recreational function within a housing estate.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprises a small amenity area of grass and a few trees within a residential area which makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and informal recreation. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 83 (0.08 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Thompsons Meadow	<b>Parish</b> Guilden Morden
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Small amenity area of grass and a few trees within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass and a few trees within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass and a few trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland in a residential area on the edge of the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 84 (0.23 Hectares)	Name of Site Play area adjacent to the Church	Parish Hardwick
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS81) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Area of informal open space, described by Parish Council as play area. Part of the setting of the church and wider Conservation Area. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>.                      The representation was from Hardwick Parish Council (no.64912) and was in support of the LGS designation, stating: <i>currently used as Public Open Space</i>.</p>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 84 (0.23 Hectares)	Name of Site Play area adjacent to the Church	Parish Hardwick
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass beside a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The grassy site provides a soft edge at the south west corner of the village. It adds to the setting of the surrounding residential properties and the grade II* listed Parish Church of St Mary and offers long views north through the village. However the site is only moderately visually attractive. It is grassy site with seating that is enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows. However it lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features and does not have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within conservation area and provides a setting for the grade II listed Parish Church of St Mary although this is obscured somewhat by trees. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small area of land that can support only a limited informal amenity and recreational function beside a residential area.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area on the western periphery of the village beside a church and trees and therefore provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprises a small amenity area of grass adjacent to a residential area which makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and informal recreation. It is within the conservation area and forms part of the setting of the grade II listed church. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 84 (0.23 Hectares)	Name of Site Play area adjacent to the Church,		Parish Hardwick
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
		<b>Details:</b> Play area within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 84 (0.23 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Play area adjacent to the Church	<b>Parish</b> Hardwick
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 85 (3.39 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation ground in Egremont Road	Parish Hardwick
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS82) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>Submitted by Parish Council. The recreation ground is part of a wider PVAA within Hardwick. This area provides valuable playing fields and green space for the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>. The representation was from Hardwick Parish Council (no.64913) and was in support of the LGS designation, stating: currently used as Public Open Space.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 85</b> (3.39 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Recreation ground in Egremont Road</b>		Parish <b>Hardwick</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground surrounded by mature trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village due to only moderate level of visual attractiveness as a whole. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located, the recreation ground provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 86 (1.38 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Harston
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS83) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Eastern and northern part of site is within Green Belt and rest is white land outside village framework. Both the orchard and recreation ground provide a valuable recreational use for the village. They are both well used by the local community.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013: Parts of site outside the Green Belt meets the tests for LGS. Those parts within Green Belt should not be designated as LGS and not included in local plan.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground surrounded by mature trees makes a modest contribution to the setting and views of local features and so does not make a particular contribution to the character

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 86 (1.38 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Harston
		and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to only moderate level of visual attractiveness as a whole including a lack of enclosure. It is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the eastern periphery of the village, the recreation ground provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude farmland.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 87a (1.119 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Welhouse Meadow	<b>Parish</b> Haslingfield
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Meadowland with orchard..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA05) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>This is an area of open space within the centre of Haslingfield which is already within a PVAA. The Parish Council has planted an orchard with local varieties of fruit trees. A wildflower meadow is being established close to the orchard.</i></p> <p><i>The site is valued by the local community. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>3 representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation</b></p>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 87a (1.119 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Welhouse Meadow</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Haslingfield</b>
<p><b>2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The first representation was from individuals (no.64923) and was objecting to the LGS designation, their comments are summarised as stating:  <i>These private lands are already doubly protected by listed building status and conservation area designation and to include their private lands in a LGS is contrary to the Council's document Draft Final Sustainability Appraisal (March 2014), Annex A - Audit Trail, Appendix 5, page A1391.</i>  <i>The respondents did not know this designation was being made until November 2014 when their neighbours first received their notification. The respondents note it was March 2014 that SCDC was told to advise ALL landowners but it was not until November that they were advised. They have owned this land since 1974.</i></p> <p>The second representation was from individuals (no.64924) and was objecting to the LGS designation, their comments are summarised as stating:  <i>Seems totally unnecessary to add another designation when conservation area and PVAA already apply to the respondents' land and seem to hold enough restrictions to development to satisfy the Council's aims.</i>  <i>Please note the statements in Draft Final Sustainability Appraisal Annex A, Appendix 5, page A1390 shows ".... a second opportunity for consultation in 2013" and still no direct contact with the respondents. Unaware of earlier designation as PVAA.</i>  <i>Also on page A1391, "There are policies that give existing protection to green space within the Local Plan and it is not the intention of the council to double protect such sites by identifying them as LGS."</i></p> <p>The third representation was from Ely Diocesan Board of Finance (no.64930) and was objecting to the LGS designation, stating:  <i>The objection relates to part of site only, to south of Broad Lane. Objection site is residential land sitting behind 2m high wall. Not open in character and is distinct from orchard and meadowland.</i>  <i>Site is set in area of some historic significance, but not demonstrably special to local community and more historically significant sites lie adjacent that are not included.</i>  <i>Objection site forms part of tract of land of different character areas that have not been assessed for their individual contributions to local community.</i>  <i>Site fails to comply with second and third tests set out in NPPF.</i>  <i>Designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 87a (1.119 Hectares)</b></p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Welhouse Meadow</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Haslingfield</b></p>
	<p><i>designed scheme for sustainable housing development.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 49-50:  SC4C.xxix.  <i>Should the boundary of the LGS designation at Wellhouse Meadow, Haslingfield be amended to exclude the area previously known as The Manor Orchard which now relates to a private property which already benefits from designated heritage asset protection including its location in a conservation area? (NH/12-087)</i>  AND  SC4C.xxx.  <i>Should the boundary of the LGS designation at Wellhouse Meadow, Haslingfield be amended to exclude the area previously known as Granary Meadow which now relates to a private property which already benefits from designated heritage asset protection given its location in a conservation area and is also within a PVAA? (NH/12-087)</i></p> <p><i>The Wellhouse Meadow has been recognised since the Local Plan of 2004 as a valued area within the heart of Haslingfield village as it has been protected from development as a PVAA. It includes a patchwork of different green spaces which together create a very special character which is valued by the local community.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has responded to the two questions about this LGS jointly as they relate to the same eastern part of the LGS.</i></p> <p><i>Haslingfield Parish Council submitted this site as a suitable candidate as a LGS. Wellhouse Meadow is an area of open space within the centre of Haslingfield within an existing PVAA. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation. The boundary of the existing PVAA was that carried forward for the LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The owners of land on the eastern part of the LGS have objected to its inclusion in the site considering that it is only the meadow area that should be designated as LGS which is the western portion of the site. Both the Manor Orchard</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 87a (1.119 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Welhouse Meadow</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Haslingfield</b>
<p><i>and the Granary Meadow are privately owned and are within the conservation area and protected as being part of the setting of adjacent listed buildings. The objectors consider that the Council by designating this land as LGS are duplicating protection. It has not been the intension of the Council to duplicate protection of green space within the Local Plan and has not designated any sites that are currently protected as Sites of Biodiversity or Geological Importance which includes County Wildlife sites; Local Nature Reserves; Sites of Special Scientific Interest; Scheduled Monuments and Historic Parks and Gardens. This concurs with the on-line guidance in the Planning Practice Guidance. However sites have been designated in the Local Plan for LGS within conservation areas and on land that is within the setting of a listed building. The Council considers that a green space may have a particular historic significance to the local community which merits its designation as a LGS and indeed this is a consideration included in paragraph 77 of the NPPF.</i></p> <p><i>Both the Manor Orchard and the Granary Meadow although not forming part of the meadowland associated with Wellhouse Meadow they both add to the character of this part of the village. The naming of this LGS does not mean that the area it covers should be restricted to the meadowland but to include all the green space that together creates the character of this part of Haslingfield. Part of the character of this LGS is that it is a patchwork of different green spaces that together create a special area in this part of Haslingfield valued by the local community. The extent of the LGS is that of the existing PVAA and was submitted as a whole by the Parish Council.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of these sites should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p><b>And also in Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on page 51:</b>  SC4C.xxxi.  <i>Should the boundary of the LGS designation at Wellhouse Meadow, Haslingfield be amended to exclude the area of residential land behind a 2m wall as the land is distinct in character from the orchard and meadow land, and in terms of its particular local significance? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles in the area as the site is within the village settlement boundary? (NH/12-087).</i></p> <p><i>The Council in responding to this question has provided</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 87a (1.119 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Welhouse Meadow</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Haslingfield</b>
	<p><i>answers to the two issues included within it:</i></p> <p><i>a. Amend the boundary of the LGS</i></p> <p><i>b. Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?</i></p> <p><u><i>Amend the boundary of the LGS?</i></u>  <i>Haslingfield Parish Council submitted this site as a suitable candidate as a LGS. Welhouse Meadow is an area of open space within the centre of Haslingfield within an existing PVAA. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation. The boundary of the existing PVAA was carried forward for the LGS as this whole green area creates a distinctive character to this part of the village.</i></p> <p><i>The Ely Diocesan Board of Finance who own that part of the site which is to the south of Broad Lane do not consider that it forms part of the LGS being different in character to the rest of the site. However the Councils believes that part of the character of this LGS is that it is a patchwork of different green spaces that together create a special area in this part of Haslingfield valued by the local community.</i></p> <p><i>Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?</i>  <i>In assessing the site the Council took into account the need to ensure that the LGS designations are not used so that the village ends up with no future space for growth. The designation is therefore consistent with sustainable development principles in the area. Development of this part of the site would impact on the character of the whole LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p><i>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 087 into two parts (87a Welhouse Meadow, 87b The Manor House).</i></p>		
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:  <b>Beauty</b></p>	<p><b>Green</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Meadowland, orchard and wooded area all contribute significantly to the character and amenity of the village. Village green contains the village's war memorial.</p>	
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:  <b>Historical significance</b></p>	<p><b>Amber</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area and provides the setting of Haslingfield Manor, a Grade II* Listed building. Village green contains the village's war memorial. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 87a</b> (1.119 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Welhouse Meadow</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Haslingfield</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an important amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located, the large area of meadowland, wooded areas and village green provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site's natural meadowland and wooded area contain a diversity of wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Meadowland and orchard contribute significantly to the character and amenity of the village, the setting of the conservation area and a Grade II* Listed Building. It has an important amenity function within the village and being centrally located, these areas provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS. The site contains a diversity of wildlife. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 87b</b> (0.144 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Wood</b>	Parish <b>Haslingfield</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wood.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 087 into four parts (87a Welhouse Meadow, 87b Wood, 87c Village Green and 87d Manor House). For information for site considerations through plan making for site 87b, please refer to those for site 87a (above) when it was part of the larger site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area which contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area and part of the setting of the church.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an important amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located, wooded area provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site's natural wooded area contain a

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 87b</b> (0.144 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Wood</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Haslingfield</b>
local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>		diversity of wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area contributes significantly to the character and amenity of the village, the setting of the conservation area and a Grade II* Listed Building. It has an important amenity function within the village and being centrally located, these areas provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS. The site contains a diversity of wildlife. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 87c</b> (0.09 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Haslingfield</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village Green.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 087 into four parts (87a Welhouse Meadow, 87b Wood, 87c Village Green and 87d Manor House). For information for site considerations through plan making for site 87c, please refer to those for site 87a (above) when it was part of the larger site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village. Site contains the village's war memorial.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area and provides the setting of Haslingfield Manor, a Grade II* Listed building. Village green contains the village's war memorial. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an important amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located, the village green provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation to the extent that would warrant the



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 87c</b> (0.09 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Haslingfield</b>
		enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village Green contributes significantly to the character and amenity of the village, the setting of the conservation area and a Grade II* Listed Building. It has an important amenity function within the village and being centrally located, these areas provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 87da (2.911 Hectares)	Name of Site The Manor House	Parish Haslingfield
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Residential gardens and churchyard.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 087 into four parts (87a Welhouse Meadow, 87b Wood, 87c Village Green and 87d Manor House). For information for site considerations through plan making for site 87d, please refer to those for site 87a (above) when it was part of the larger site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Residential gardens and churchyard make some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Within the conservation area and provides the setting of Haslingfield Manor, a Grade II* Listed building. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing a private garden area. The graveyard has a limited amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 87da</b> (2.911 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Manor House</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Haslingfield</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The churchyard does provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site's natural meadowland would normally contain an unspecified diversity of wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises residential gardens and a churchyard which make some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of conservation area and Listed building. It provides a limited recreation function and has a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 87d (1.12 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Manor House		<b>Parish</b> Haslingfield
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Meadowland with orchard.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Residential gardens and churchyard		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Residential gardens and churchyard make some contribution to the character and amenity of the village		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Residential gardens and churchyard		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Formal garden contributes to the village's tranquility.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 88 (0.66 Hectares)	Name of Site Willow Way Recreation Ground	Parish Hauxton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS84) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Informal play area adjacent to housing so has value to the local community for recreation. Outside village framework so not appropriate for it to be designated as PVAA. Grassland surrounded by mature trees and on the edge of the village – beyond the trees is wide open farmland. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground surrounded by mature trees makes a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty as it is visually attractive, adds to the setting of surrounding buildings and offers

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 88 (0.66 Hectares)	Name of Site Willow Way Recreation Ground	Parish Hauxton
		long views through the settlement and of local features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an important recreation function.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the southern periphery of the village, the area's rural character provides a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 89 (1.58 Hectares)	Name of Site East of New Road	Parish Impington
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Red</b>	Site not submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site called “Area by Histon and Impington Stop on the Guided Busway” was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS204) with the council’s assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Wooded area beside the guided busway valued by the local community. Within ‘Station area’ consulted upon in 2013 consultation Existing PVAA.</i></p> <p>The council’s recommendation in 2013 was:  Meets the tests for LGS – include in the local plan.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014.</p> <p>The representation (no. 64978) was from Histon &amp; Impington Parish Council and was in support of the LGS designation:</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 89 (1.58 Hectares)	Name of Site East of New Road	Parish Impington
		<i>Support subject to designation will not stop Parish Council carrying out any work on improvements e.g. electricity / water supply. Part of land is in the ownership of Histon Football Club holdings.</i>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large woodland area providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it is located between several main roads.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a wooded area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreational function, provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, as well as having some value for wildlife. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 89 (1.58 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> East of New Road		<b>Parish</b> Impington
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area is located beside a main road.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 90 (0.08 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Village Green (opposite the church)	<b>Parish</b> Ickleton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Amber</b>	Site submitted by The Ickleton Society
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G38) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>This is a triangle of grassland with some trees and the village war memorial on it. The parish church overlooks the green from the north of the site. It provides a tranquil location for the memorial and has historical value for the local community. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 2 supports.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village. Site contains the village's war memorial.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The village Green is in the

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 90 (0.08 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green (opposite the church)	Parish Ickleton
<b>Historical significance</b>		conservation area and is surrounded by and provides the setting for the Grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary Magdalene and 3 Grade II listed dwellings: No 27, (Mill House Cottage) on Church Street, No 37, (Wellington House) on Church Street, No 18, (Mowbrays) on Church Street. The Village Green contains the Grade II listed Ickleton war memorial. The memorial was unveiled in 1920. It consists of a squat (2.4m high) pink granite obelisk on a three-stepped square base.  The memorial is referred to on the Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Record (CHER) website.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located away from the village centre, the land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green and contains the village's war memorial. It is located within the conservation area and part of the setting of several listed buildings. The site makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function as well as providing a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 91 (1.56 Hectares)	Name of Site Driver's Meadow	Parish Ickleton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Important Countryside Frontage
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with hedgerows, shrubs and trees on-site.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS87) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>Submitted by Parish Council. Important countryside frontages protect the views across the site from the north and part of the western boundary. Pastureland located close to the centre of the village providing good views inwards towards the church and outwards to the river valued by the local community. Field is within the Conservation Area and is surrounded by well established hedgerows and mature trees. This is likely to have high value for wildlife. It provides a tranquil area within the village. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</i></p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 91 (1.56 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Driver’s Meadow	<b>Parish</b> Ickleton
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with hedgerows, shrubs and trees on-site contribute to the character and amenity of the village. However, the site does not have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in within the conservation area and does provide a setting for a Grade II listed dwelling lying 50m distance to the south of the site (No 37, (Frogge Hall), Frogge Strret) although this is rather obscured by other buildings on Frogge Street. T
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the eastern side of the village, the land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprising grassland with hedgerows, shrubs and trees, which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has a recreation function and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it is not of 'particular local significance' and does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 91 (1.56 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Driver's Meadow		<b>Parish</b> Ickleton
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland with hedgerows, shrubs and trees on-site		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 91 (1.56 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Driver's Meadow	<b>Parish</b> Ickleton
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Land between two nearby but detached parts of the development framework and has strong countryside character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Views towards the south and west between Ickleton's own development framework.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> An ICF could go along the northern and western edges of the site to protect its rural character and penetrating views of open countryside between Ickleton's own development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The frontage allows land with a strong countryside character to penetrate into the built up area providing a significant connection between the street scene and the surrounding rural area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site's northern and western edges can be protected by the designation of an ICF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 92a (0.1762 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Village Green	<b>Parish</b> Kingston
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS88) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Large area of grassland located on either side of the road called The Green with trees set back from the road. Has seating for tranquil enjoyment of the green space. Is within the Conservation Area. Large enough to be used for informal recreation. It has historic interest being part of a once larger village green. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village.



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 92a</b> (0.1762 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Kingston</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Within the Conservation Area and provides a setting for the grade II listed 'House on the Green'. This building is a two storeyed, framed and plastered house, with tiled and thatched roofs, made up of two units dating to the 15th and 16th centuries, located on the edge of the former green. The building is referred to on the Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Record (CHER) website.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land beside a road and does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green. It is located within the conservation area and part of the setting of several listed buildings. The site makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 92b</b> (0.05 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Kingston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The Green.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS88) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Large area of grassland located on either side of the road called The Green with trees set back from the road. Has seating for tranquil enjoyment of the green space. Is within the Conservation Area. Large enough to be used for informal recreation. It has historic interest being part of a once larger village green. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Green area provides some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, the site does not have 'a particular local

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 92b</b> (0.05 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>The Green</b>	Parish <b>Kingston</b>
		significance' in terms of the LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Within the Conservation Area and whilst it provides a setting for the Grade II Listed Buildings, it is only a grass verge. It is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land beside a road and does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprising grassland, which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and conservation area, has a recreation function. However, it is not of 'particular local significance' and does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 92b</b> (0.05 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Kingston</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> The Green	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site considered close to community
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Part of the village green	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green which contributes to the character and amenity of the village	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green which contributes to the character and amenity of the village
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 93 (0.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Field Road Green	Parish Kingston
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS89) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Large area of grassland with scattered trees providing a setting for nearby properties one of which is a grade ii listed building. The green is much used by the local community and valued as a meeting place in the centre of the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green with an old hand pump on the corner contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is within Conservation Area

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 93 (0.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Field Road Green	Parish Kingston
<b>Historical significance</b>		and provides a setting for the Grade II listed dwelling (No.1 Field Row) and the grade II listed Paynes Farmhouse on Field Road. The village's former hand pump (still in situ) is under a very smart tiled wooden canopy on the green.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land beside a road and does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green. It is located within the conservation area and part of the setting of several listed buildings. The site makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 94a</b> (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Orchard</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Kingston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Village orchard..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS90) with the council’s assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grassy area with fruit trees within it with well established hedge around it providing a tranquil area and is likely to have high wildlife value. This orchard is valued by the local community and used for community events. Meets the tests for LGS. The council’s recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</i>  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  2 representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> .	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 94a</b>                      (0.19 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Village Orchard</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Kingston</b></p>
	<p>Kingston Parish Council, in rep 64888, objected and proposed amending the boundary. They wrote:  <i>Boundary amendment of site NH/12-094 requested to exclude a private house and garden which was included in error in the Parish Council's original submission.</i></p> <p>There was an individual respondent for rep 64895 objected and proposed amending the boundary. The individual's comments are summarised as:  <i>Error made by Parish Council when Northern boundary of 'Village Orchard' was defined and respondents' property which lies immediately to north of the site has never been part of 'Village Orchard'. Fully support proposal for Local Green Space provided boundary is redrawn according to actual boundary of 'Village Orchard'.</i></p> <p>The council's response in 2015 was:  <i>Kingston Parish Council submitted this site for inclusion as a LGS during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. The map provided included an error. The northern boundary of the 'Village Orchard' was defined to include a private house and garden which lies immediately to north of the orchard. The owner of the house and garden has objected to its inclusion in the LGS. This property has never been part of the 'Village Orchard' and the Parish Council has submitted a representation requesting that this house and garden be excluded from the designation. An amendment to the boundary is appropriate to correct this error.</i>  <b>Recommendation:</b> Amend the Village Orchard, Kingston LGS to exclude the private house and garden adjacent to the "Village Orchard". See Map 3 showing the revised boundary.</p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the <b>Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 51-2.                      SC4C.xxxii.  <i>Should the boundary of the LGS designation at Village Orchard, Kingston be amended to exclude the private house and garden which was included in the Parish Council's original submission? (NH/12-094).</i></p> <p><i>The Council has since the Local Plan108 was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. Kingston Parish Council (PC) submitted this site for inclusion as a LGS during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. The map provided included an error. The northern boundary of the 'Village Orchard' was defined to include a private house and garden which lies immediately to north of the orchard. The owner of the house and garden has objected to its inclusion in the LGS. This</i></p>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 94a</b> (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Orchard</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Kingston</b>
	<p><i>property has never been part of the 'Village Orchard' and the Parish Council has submitted a representation requesting that this house and garden be excluded from the designation. An amendment to the boundary is appropriate to correct this error.</i></p> <p><i>The PC has submitted further support for all the LGSs designated within the village. (See Appendix 4) In particular the PC reaffirmed their support for the Village Orchard and the need to exclude the private house and garden wrongly submitted.</i></p> <p><i>In the report to the South Cambridgeshire Planning Portfolio Holder on 10 March 2015 it was agreed to amend the Village Orchard, Kingston LGS to exclude the private house and garden adjacent to the "Village Orchard" (Note to the Inspector on the Targeted Consultation with Landowners of Local Green Space).</i></p> <p><i>The Council has met the concerns of the objectors by excluding the private house and gardens from the Village Orchard LGS.</i></p> <p><i>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 094 into two parts (094a Village Orchard and 094b Rectory Lane).</i></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village orchard contributes to the character and amenity of the village. Site is located between three Grade II Listed buildings and therefore contributes to their setting as well.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within Conservation Area and provides the setting for 3 Grade II listed buildings: The United Reformed Church on Church Lane, the Thatches cottage on Rectory Lane and the Carey Hill dwelling on Church Lane. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function and provides a space for community events. It is 'of particular local significance' and therefore should be protected as LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located between two roads, the land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 94a</b> (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Orchard</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Kingston</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village orchard contributes to the character and amenity of the village. Site is located in the conservation area, between three Grade II Listed buildings and therefore contributes to their setting as well. It does have a recreation function and provides a space for community events. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 94b (0.2455 Hectares)	Name of Site Rectory Lane	Parish Kingston
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Residential house in large grounds.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 094 into two parts (094a Village Orchard, 094b Rectory Lane). For information for site considerations through plan making for site 094b, please refer to that for site 94a (above) when it was part of the larger site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Residential house within large garden it makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within Conservation Area and provides the setting for 3 Grade II listed buildings: The United Reformed Church on Church Lane, the Thatches cottage on Rectory Lane and the Carey Hill dwelling on Church Lane. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does not have public access.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located between two roads, the land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 94b (0.2455 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Rectory Lane	<b>Parish</b> Kingston
		provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Residential house within large garden it makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. The land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously removed from LGS (in March 2015)]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 94b ( Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Rectory Lane	<b>Parish</b> Kingston
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Residential house in large grounds	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Residential garden	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Residential house within large garden it contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Located between two roads, the land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Formal garden contributes to the village's tranquillity. [Note - this site was previously removed from LGS (in March 2015) and reverts back to PVAA.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 95 (0.29 Hectares)	Name of Site Playground	Parish Kingston
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Small recreational ground..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS91) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Play area on southern edge of village – only one in village. Well used for recreational uses by local community and for enjoying tranquil moments in countryside. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small recreation ground makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 95 (0.29 Hectares)	Name of Site Playground		Parish Kingston
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the southern periphery of the village, the area's rural character provides a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 96 (1.37 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Linton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Bowls green & club pavilion; hardstanding play area, skate park and variety of play equipment.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS92) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. The recreation ground is a valuable asset for the local community for formal recreation. Currently the eastern part of this area is identified as PVAA because it is within the village framework. The western section now being proposed is a valued asset for the community.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: The whole of the recreation ground including the current PVAA meets the tests for LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 96 (1.37 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Linton
		Linton and provides a soft edge to the east side of the village and its north side runs in parallel to the River Granta. The site provides a break from the urban form. It adds to the setting and offers long views through the centre of the village to the east of the site. The site is moderately visually attractive. and enclosed on 2 of its 3 sides by trees and bushes. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is 1 grade II listed building south of the site for which the recreation ground provides a setting (Wardens house to north of the Village College, Cambridge Road).
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the western side of the village, the land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 97 (0.73 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green (Camping Close)	Parish Linton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
<b>Reasons:</b> Recreation ground with trees along the river.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to nearby vicarage
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS93) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Area of grassland with mature trees along the edge that is adjacent to the grade I listed church and north of the river. This green space allows for views of the church and the village beyond and enhances the rural setting of Linton. As the village green it has value for the local community as a beautiful area near the church and river. Boundary to be amended to include the whole of the village green up to the river. Whole site meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. Boundary to be amended.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 97 (0.73 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green (Camping Close)	Parish Linton
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Large green site beside the river Granta contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is within Conservation Area and provides a setting for the Grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary the Virgin on Church Lane which lies 50m west of the site. It also provides a setting for the Grade II listed No 28, (Mill Brook), Mill Lane and the Grade II listed Linton Mil on Mill Lane to the east of the site. Linton Parish Council records that “The Parish Council believe that its application must relate to one of the oldest greens (in the country). Camping Close gets its name from the medieval football game – Camping, which was popular in East Anglia. It was a particularly rough form of football played after church on Sundays which is why many of the fields are next to churchyards. Camping Close has been shown on village maps since the 1600’s but there are not many preserved and still used for recreation over 400 years later”.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is crossed by several rights of way. It does have a recreation function, providing a large area of accessible rural, in nature land. It is 'of particular local significance' and therefore should be protected as LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the southern side of the village, the land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Large green site beside the river Granta, within the conservation area and provides an important part of the setting for the Grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary the Virgin and the character and amenity of the village. It has an important recreation function and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 98 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Glebe Land	Parish Linton
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees along the river.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to nearby vicarage
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS94) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. This area is by the river and used by the local community for informal recreation. It is a tranquil area for quiet enjoyment of the river. This land forms part of a much larger PVAA. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</i></p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was not assessed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>. In <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>, it recorded for the site that:  <i>No representation was made, during consultation but Council made aware that this site had been identified incorrectly on the Polices Map. Linton Parish Council, who originally submitted the site, has provided Council with</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 98 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Glebe Land	Parish Linton
	<p><i>correct boundary for site.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>Although no representation was made by the landowner, the Council was made aware during the consultation that this site in Linton had been identified incorrectly on the Policies Map. Linton Parish Council originally submitted the site for consideration during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013, described as an area by the river and used by the local community for informal recreation. However, the boundary provided by the Parish Council and shown on the submitted Policies Map comprises a private house and garden, and not the adjacent area of special character alongside the river, which is shown on the Policies Map as forming part of a wider PVAA. The Parish Council has provided the Council with the boundary it intended for the site. This involves deleting the current LGS boundary in its entirety and instead designating the area to the west that lies adjacent to the river as LGS. The current PVAA designation would then be removed from the new LGS.</i>  <b>Recommendation:</b> Delete the existing boundary of the Glebe Land, Linton LGS. Replace with the correct LGS area adjacent to the river and remove the PVAA designation from the LGS. See Map 4 showing correct area.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small informal area of grass with a few trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, the site does not have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation Area and provides a setting for the Grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary the Virgin on Church Lane which lies 50m east of the site and also the Grade II listed Guildhall and Church Cottage which lie on the north east corner of the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the southern side of the village, the land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 98 (0.19 Hectares)</b>	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Glebe Land</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Linton</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site in flood zone 2 adjacent to the River Granta and therefore not suitable for sustainable development. Site comprises a small amenity area of grass and trees within a residential area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and informal recreation. It is within the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously removed from LGS (in March 2015) and reverts back to PVAA.]	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 98 (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Glebe Land		<b>Parish</b> Linton
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland with trees along the river		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with trees along the river		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Located beside a river		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located beside a river, the site is in a tranquil location providing an informal space for people. The site contributes to the character and amenity of the village. [Note - this site was previously removed from LGS (in March 2015) and reverts back to PVAA	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 99 (0.09 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Litlington
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Village green..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G39) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>This is a large triangle of green space with trees and seating with views out over open countryside to the south. This view is protected by an important countryside frontage. It is a place for informal recreation and having a quiet place to sit and look at the countryside. The site is within the village framework. Middle Street separates this site from an existing large PVAA within the village. Site does meet test for both PVAA and LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>. It was a representation (no.64857) in support of the LGS</p>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 99 (0.09 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green	Parish Litlington
	designation from Litlington Parish Council who considered that the site is an important open space in the village. The site was not assessed in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the western edge of the village centre, the site provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green. It is located within the conservation area and makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides an informal recreation function and offers a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 100</b> (0.03 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>St. Peters Hill</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Litlington</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Village green..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G40) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>This is an area of green within the centre of the village with some trees. It has a telephone box and a listed building which was formerly the village lockup so has historical interest to the local community. Site does meet test for both PVAA and LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> . It was a representation (no.64856) in support of the LGS designation from Litlington Parish Council who considered	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 100</b> (0.03 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>St. Peters Hill</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Litlington</b>
		that the site is an important open space in the village. The site was not assessed in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation Area and contains a Grade II listed Telephone Kiosk. The Grade II listed round-topped village lock-up, called St. Peter's hole, last used in 1840, still stands on the northern village green. Also known as The Cage, it was built in the 18th century. The steps at the side of the structure used to house the village pump, now removed. Litlington's criminals would have been held in the Cage whilst awaiting transport to court. The cage was last used in the 1840s during a period of social unrest.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of land that is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green. It is located within the conservation area and contains a Grade II listed Telephone Kiosk. It makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides an informal recreation function and offers a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 101</b> (2.98 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Litlington</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G41) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Located outside of the village framework south of South Street. There is an important countryside frontage along the northern side of the site protecting the views looking south over the recreation area. As a recreation area this has value to the local community. Site meets test for only LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>.                      It was a representation (no.64858) in support of the LGS designation from Litlington Parish Council who considered</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 101</b> (2.98 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Recreation Ground</b>	Parish <b>Litlington</b>
	that the site is an important open space in the village. <b>The site was not assessed in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character and provides a soft edge to the south-east side of the village and is a feature that lies close to Royston Road which is the southern entrance route into the village. The site adds to the setting of the residential properties that lie to the west of the site on South Street and that lie south of the site on Royston Road and offers long views through the centre of the village to the west of the site. It is moderately visually attractive. It is mainly open land, fully enclosed on all 4 sides. However, the site does not have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation Area and provides the setting for several Grade II listed dwellings to the west of the recreation ground including Thimble Cottage and cottage to south west (1 & 2 Heathview) , Giles Cottage and Rose Cottage on Royston Road and a Grade II listed dwelling called Louisville, Thatch Croft, Oak Cottage and Acorn Cottage on South Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 102</b> (2.29 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Scout Camp Site, Church Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Abington</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded grassland.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> Yes - Area with planning permission for bungalows to be removed	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G42) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p>This site is to the south of Little Abington. There is a scout hut and extensive open land – a mix of grassland and trees stretching southwards to the River Granta. The site is private but used by local scouts so has an amenity value for the village. The wooded character of the site by the river provides a tranquil beauty spot with wildlife value for the local community. The vast majority of the site is outside of the village framework and therefore could not be considered as a PVAA. The site had been put forward as a potential site for housing during the 'Issues and Options 1' consultation.</p> <p>Site meets test for only LGS.</p> <p>During the 2013 consultation, there was one support for the LGS designation. The council's assessment in 2013 was: <i>Site meets tests for LGS. The boundary to be revised from that in Issues and Options 2 consultation to remove the part of the site with planning permission for bungalows.</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 102</b>                      (2.29 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Scout Camp Site, Church Lane</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Little Abington</b></p>
<p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. There was support from Little Abington PC and others. Recognises importance of site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>In their rep (no. 64902) Abington Woods CIC were objecting and proposed amending the boundary as they stated:  <i>New owner of site is Abington Woods CIC not Cambridge International School. The site has an existing planning permission (S/0893/11) which is valid for 3 years from 3 August 2012. The documents relating to the planning permission make it clear that only 2/3rds of the site lies within a conservation area. Given the existence of the planning permission it seems sensible for the Local Green Space only to cover that part of the site that is already in the conservation area.</i></p> <p>The council's response in 2015:  <i>Conservation area and LGS boundaries do not have to follow each other. The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 52-3.  <i>SC4C.xxxiii.</i>  <i>Should the area of the LGS designation at the Scout Camp Site, Church Lane, Little Abington be constrained to the part of the site which is within the conservation area? Should any weight be given to the lapsed planning permission (S/0893/11) which relates to part of the LGS designation in this regard? (NH/12-102)</i></p> <p><i>This site was submitted by Little Abington Parish Council during the Issues and Options consultation in 2012 and the proposed extent of the LGS was larger than that now included in the Submission Local Plan. It was this larger site</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 102</b> (2.29 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Scout Camp Site, Church Lane</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Abington</b>
	<p><i>that was consulted upon during the Issues and Options 2. Following this consultation the Council revised the site to exclude that part of the area with planning permission for bungalows and a new scout headquarters. The southern boundary of the conservation Area and the northern edge of the proposed LGS follow the same line and therefore the whole site is within the conservation Area.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has therefore already excluded the area of land that has a lapsed planning permission (S/0893/11) and met the objectors concerns.</i></p> <p><i>Little Abington Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. The area is well used by the local community for camping and other outdoor activities. It is a valued wildlife area visited for nature lessons by young children and maintained by local volunteer working groups. The PC supports the view that the site contributes to the health and well-being of younger members of the local community and their families and offers great potential as a source of enjoyment and benefit for the community as a whole.</i></p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area which contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the village Conservation Area. There are 3 Grade II listed buildings positioned 50m distance from the site and it provides a setting for these: No. 38, (Damson Cottage), and No. 46, (The White House) on the High Street and No. 33, (The Old Vicarage), Church Lane. The site has been used as a Scout Camp for 85 years. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a scout hut function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the southern edge of the village, the site provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation with views of the open countryside.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Limited diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 102</b> (2.29 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Scout Camp Site, Church Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Abington</b>
community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		wooded area within the conservation area. It contributes the character and amenity of the village and setting of listed buildings, has an informal recreational function, provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, as well as having some value for wildlife. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 103 (0.06 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Bowling Green, High Street	<b>Parish</b> Little Abington
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Bowling green.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G43) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>The bowling green is located outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. It has a recreational value for the local community. Site meets test for only LGS.</i></p> <p>During the 2013 consultation, there was 1 support. The council's recommendations was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Bowling green makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation Area

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 103</b> (0.06 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Bowling Green, High Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Abington</b>
local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		and surrounded by several Grade II listed buildings, all within 50m distance to the site for which the site provides a setting: No 5, High Street, No 7, (The Old House) and the Lagden Memorial, High Street, No 46, (The White House), High Street and Nos 28, 30 and 32, High Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the village's main arterial road.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 104</b> (3.91 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Meadows, Bancroft Farm</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Abington</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Open pastureland.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G43) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>The site was submitted during the Call for Sites for the SHLAA (Sites 28 and 29). The site is within the heart of the village and comprises of a field and on its western side are the former farm buildings which were part of Bancroft Farm. To the north, east and south the site is enclosed by residential. When assessed as a housing site it was concluded that the site has no development potential. Development of this site would have a significant adverse effect on the townscape and landscape setting of Little Abington because the site has a distinctly rural character and would result in the loss of an open space within the village. If the farm buildings were removed the setting of Church Lane would lose its intimate rural backdrop. The identification of this area as a PVAA protects this undeveloped land and preserves the special local character of Little Abington. It continues to meet the criteria needed to be retained as a PVAA. It meets the test for a LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be</i>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 104</b> (3.91 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Meadows, Bancroft Farm</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Abington</b>
	<p>included in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. During the 2013 consultation, there were objections from both Great and Little Abington PCs and Committee for Abington Housing. Wrong designation of brownfield land and LGS should only apply to meadow. Old derelict farmyard previously not designated for protection. Reclassification would enable sensitive development within conservation area.</p> <p><b>Council assessment</b> - The site of Bancroft Farm in Little Abington is included within the Parish Councils' proposals for future housing to meet the needs of the village. The farm lies within a larger LGS, the rest of which is supported by the Parish Council. The local community has been consulted on this issue and the majority wish the farm site to be developed for housing. See proposed changes to Policy H1 in Chapter 7:Housing. The Council is therefore proposing a major modification to amend the Policies Map to delete the site of Bancroft Farm from the larger LGS site.</p> <p><b>Major modification</b> - Delete Bancroft Farm Church Lane Little Abington from a larger Local Green Space (see maps attached to the schedule of major modifications).</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no. 64895) was an objection to the LGS designation, from an individual whose comments are summarised as: Reason for objection:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Not been demonstrated that proposed designation meets all criteria set out in NPPF for assessing LGS designations.</i></li> <li>2. <i>Note that nowhere does national policy suggest that a failure to meet policy requirements should be balanced against other considerations when designating LGS. Allocation of this area as LGS would almost certainly prevent part of site coming forward as a sustainable residential development opportunity within defined settlement boundary for village.</i></li> </ol> </p> <p>The council's response in 2015 was:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 104</b>                      (3.91 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Meadows, Bancroft Farm</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Little Abington</b></p>
	<p><i>remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 53-4.                      SC4C.xxxiv.  <i>Would the land at Meadows, Bancroft Farm, Little Abington meet the designation criteria for LGS as it represents a significant area of open land/paddock in private ownership with little historical significance or recreational value and is surrounded by residential development with access limited to a public right of way which crosses the site? (NH/12-104)</i></p> <p><i>This site is valued as an area that makes an important contribution to the character of this part of the village and it has long been recognised as it is currently protected as a Protected Village Amenity Area. The Council considers that the site should remain as LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The site was submitted as a potential housing site during the Call for Sites for the SHLAA (Site 28 and 29). The site is within the heart of the village and comprises of a field and on its western side are the former farm buildings which were part of Bancroft Farm. To the north, east and south the site is enclosed by residential dwellings. When assessed as a housing site it was concluded that the site had no development potential. The Council considered that the development of this site would have a significant adverse effect on the townscape and landscape setting of Little Abington because the site has a distinctly rural character and would result in the loss of an open space within the village.</i></p> <p><i>The existing designation of the site as a PVAA protects this undeveloped land and preserves the special local character of Little Abington. It is the intension of the Council when it next reviews its Local Plan to assess all PVAAAs as to whether they meet the tests to become LGS. Where existing PVAA were challenged during the preparation of the emerging Local Plan the Council has considered their potential as LGS. As it was concluded that the site of Meadows, Bancroft Farm was not suitable for housing the opportunity was taken to review whether the site would meet the tests for as being a suitable candidate for LGS. The Council assessed the site as meeting the LGS tests and included in the Proposed Submission Local Plan as a LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has worked with both Great Abington and Little Abington Parish Councils during the plan making process to consider key issues within these villages. It has been</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 104</b>                      (3.91 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Meadows, Bancroft Farm</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Little Abington</b></p>
	<p><i>recognised that there is a need for local housing and the PCs have considered that the old derelict farmyard on the western side of the site should not be included in the LGS as it is brownfield land that could be sensitively developed for housing. They formally objected during the summer 2013 to the boundary of the LGS site requesting that the farmyard area be excluded from the LGS. They did however strongly support the wider meadows area as being suitable as a LGS. A modification has been proposed by the Council to delete the site of Bancroft Farm from the larger LGS site.</i></p> <p><i>267. Little Abington Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS (Appendix 4). Local residents have enjoyed and benefited from unlimited access to the meadow for many years. The meadow is valued for its biodiversity particularly its wildflowers and in recent years the PC has planted trees on the site. The PC would not support any development on the meadow area of this LGS but do support the amendment of the boundary to exclude the brownfield part of the site which is the old farmyard area proposed to meet local housing needs</i></p> <p><i>The landowner of the proposed LGS has objected to its designation as LGS. The LGS is valued by the local community as an area of undeveloped pasture land creating a special character to this part of the village being surrounded by housing. LGS does not have to be accessible to the public for recreational uses although a public right of way does cross this meadowland. The on-line Planning Practice Guidance about LGS highlights that a LGS can remain in private ownership but that the landowner should be contacted for their views when a site is proposed – the Council has carried out a targeted consultation with landowners which the landowner of this site responded to.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has responded to issues raised by Great and Little Abington Parish Councils which resulted in an amendment to the LGS boundary. No other issues have been raised that affect the assessment carried out by the Council and therefore the Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan with an amended boundary.</i></p> <p><u>Inspectors’ Interim Findings March 2017</u>  <b>LGS Site NH/12-104-Meadows, Bancroft Lane, Little Abington</b>                      This is a fairly extensive area of land at Bancroft Farm used as animal pasture and is surrounded by residential development. This site is also referred to in a modification to the Plan as Policy H/1:k- ‘Land at Bancroft Farm’ in the</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 104</b> (3.91 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Meadows, Bancroft Farm</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Abington</b>
		context of an allocation for housing development. Whilst it would be inappropriate to make any comment at this stage of the examination in respect of the latter regard, I find nothing demonstrably special about the site which would warrant designation as a LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'. This reflects the Inspectors interim findings.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The south west corner of the site is in the Conservation Area. The site provides the setting for a grade II listed building that lies 50m south of the site: No 36 (Churchview), Church Lane. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of pastureland that is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland which forms part of the setting of listed buildings and character and amenity of the village. It provides informal recreation, and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude land within the Parish Council-led housing allocation.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 104 (3.9 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Meadows, Bancroft Farm	<b>Parish</b> Little Abington
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Open pastureland	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Open pastureland.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland contributions to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Large area of pastureland.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Undeveloped tranquil pastureland important to the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 105</b> (1.46 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Camping Close, Camping Field</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Shelford</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Open pastureland.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS99) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Site is pastureland with hedgerows surrounding it including mature trees. It is within the Conservation Area providing setting to listed properties that overlook the site. It brings countryside into the centre of the village enhancing its setting creating a rural character. It is part of a larger PVAA that extends southwards. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was:            Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 105</b> (1.46 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Camping Close, Camping Field</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Shelford</b>
	<p><b>2014 but not assessed in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no. 64892) was from an individual who was in support of the LGS designation. The comments are summarised as:  <i>The landowner supports this decision to include her property in the proposed 'Local Green Space' on the understanding that her house will remain as it is and that she retains full control over what she can plant in her garden. A recent valuation of her property quoted on the value for the property as it is and substantially higher value as a building site. This will however affect the value to her heirs.</i></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site provides a setting for several grade II listed dwellings: No 1, (The Old House), Whittlesford Road, No 4, (Kirby Lodge School), Church Street, No 6 Church Street, No 7, (Rope Cottage) on Church Street and no.11 High Street and a roll of honour at the former Congregational Church, High Street, commemorates the First World War. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central area of pastureland that is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland which forms part of the setting of listed buildings and character and amenity of the village. It provides informal recreation, and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 105</b> (1.46 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Camping Close, Camping Field</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Shelford</b>
		set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 106</b> (0.13 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Wilbraham</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small play area.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS103) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Majority of site within the Green Belt. The site is valued for its recreational uses by the local community. Allotment area appears to be on part of site adjacent to the housing which is not in the Green Belt.</i> The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was:                      Part of site outside Green Belt meets tests for LGS. Part of site within Green Belt should not be designated as LGS and not included in local plan.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b>.                      The representation (no. 64876) was in support of the LGS</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 106</b> (0.13 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Little Wilbraham</b>
	designation. It was from Little Wilbraham and Six Mile Bottom <i>Parish Council whose comments are summarised as:</i> <i>Part of site was converted to allotments 4 years ago by Parish Council and they are all let to local residents. The project has been a great success. Site should remain as allotments for use by the local residents.</i>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site comprises a small area of allotments (converted from recreation ground by Parish Council in 2011) on the west side of the village recreation ground. It enhance the rural character of the village and provides a soft edge to the north side of the village. The allotment gardens are surrounded by the recreation ground to the north and east sides, the site has residential properties on Orchard Close to the south and agricultural fields to the west. The site adds to the setting of the residential properties and offers long views through the centre of the village to the south of the site. The site is moderately visually attractive, sub-divided into a series of allotments and well maintained. It is semi-enclosed by trees on 2 sides with a single tree to the north side.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the northern periphery of the village, the land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 107a (0.6 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreation Ground	<b>Parish</b> Lolworth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> High quality play area with and informal open grass.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS106) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Northern part of the site is within PVAA. Remainder of site is outside village framework so would not have met test for PVAA. The northern section within the village framework is a mowed grass area lined by trees. The site has historic interest being part of an area called Town Acre. It has a number of recreational uses including a play area and allotments. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was:            Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 107a</b> (0.6 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Lolworth</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of Lolworth and provides a break from the urban form at the centre of the village and a soft edge to east side of the village. The site does provide a soft edge to east side of the village, the site adds to the setting of the residential properties and offers long views through the centre of the village (to the north) and beyond. The site is only moderately visually attractive. It is mainly open grassy land being used for a range of recreational uses including a children’s play area. The site is semi-enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows. However, it is looking careworn and lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a central play area with trees and open grass that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 –1 07b</b> (0.1 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Lolworth</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 107 into three parts (107a Recreation ground, 107b allotments, 107c Private garden).  For information for site considerations through plan making for site 107b, please refer to that for site 107a (above) when it was part of the larger site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area adjacent to a residential homes makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of Lolworth and provides a break from the urban form at the centre of the village and a soft edge to east side of the village. The site does provide a soft edge to east side of the village, the site adds to the setting of the residential properties and offers long views through the centre of the village (to the north) and beyond. The site is only moderately visually attractive. It is mainly open grassy land being used for a range of recreational uses including a children’s play area. The site is semi-enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows. However, it is looking careworn and lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 –1 07b</b> (0.1 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Lolworth</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is 50m distance away from a Grade II listed building (Nos 3 and 4, The Green) but does not provide a significant setting for this building. It is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the northern periphery of the village, the allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 107b</b> (0.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Lolworth</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
<b>Details:</b> Allotments		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the eastern periphery of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the eastern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the eastern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area beside a main road.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 –1 07c</b> (0.11 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land at Cuckoo Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Lolworth</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Grassland.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 107 into three parts (107a Recreation ground, 107b allotments, 107c Private garden).  For information for site considerations through plan making for site 107c, please refer to that for site 107a (above) when it was part of the larger site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the northern periphery of the village, it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 -1 07c</b> (0.11 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land at Cuckoo Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Lolworth</b>
<b>Richness of wildlife</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises grassland and makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 107c (0.11 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land at Cuckoo Lane	<b>Parish</b> Lolworth
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland contributes to the village's tranquility.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 107c (0.11 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land at Cuckoo Lane	<b>Parish</b> Lolworth
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?		<b>Reasons:</b> The site is within the development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>	<b>No</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 108</b> (1.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments, The Moor</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS107) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Area used for allotments so has recreational value for the local community. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides a soft edge to the north side of the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties that lie to the



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 108</b> (1.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments, The Moor</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
		west of the site on The Moor. However the site does not offer long views through the centre of the village (to the south). The site is only moderately visually attractive. It is a large site of allotment gardens that is neatly arranged with footpaths, well maintained and enclosed with trees and hedgerows. However it lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the eastern side of the village, the allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 108</b> (1.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments, The Moor</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site important to village character
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments contribute to the character and amenity of the village	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the eastern side of the village, the allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 108 (1.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Allotments, The Moor	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 109a (3.36 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> New Recreation Ground, The Moor	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS108) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Recreational area with children's play space, playing fields, bowling green and the millennium copse. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site comprises a large recreation ground on the north west side of the village. It does enhance the rural character of the village and provides a soft edge to the north side of the village. The site adds to the setting of the

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 109a</b> (3.36 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>New Recreation Ground, The Moor</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
		residential properties and the grade II listed Tithe Barn on the Moor and the Village College to the south of the site. The site is moderately visually attractive. It is sub-divided into the Millennium copse to the north of the site and recreation ground to the south. The recreation ground is open, grassy land that is enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows. The site does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to limited views and only moderate level of visual attractiveness as a whole.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is one Grade II listed dwelling (Nos 29 and 29A, (Tithe Barn) The Moor which lies 50m distance south of the site for which the site provides a setting. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 109b</b> (1.08 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Millennium Copse, The Moor</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Copse.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 109 into two parts (109a New Recreation ground and 109b Millennium Copse).  For information for site considerations through plan making for site 109b, please refer to that for site 109a (above) when it was part of the larger site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Provides a rural setting to the village's western perimeter. The site does enhance the rural character of the village and provides a soft edge to the north side of the village. The site adds to the setting of the residential properties and the grade II listed Tithe Barn on the Moor and the Village College to the south of the site. The site is moderately visually attractive. It is sub-divided into the Millennium copse to the north of the site and recreation ground to the south. The recreation ground is open, grassy land that is enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows. The site does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to limited views and only moderate level of visual attractiveness as a whole. However

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 109b</b> (1.08 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Millennium Copse, The Moor</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
		it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is one Grade II listed dwelling (Nos 29 and 29A, (Tithe Barn) The Moor which lies 50m distance south of the site for which the site provides a setting. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an informal recreation function 'of particular local significance' to the local community and therefore should be protected by LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the western periphery of the village, the copse provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprises a copse, which provides a rural setting to the village's western perimeter and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It does have an informal recreation function and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 110</b> (0.16 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Old Recreation Ground, The Moor</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Former recreational ground.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS109) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Area of grassland edged with trees that is used as informal playspace. Valued by local community. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Former recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site comprises a small recreation ground in the north of the village, which enhances the rural character of the village. The site provides a soft edge to the north side of the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 110</b> (0.16 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Old Recreation Ground, The Moor</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
		that lie to the east of the site on The Moor. The site is reasonably attractive, open, grassy land that is enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> British history online records how a recreation ground was allotted on the Moor in 1842 and that “In the 19th century Melbourn Feast was regularly held for three days at the beginning of July, on the Green near the church. In 1881 the vicar objected to the disorder that it created; an order of 1882 limited it to one day, and it was moved to the recreation ground on the Moor”. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a former village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 111 (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Armingford Crescent	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS110) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Area of grassland in the middle of a circle of houses with scattered mature trees across it. Important area of informal open space providing a green space within a built up area. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 111 (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Armingford Crescent	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
<b>Historical significance</b>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small area of land that can support only a limited informal amenity and recreational function within a housing estate.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprises a village green which makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a limited informal recreation function. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 111 (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Armingford Crescent	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Village green	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass within a residential area beside a road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 112 (0.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Russet Way	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Two compact amenity areas with a number of trees within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS111) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Two areas of grassland within a housing area. Mown grass with scattered mature trees upon them. Important area of informal open space providing a green space within a built up area. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>Representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 112</b>                      (0.10 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Recreational Green, Russet Way</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Melbourn</b></p>
	<p><b>10/03/2015.</b>                      3 representations were in support of the LGS designation. One representation (no. 64875) was from an individual who objected to the designation. Their objection is summarised as:  <i>Object to any developments being built on site but would support designated parking lots for each house that owns part of the Local Green Space.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 54-5:                      SC4C.xxxv.  <i>Would the designation of the Recreational Ground, Russet Way, Melbourn as LGS preclude car parking for the owners of the land thereby prejudicing highway safety? (NH/12-112)</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. The site was submitted by Melbourn Parish Council and was assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS. It consists of two areas of grassland with mature trees which is within a built up area in Melbourn. The LGS provides an important area of informal open space providing green space for the surrounding houses.</i></p> <p><i>The owner of part of this LGS whilst objecting to any development being permitted on the site would support designating car parking sites for the local residents who jointly own the green space. The PC has submitted additional evidence supporting the designation of this green area as LGS. (See Appendix 4) If the site were to be used for car parking for the local residents it would have an adverse impact on the character of this part of the village and the Council does not consider that such a use would be appropriate.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 112</b> (0.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreational Green, Russet Way</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Two compact grassland areas with a number of trees within a residential area make some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> They are small amenity areas that could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> They are small amenity areas that do not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Two compact grassland areas with a number of trees within a residential area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and have a limited informal recreation function. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Indication by landowners (local residents) to provide some on-site car parking could be prevented by LGS designation.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 112 (0.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Russet Way	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Two compact amenity areas with a number of trees within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Two compact grassland areas with a number of trees within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Sites do not have an important function in the village or in this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity areas of grass within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity areas of grass within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 113 (0.4 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green and wood, Worcester Way	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with hedgerows, shrubs and trees..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS112) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Landscaped edge to village with maturing trees and grassland including picnic area. Informal recreation area of value to the local community. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with hedgerows, shrubs and trees on-site contributes to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 113 (0.4 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green and wood, Worcester Way	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
<b>Historical significance</b>		this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function however, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Grassland area with hedgerows, shrubs and trees which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and have a limited informal recreation function. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Indication by landowners (local residents) to provide some on-site car parking could be prevented by LGS designation.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 113 (0.40 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green and wood, Worcester Way	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland with hedgerows, shrubs and trees.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 113 (0.40 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green and wood, Worcester Way	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 114 (0.02 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Cross, High Street	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS113) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. This is a triangular area of grass upon which is located a stone cross which is the village war memorial. The village sign is also on this land. It is located south of the grade II* listed church and provides a setting for this building. There are seats on the grass for quiet enjoyment of the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village. Site contains the village's war memorial and forms part of the

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 114</b> (0.02 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Cross, High Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
		setting of an adjacent Listed church.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is of historic value to the community. Site is in the Conservation Area and is the location of village's war memorial. The site also provides a setting for the grade II listed Parish Church of All Saints on Station Road and 2 other grade II listed buildings (63 and 69 High Street).
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small, central area of land that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village and is of historic value to the community. Site is in the conservation area and is the location of village's war memorial and provides a setting for the grade II listed Parish Church of All Saints on Station Road and other listed buildings. It also performs an informal recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 115 (5.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Stockbridge Meadows, Dolphin Lane	Parish Melbourn
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Open meadowland.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Amber</b>	Located on western periphery of village
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS114) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. This area is one that South Cambs DC included in its Biodiversity Strategy as being an open space of local importance. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</i></p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>. The representation (no. 64873) was from an individual who objected to the LGS designation and proposed amending the boundary. Their comments are summarised as: <i>Document outlines boundaries of 100 High Street, Melbourn</i></p>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 115 (5.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Stockbridge Meadows, Dolphin Lane	Parish Melbourn
<p><i>and demonstrates that triangular parcel of land to rear of property is not part of Stockbridge Meadows.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was submitted for consideration as a LGS by Melbourn Parish Council in the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. An objection has been received from the owner of a triangle of land included on the southern edge of the meadow. The purpose of this LGS is to identify and protect the Riverside Park. The site that received planning permission as a public open space in 2005 to form the Riverside Park is slightly different from the LGS shown on the Policies Map. It excluded the objector's triangle of land and included an additional small parcel of land on the north-eastern edge of the meadow. The Parish Council has confirmed that it supports a revised boundary to reflect the planning permission boundary.</i></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> Amend the Stockbridge Meadows, Melbourn LGS boundary to exclude a triangle of land on the southern boundary and include an additional area to the north. See Map 5 showing revised boundary.</p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 55.  SC4C.xxxvi.  <i>Does the triangular parcel of land indicated in the LGS designation for Stockbridge Meadows, Melbourn form part of Stockbridge Meadows? If not, should it be deleted from the LGS designation? (NH/12-115)</i></p> <p><i>The Council has since the Local Plan was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. This site was submitted for consideration as a LGS by Melbourn Parish Council in the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. An objection has been received from the owner of a triangle of land included on the southern edge of the meadow. The purpose of this LGS is to identify and protect the Riverside Park. The site that received planning permission as a public open space in 2005 to form the Riverside Park is slightly different from the LGS shown on the Policies Map. It excluded the objector's triangle of land and included an additional small parcel of land on the north-eastern edge of the meadow. The Parish Council has confirmed that it supports a revised boundary to reflect the planning permission boundary.</i></p> <p><i>In the report to the South Cambridgeshire Planning Portfolio Holder on 10 March 2015 it was agreed to amend the Stockbridge Meadows, Melbourn LGS boundary to exclude a triangle of land on the southern boundary and include an additional area to the north (see Appendix E Map 5). Melbourn PC supports this amended boundary. ( See</i></p>		



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 115 (5.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Stockbridge Meadows, Dolphin Lane	Parish Melbourn
		<p><i>Appendix 4)</i></p> <p><i>The Council has therefore proposed to exclude the area of land and has met the objector's concerns</i></p>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Meadowlands contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site makes a minimal contribution to the setting of several listed buildings.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function on the edge of the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large area of meadowland and does provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Features in SCDC's Biodiversity Strategy 2009. It has a diverse range of wildlife habitats and is of local significance.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprises meadowland which contributes to the character and amenity of the village and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It also features in SCDC's Biodiversity Strategy as it has a diverse range of wildlife habitats. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2015) to exclude a triangle of land on the southern boundary and include an additional area to the north.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 116</b> (0.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreational Green, Clear Crescent</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS115) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Informal area for recreation. Seats available for quiet enjoyment of the area. Grassland with scattered trees. Boundary to be amended to include the whole of the grassy area.</i>                      Council Recommendation 2013 was:                      Boundary to be amended. Whole site meets the tests for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area makes limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 116</b> (0.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreational Green, Clear Crescent</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
		to limited contribution to views and only modest level of visual attractiveness as a whole. The small site does provide a soft edge to the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties that surround the site at Clear Crescent. A narrow strip of recreational open, grassy ground with a handful of mature trees is open, grassy land that is not enclosed and is rather featureless.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small amenity area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small, central area of land that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 116 (0.10 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Clear Crescent	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site does not have an important function in the village or in this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity areas of grass within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 117 (0.22 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Play Park, Clear Crescent	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS116) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Formal play space within grassy area. Important area of informal open space providing a green space within a built up area. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 117</b> (0.22 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Play Park, Clear Crescent</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small play area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small play area that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a play area within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Formal playing pitches are protected from development; Playing Pitch Strategy 2016 supports their protection.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 117 (0.22 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Play Park, Clear Crescent	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Play area within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area within a residential area beside a road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Play area provides a space for recreational amenity for this part of the village.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 118 (0.2 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational Green, Elm Way	Parish Melbourn
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	<p>Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with a number of trees within a residential area..</p>
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<p><b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> No</p>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS117) with the council's assessment (in 2013):</p> <p><i>Submitted by Parish Council. Area of grassland with a mix of bushes and small trees. Important area of informal open space providing a green space within a built up area. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>. Rep no.64947 was from an individual who was in support of the LGS designation.</p>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 118 (0.2 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Elm Way	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with a number of trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small amenity area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small, central area of land that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland with trees within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 118 (0.2 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Elm Way	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area with a number of trees within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with a number of trees within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with a number of trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with a number of trees within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 119 (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Beechwood Avenue	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with a number of trees within a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS118) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Area of fenced in grassland with some trees around the edge. Important area of informal open space providing a green space within a built up area. Meets the tests for LGS. Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with a number of trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 119</b> (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreational Green, Beechwood Avenue</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small amenity area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small, central area of land that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland with trees within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 119 (0.19 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Beechwood Avenue	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area with a number of trees within a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with a number of trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland with a number of trees within a residential area beside a road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space within a residential area.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 120 (0.07 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Greengage Rise	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area located in a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS119) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Circular area of grassland in the middle of a housing area. No trees or shrubs. It is used for informal open space and therefore has value for the local community. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      Council Recommendation 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to limited contribution to views and only

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 120</b> (0.07 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreational Green, Greengage Rise</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Melbourn</b>
		modest level of visual attractiveness as a whole. The small site does provide a soft edge to the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties that surround the site at Greengage Rise. However the site does not offer long views to the centre of the village and the site is not especially attractive. A small square of recreational open, grassy ground that is enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows is rather featureless.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area of land that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 120 (0.07 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Greengage Rise	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area located in a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland located in a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site does not have an important function in the village or in this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Very small amenity area of grass within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 121 (1.2 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational Green, Chalkhill Barrow	Parish Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area and hardstanding area located in a residential area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS120) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Area adjacent to new housing which has been landscaped with grass and maturing trees. It provides an important green space in this area valued by the local community. Meet the tests for LGS.</i> Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland and hardstanding area within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to limited

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 121 (1.2 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational Green, Chalkhill Barrow	Parish Melbourn
		contribution to views and only modest level of visual attractiveness as a whole. The small site does provide a soft edge to the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties that surround 2 sides of the site at Chalkhill Barrow. However the site does not offer long views to the centre of the village and the site is not especially attractive. A small rectangle of recreational open, grassy ground that is enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows is rather barren and featureless.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland and hard landscaped area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland and hard landscaped area of land that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 121 (1.2 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreational Green, Chalkhill Barrow	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area and hardstanding area located in a residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland and hardstanding area located in a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland and hardstanding area within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland and hardstanding area within a residential area beside a road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 122 (0.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Land between Worcester Way and Armingford Crescent		Parish Melbourn
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>			No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission	
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland lined with tree beside residential area..		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No		
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS128) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Wooded edge to village behind houses in Armingford Crescent. Links to informal recreation area by Worcester Way. Creates a treed edge to the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland lined with trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 122 (0.3 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land between Worcester Way and Armingford Crescent		<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area lined with trees that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area lined with trees that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and a feeling of remoteness and contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Formal playing pitches are protected from development; Playing Pitch Strategy 2016 supports their protection.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 122 (0.3 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land between Worcester Way and Armingford Crescent		<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland lined with tree beside residential area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 122 (0.3 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land between Worcester Way and Armingford Crescent	<b>Parish</b> Melbourn
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 123</b> (1.68 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Meldreth</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS135) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Part of slightly larger PVAA. Valuable recreational resource for the village. Well used by local community for formal play. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site comprises a medium sized recreation ground at the centre of the village. It enhances the rural character of the village and provides a break from the urban form at a central position in the village. The site adds to the setting



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 123</b> (1.68 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Meldreth</b>
		of the residential properties that surround the site and offers long views to the centre of the village to the south of the site. It is moderately attractive but only enclosed by low hedges rather than trees.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is 30m distance from 3 Grade II listed building to the east of the site on the High Street (No 70, Keys Cottage, No 51, Dormers and No 47, Post Office), and the recreation ground does provide a setting for these buildings. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 124 (0.32 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Flambards Green	<b>Parish</b> Meldreth
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with trees within residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS139) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Large area of grassland with scattered trees within a housing area. Provides a valuable space for informal play and quiet enjoyment. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>Council Recommendation 2013 was:            Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 124</b> (0.32 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Flambards Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Meldreth</b>
local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area with trees that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area lined with trees that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 124 (0.32 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Flambards Green	<b>Parish</b> Meldreth
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area with trees within residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space with trees.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with trees.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space within a residential area.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 125 (0.96 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Chapel Orchard	<b>Parish</b> Orwell
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Orchard/trees in a residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS142) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Grassed area on the road frontage with bench. Orchard / treed area extending behind adjacent buildings. Part of the setting of the grade II listed buildings on Town Green Road and helps maintain rural character of the village.</i>                      Council Recommendation 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Orchard / trees contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is within 50m distance of 4

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 125</b> (0.96 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Chapel Orchard</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Orwell</b>
<b>Historical significance</b>		Grade II listed dwellings on Town Green Road to the east of the site (Orchard Cottage, the Chequers Public House, numbers 4,6 and 8) for which Chapel Orchard does provide a setting. It has been orchard and garden ground for at least three hundred years. In 1686 it lay on the west side of the land which was once the Town Green.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The orchard / trees provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. This historic orchard has been part of the village for at least 300 years, contributing to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of several listed buildings. It also provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude farmland.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 126 (0.08 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Allotments at Fishers Lane	<b>Parish</b> Orwell
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS143) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Long established allotments according to the Parish Council. They provides a valuable recreation use for the village. Meets the test for LGS.</i>                      Council Recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site does enhance the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge to the north west of the village, adds to the

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 126</b> (0.08 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Allotments at Fishers Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Orwell</b>
		setting of the residential properties and offers long views to the centre of the village to the south of the site. The site is moderately attractive. The allotment gardens are neatly organised and well enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows but lack any distinctively attractive features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site other than the allotments have been long established.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the northern periphery of the village, the allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, provides informal recreation and a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude farmland.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 126 (0.08 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Allotments at Fishers Lane	<b>Parish</b> Orwell
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character. [Note - this site boundary was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude farmland.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 127 (0.43 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Chapel Orchard Allotments	<b>Parish</b> Orwell
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the western periphery of the village..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS144) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Allotments including a new extension. They provides a valuable recreation use for the village. Meets the test for LGS.</i> Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area on the western periphery of the village adjacent to an orchard and a residential area makes only a limited some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provide a soft edge to the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 127</b> (0.43 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Chapel Orchard Allotments</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Orwell</b>
		that lie north of the site. However the site does not offer long views to the centre of the village and the site is only moderately attractive. The allotment gardens are neatly organised and well enclosed by hedgerows but lack any distinctively attractive features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site other than the allotments have been long established. Site makes a minimal contribution to the setting of several listed buildings.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the western periphery of the village, the allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has a recreation function and its location on the periphery of the village provide a feeling of remoteness and contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 127 (0.43 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Chapel Orchard Allotments	<b>Parish</b> Orwell
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Allotment area on the western periphery of the village.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 127 (0.43 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Chapel Orchard Allotments	<b>Parish</b> Orwell
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 128</b> (1.83 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Orwell</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
<b>Reasons:</b> Steep hill affording views of Royston and surrounding area..		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
<b>Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS148) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Sloping field that is part of the setting of the grade I listed church, grade II listed buildings on the High Street and the Conservation Area. A public footpath crosses the site. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>          Council Recommendation in 2013 was:          Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 128</b>                      (1.83 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Orwell</b></p>
	<p>The representation (no.64931) was from Ely Diocesan Board of Finance who objected to the LGS designation and their comments are summarised as:  <i>Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF. Site is an area of open rough grassland of no particular character, crossed by a public footpath.</i>  <i>It has not been demonstrated that the site is special and of particular local significance to the community. The site therefore fails the second of three tests. The site fails the third test as it is an extensive tract of land.</i>  <i>The designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within the NPPF.</i>  <i>The council's response in 2015 was:</i>  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 56-7.                      SC4C.xxxvii.  <i>Would the site at Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church, Orwell meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance, and which already benefits from designated heritage asset protection including its location in a conservation area? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles in the area? (NH/12-128).</i></p> <p><i>The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:</i>                      a. Meeting the test for LGS?                      b. Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?</p> <p><u>Meeting the test for LGS?</u>  <i>This site was submitted by Orwell Parish Council for consideration as a LGS. The site is a steep hillside field valued for centuries by the local community as a historical backdrop which provides views to the church and its tower from many locations in the village. The Council assessed the site and considers that it meets the tests for LGS designation.</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 128</b> (1.83 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Orwell</b>
	<p><i>The PC has submitted further evidence to support their view that this site should be designated as a LGS. (See Appendix 4) The PC considers that this site is a focal centre of the village with a memorial bench at the top of the field so that the public can enjoy the spectacular views over the church and towards Royston. The field has been used for recreational use by parishioners for many decades. Its management is closely linked to that of the adjoining Clunch pit where rare breed sheep are used to graze the pasture. As a result of this management it is an important area for wild flowers which attract butterflies particularly the Chalkhill Blue.</i></p> <p><i>LGS designation provides protection from development for a specific green area valued by the local community. The Council does not consider that this same level of protection is afforded to land within the conservation area. Development could be permitted on it within such an area but a LGS designation provides a higher level of protection and has the value of being recognised by the Parish Council as having a specific local significance.</i></p> <p><u><i>Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?</i></u></p> <p><i>The Ely Diocesan Board of Finance, the landowners of the site have objected to its designation as a LGS. In assessing the site the Council took into account the need to ensure that the LGS designations are not used so that a village ends up with no future space for growth. Within Orwell there are five LGS areas designated which does provide for other opportunities within the village for development at a future date. The designation is therefore consistent with sustainable development principles in the area.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed</i></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Steep hill affording views of Royston and surrounding area. Makes a significant contribution to the character of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site provides a setting for the grade 1 listed Church of St Andrew, which lies 50m distance south of the site on the High Street. The site also provides a setting to several other Grade II listed buildings on the High Street to the south of the site (nos 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 20 High Street) 80m distance away. However, it is



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 128</b> (1.83 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Orwell</b>
		not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Field is crossed by a public right of way, providing access to the countryside from the village. It is a large field that could not be described as having a 'particular local significance' beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the northern periphery of the village, the area does provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Biodiversity of the site actively managed / maintained to support the adjacent SSSI site 'Chalk pit' and is of local significance.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Landowner's objection to the proposed LGS designation is noted however given the steep hill affords views of Royston and surrounding area, it makes a significant contribution to the character of the village and the setting of several listed buildings. Its location on the periphery of the village provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation and it has an important biodiversity function. It is 'of particular local significance' and therefore warrants the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 128 (1.83 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church	<b>Parish</b> Orwell
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Steep hill affording views of Royston and surrounding area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site considered close to community
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>	<b>No</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 128 (1.83 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church	<b>Parish</b> Orwell
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 129 (1.46 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Recreation Ground, Town Green Road	<b>Parish</b> Orwell
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS149) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Recreation ground with sports pitches, pavilion, car park, and children's play equipment. Proposed extension is part of large arable field on the edge of the village. Amend boundary to exclude proposed extension.</i></p> <p>Council Recommendation in 2013 was:  Only existing recreation ground meets the tests for LGS.  Proposed extension does not meet the tests for LGS.  Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 129</b> (1.46 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground, Town Green Road</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Orwell</b>
		the village, provides a soft edge to the south west of the village. It adds to the setting of the residential properties. It is moderately attractive but only semi enclosed by low hedges and a few trees.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 130</b> (0.88 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Station Road/Turn Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Over</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is however made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland and wooded area.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G44) with the council's assessment (in 2012): The site is within the village framework and was previously identified as a PVAA. The Parish Council are requesting that it be reinstated as a PVAA. The views across the site towards the listed church would be protected if the site were to be designated as a PVAA or LGS. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.  Consultation in 2013 led to 7 objections. <i>There was objection to land being considered as PVAA. No public access to site and no views of church. Does not meet criteria for PVAA or LGS. Agreed by Inspector of Site Specific DPD in Sept 2009 (Rep 50810).</i> <i>Objection from landowners. This site does not contribute to amenity and character of this part of village. As it stands it is of no value to village – overgrown. Development of site best option for village to provide for affordable housing. Planning Appeal inspector (2013) considered that this site forms part of the setting of the Grade I church and Conservation Area therefore reaffirms that it meets the tests for LGS.</i>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 130</b>                      (0.88 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Station Road/Turn Lane</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Over</b></p>
	<p>Council Recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013.                      There was an objection to LGS from individual:  <i>Must be demonstrably special. Afforded more weight as summited by Parish Council. Rejected by inspector in 2006 – little changed. PC not justified why site special. Site fails assessment. Long term protection important but not at expense of potential future growth of village and development that could result in better management of site.</i>  <b>Council assessment</b> - All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan.</p> <p>3 representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>There was an individual respondent (Rep 64870) who objected to the designation as LGS. Reason for objection can be summarised as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Site privately owned. Does not hold any particular significance to local community.</i></li> <li>2. <i>No public access to site has ever existed.</i></li> <li>3. <i>Previous PVAA designation was removed in 2009 by an inspector who stated land does not contribute to amenity and character of village. Site has not changed since that time.</i></li> <li>4. <i>Parish Council's proposal to make this 'Local Green Space' flawed - site does not meet any of the criteria laid out in NPPF.</i></li> <li>5. <i>Not in Conservation Area.</i></li> <li>6. <i>Sensitively planned development would enhance this part of village - no change to character.</i></li> </ol> <p>There was an individual respondent (Rep 64871) who objected to the designation. Reason for objection can be summarised as :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Site does not meet any of criteria laid out in the NPPF. Site does not and has never served the community. No richness of wildlife.</i></li> <li>2. <i>Over Parish Council never agreed or debated local plan submission and has since approved planning application on</i></li> </ol>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 130</b>                      (0.88 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Station Road/Turn Lane</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Over</b></p>
	<p>site.</p> <p>3. More suitable 'Green Spaces' exist within village, (e.g. Village Green and Community Centre playing fields and skate Park.</p> <p>4. High demand for affordable housing in village.</p> <p>There was an individual respondent (Rep 64872) who objected to the designation. Reason for objection:</p> <p>1. Area not special to community. Question method used by Parish Council in their submission as to why area is special as it is in private ownership with no access and is covered in shrub.</p> <p>2. PVAA was lifted from it in 2009. Inspector stated land does not contribute to amenity or character of village.</p> <p>3. Site is not an 'Area of Local Significance' as it does not meet any of the criteria for local green space.</p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 57-8:                      SC4C.xxxviii.  <i>Would the land at Station Road/Turn Lane, Over meet the designation criteria for a LGS in terms of its particular significance and its contribution to the amenity and character of the village given that it has lost its PVAA designation? Does the site also benefit from an extant planning permission? (NH/12-130)</i></p> <p><i>The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:</i></p> <p><i>a. Meeting the test for LGS?</i>  <i>b. Extant Planning permission?</i></p> <p><u><i>Meeting the test for LGS?</i></u>  <i>The Council considers that the site should be designated as LGS as it is valued by the local community and plays an important role in providing an open green area at the heart of Over that contributes to the amenity and character of the village.</i></p> <p><i>PVAA designation had been removed by the Inspector of the Site Specific Policies DPD in his report in 2009 as he had considered that the site was covered in thick scrub and did not contribute to the amenity and character of this part of</i></p>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 130</b> (0.88 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Station Road/Turn Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Over</b>
	<p><i>the village. Over Parish Council submitted this site for reinstatement as a PVAA during the Issues and Options consultation in the summer 2012. As the Council does not intend to designate any additional PVAA through this plan-making process and indeed will be reviewing all existing PVAA's during the next review of the Local Plan this site was tested to see if it met the criteria for LGS. The site was considered to meet the tests for LGS. The views across the site towards the listed church would be protected if the site is designated as a LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The value of this site to the village was reaffirmed when a Planning Appeal Inspector in 2015 considered that the site forms part of the setting of the Grade 1 church and conservation area. 'The land provides a buffer between the Conservation Area, which comprises a collection of older buildings built at different periods of time and in different styles, and the more modern built-up part of the village characterised by estate style development which has a greater uniformity and density. As such the open space provides a distinction between the two areas allowing the historic development of the village to be appreciated.</i></p> <p><i>In addition, the open character of the land emphasises the significance and visual impact of the Church as a focal point in an open rural landscape at the heart of a small village. As such the land forms part of the assets context. The built development around the church is of limited depth and, as such, gaps between buildings enable the open space to be appreciated from the church and Conservation Area. Furthermore the open space allows the heritage assets to be seen and thereby experienced from the public footpath to the south. Although the footpath is bordered by a mature hedgerow, there are gaps in that hedgerow and the church and its immediate environs are readily visible.'</i></p> <p><i>The Inspector considered that any development on the site would result in the consolidation of built form around this part of the village which is its historic core and there would be a reduction in the openness between the historic part of the village, the conservation area and the more built up, modern part of the settlement. The designation of the site as a LGS will protect these features for the village.</i></p> <p><i>Over Parish Council has submitted further evidence to the Council to assist with the writing of this statement and has informed the Council that at its recent meeting the PC voted by a very small minority to not petition for the re-inclusion of the land included in this LGS. (Appendix 4).</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that the value of keeping this LGS within the plan has been well recognised in planning</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 130</b> (0.88 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Station Road/Turn Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Over</b>
	<p><i>evidence which has supported keeping the site open and free from development. It was the PC who originally submitted the site for consideration as a PVAA and the Council assessed the site and considered it met the test for being included in the plan as a proposed LGS. It was by a small minority that the PC recently voted to not support the re-inclusion of protection of the land in the plan. The Council does not consider on balance that the removal of this LGS would be necessary for the plan to be found sound.</i></p> <p><u>Extant Planning permission?</u> There are no extant permissions relating to this site.</p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u>  <b>Sites NH/12-130 and 131-Land to the Rear of The Lane, Over</b>                      These two plots of land are contiguous and are separated by a narrow watercourse.                      The combined sites are surrounded on all sides by residential development, albeit there is a public right of way (PROW) along the south-east boundary of the sites. Both sites are overgrown and unkempt and are screened from the PROW by vegetation and fencing. From my observations, the sites are not demonstrably special and could not be considered as a valued landscape in any respect. They would not therefore warrant a LGS designation.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland and wooded area make a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'. The Inspectors concluded in their Interim Findings that sites are not demonstrably special and could not be considered as a valued landscape in any respect.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Limited contribution to the setting of the nearby listed buildings, including the Grade 1 church, and conservation area.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Public right of way along the southern boundary. No formal rights of way across the site. The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Apart from the adjoining rihght of way the land is not publicly accessible.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland and wooded area make some contribution to the

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 130</b> (0.88 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Station Road/Turn Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Over</b>
significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		character and amenity of the village and form part of the setting of the Grade 1 church and conservation area. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 130</b> (0.88 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Station Road/Turn Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Over</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Pastureland and wooded area	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland and wooded area	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Nature of site not important to the character or to the character of this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland and wooded area	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland and wooded area adjacent to road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as PVAA.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 130 (0.88 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Station Road/Turn Lane	<b>Parish</b> Over
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is within the development framework
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>	<b>No</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 131</b> (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land to rear of The Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Over</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is however made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Open pastureland.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA07) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>The site is a green space surrounded to north, east and south by residential. It provides an amenity for this part of Over. The identification of this area as a PVAA protects this undeveloped land and preserves the special local character of this part of Over. It continues to meet the criteria needed to be retained as a PVAA. It meets the test for a LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. During the 2013 consultation, there was objection to LGS by individual as does not meet criteria for designation. Site bounded by 2m high fence. Limited views / overgrown private land. No public access. No more tranquil than other nearby areas in village. No uncommon wildlife. <b>Council assessment</b> - All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 131</b>                      (0.89 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Land to rear of The Lane</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Over</b></p>
	<p>Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>There was an individual respondent (Rep 64972) who objected to the designation as LGS. Reason for objection:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Concern that representation submitted in earlier consultation in 2013 - Rep 57527 was not included in evidence paper submitted to government in March 2014.</li> <li>2. Site does not demonstrably meet criteria under NPPF para 77 - limited views of land for public and no public access; no visual impact on listed buildings; no historic significance; no recreational value; no more tranquil than other sites in village; no significant wildlife.</li> <li>3. Site should have existing PVAA designation removed too.</li> </ol> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 58-9:                      SC4C.xxxix.  <i>Would Land to the rear of The Lane, Over meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of it being demonstrably special and of particular local significance? Should its PVAA designation also be removed on the basis that its role as an amenity area for the village and its contribution to the character of the village do not warrant such a designation? (NH/12-131)</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that the site should remain as a LGS and that this designation should replace the existing PVAA.</i></p> <p><i>An objection to the PVAA designation was made during the Issues and Options consultation in the summer 2012. The Council will be reassessing all existing PVAAs as to whether they are suitable candidates for LGS during the next review</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 131</b> (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land to rear of The Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Over</b>
<p><i>of the Local Plan. It therefore took the opportunity to see if this site meets the tests for LGS. The Inspector of the Site Specific Policies DPD in his examination report in 2009 considered that this site, which was the eastern part of what was then a larger PVAA, did contribute to the amenity and character of this part of Over. The Council in reviewing the value of this site agreed with this assessment considering that the site meets the test for LGS. The site is a green space surrounded to north, east and south by residential uses which provides an amenity for this part of Over. The identification of this area as a LGS protects this undeveloped land and preserves the special local character of this part of Over. This site in Over was considered to meet the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u>  <b>Sites NH/12-130 and 131-Land to the Rear of The Lane, Over</b>                      These two plots of land are contiguous and are separated by a narrow watercourse.                      The combined sites are surrounded on all sides by residential development, albeit there is a public right of way (PROW) along the south-east boundary of the sites. Both sites are overgrown and unkempt and are screened from the PROW by vegetation and fencing. From my observations, the sites are not demonstrably special and could not be considered as a valued landscape in any respect. They would not therefore warrant a LGS designation.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Contributes to the character and amenity of the village. It is not of 'particular local significance'. The Inspectors concluded in their Interim Findings that sites are not demonstrably special and could not be considered as a valued landscape in any respect.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Public right of way along the southern boundary. No formal rights of way across the site. The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Apart from the adjoining right of way the land is not publicly accessible.



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 131</b> (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land to rear of The Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Over</b>
<b>Tranquillity</b>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 131 (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land to rear of The Lane	<b>Parish</b> Over
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Open pastureland	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Open land with trees	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Openland	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Openland and trees.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as PVAA.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 131 (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Land to rear of The Lane	<b>Parish</b> Over
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is within the development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>	<b>No</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 132</b> (0.32 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Wood behind Pendragon Hill</b>	Parish <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Copse within residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<p><b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> No</p>	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G47) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>Well established woodland area surrounded by housing which would have wildlife value. It is within the village framework. Appears to be an enclosed site. It brings local character to this part of Papworth. Site does meet test for either PVAA or LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. During the consultations, Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 132</b>                      (0.32 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Wood behind Pendragon Hill</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Papworth Everard</b></p>
<p><b>for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The Papworth Trust made a representation (Rep 64954) in which they objected to the designation as LGS. Reason for objection:                      Land within Framework, which has 'run wild' over time. No evidence of either local support or 'richness' of wildlife value which the NPPF advice requires. As the Council's own studies established, the tests for LGS status are not met.</p> <p>The council's response in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 59:  <i>SC4C.xl.</i>  <i>Would the Wood behind Pendragon Hill, Papworth Everard meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of particular local significance and richness of wildlife? (NH/12-132).</i></p> <p><i>Papworth Everard Parish Council submitted this site for consideration as LGS and strongly supported its designation stating that it was valued by parishioners. In their opinion the village is characterised by housing separated by relatively large greens. This site is well established woodland with wildlife value which brings local character to this part of the village.</i></p> <p><i>The Papworth Trust as owners of the site have objected to its designation as it does not meet the NPPF123 requirements and is land that has 'run wild' over time.</i></p> <p><i>The Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of all LGS within their parish including this triangular area that was left following the development of housing in this area. (Appendix 4) According to the PC at least two well-grown oak trees in the area were planted when Pendragon Hill was constructed in the 1930s. However they acknowledge that public access to the site has been blocked by redevelopment in this area. LGS does not have to have such access to be valued by the local community.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 132</b> (0.32 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Wood behind Pendragon Hill</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
		<i>this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with trees makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value, as access is not available.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland with trees which contributes to the character and amenity of the village, but does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Landowner objection to the proposed LGS designation.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 132 (0.32 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Wood behind Pendragon Hill	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Copse within residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with trees within residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Nature of site not important to the character or to the character of this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Copse within residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Copse surrounded by houses does make this a tranquil area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as PVAA.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 132 (0.32 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Wood behind Pendragon Hill	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is within the development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>	<b>No</b>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 133</b> (0.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Jubilee Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with trees within residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G48) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This is a grassy area within the centre of Papworth with some trees along the edge. It has value to local community as being a green space in the centre of the village. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in the local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Provides a new village green near the village centre. Contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area with trees that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 133</b> (0.35 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Jubilee Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
		warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area beside the village's main arterial road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It performs a recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 134</b> (0.96 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Baron's Way Wood</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area within residential area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G49) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>A long strip of woodland following behind properties in Baron's Way. It has wildlife value. It adds to the rural character of the village. The entire site is within the village framework. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i>          The council's recommendation in 2013 was:          Include in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. During the consultations, Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 134</b>                      (0.96 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Baron's Way Wood</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Papworth Everard</b></p>
<p><b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The Papworth Trust made a representation (Rep 64953) in which they objected to the designation as LGS. Reason for objection:  <i>Land within Framework, which has 'run wild' over time. No evidence of either local support or 'richness' of wildlife value which the NPPF advice requires. As the Council's own studies established, the tests for LGS status are not met.</i></p> <p>The Council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 60:  <i>SC4C.xli.</i>  <i>Would Baron's Way Wood, Papworth Everard meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of particular local significance and richness of wildlife? (NH/12-134).</i></p> <p><i>Papworth Everard Parish Council submitted this site for consideration as LGS and strongly supported its designation stating that it was valued by parishioners. In their opinion the village is characterised by housing separated by relatively large greens. This site is a long strip of woodland following behind properties in Baron's Way. It has wildlife value and adds to the rural character of the village.</i></p> <p><i>The Papworth Trust as owners of the site have objected to its designation as it does not meet the NPPF124 requirements and is land that has 'run wild' over time.</i></p> <p><i>The Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of all LGS within their parish including this strip of woodland which provides a much appreciated backdrop to views across playing fields in this part of the village. (Appendix 4) Part of the woodland pre-dates the housing development providing a haven for wildlife. (Appendix 4). There is an informal path through the wood which provides an alternative safe route for local residents than walking by a road.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 134</b> (0.96 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Baron's Way Wood</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
<i>affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small woodland area providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 134 (0.97 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Baron's Way Wood		<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area within residential area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area within residential area.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area provides a tranquil area.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Tranquil wooded area important to the village's character.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 135</b> (1.56 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Rectory Woods (inside Village Boundary)</b>	Parish <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area within residential area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G50) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>An area of woodland part within and part outside of the village framework on the eastern edge of the village west of Chequers Lane and south of Old Pinewood Way. The woodland adjoins the Baron's Way Wood and has wildlife value and is part of a larger expanse of woodland to the south. There is public access and provides a tranquil location on the edge of residential areas. Site meets test for only LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. During the consultations, Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 135</b> (1.56 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Rectory Woods (inside Village Boundary)</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
<p>Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>An Individual responded (Rep 64887) to object and propose amending the boundary. The rep can be summarised as: <i>The respondents' property is adjacent to NH/12-135. They do not own any part of it as mentioned in Council's letter. Would love green space to stay as it is. Supports an abundance of wildlife, including deer, fox, hedgehogs, squirrels and all sorts of birds and butterflies.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was not specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016).</b></p> <p>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 135 into two parts (135a Rectory Woods – inside village boundary and 135b Rectory Woods – outside village boundary).</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a woodland area that does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 135</b> (1.56 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Rectory Woods (inside Village Boundary)</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 135a (1.56 Hectares)	Name of Site Rectory Woods (inside Village Boundary)		Parish Papworth Everard
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area within residential area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area within residential area.		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area provides a tranquil area.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Tranquil wooded area important to the village's character.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 135b</b> (0.60 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Rectory Woods (outside Village Boundary)</b>	Parish <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area within residential area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 135 into two parts (135a Rectory Woods – inside village boundary and 135b Rectory Woods – outside village boundary).  For information about site considerations through plan making for site 135b, please refer to that information for site 135a (above) when it was part of the larger site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a woodland area that does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 135b</b> (0.60 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Rectory Woods (outside Village Boundary)</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
		by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 135b (0.61 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Rectory Woods (outside Village Boundary)	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area within residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 135b (0.61 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Rectory Woods (outside Village Boundary)	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 136</b> (0.82 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Meadow at western end of Church Lane</b>	Parish <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area in front of St Peter's Church (13/14th Century) Grade II* Listed building..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G51) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is grassland outside of the village framework. St Peter's Church is to the south overlooking this area but screened by trees. This has value to local community. Site meets test for only LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and particularly the area around the church. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is within Conservation Area and provides a setting for both the grade II* listed Parish Church of St Peter on Church Lane and

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 136</b> (0.82 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Meadow at western end of Church Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
		the grade II listed Lych Gate to Parish Church of St Peter on Church Lane. Makes a positive contribution to this part of the village and the setting of the conservation area.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an informal recreation function however, it could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area on the village's western periphery providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises a grassland area which makes an important contribution to the setting of the conservation area and Grade II* Listed church and Grade II Listed Lych Gate as well as to the wider character and amenity of the village. It has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 137a (1.26 Hectares)	Name of Site Summer's Hill Open Space (inside Village Boundary)	Parish Papworth Everard
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with play area and drainage/balancing pond adjacent to residential area..		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PC21) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>Open space sloping up from bypass on the western side of village adjacent to the new housing development of Summer's Hill. This is an extensive area of open space outside of the village framework. The guidance in the NPPF does not support the identification of extensive areas of open space as LGS. Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS.</i></p> <p>During consultations in 2013, there was 1 support. <i>Papworth Everard Parish Council Planning Committee has stated that this area is an integral part of development of 365 dwellings, makes it more sustainable, well related to village and new development, valuable recreation area for village and new development. It is not appropriate to identify the whole area as LGS but within the housing development there are pockets of green space and recreation areas that would be appropriate to include. Northern entrance green; kickabout area, pond and play spaces and other greens.</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 137a</b>                      (1.26 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Summer's Hill Open Space (inside Village Boundary)</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Papworth Everard</b></p>
	<p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was:  <i>Include pockets of green space and recreation areas: Northern entrance green; kickabout area, pond and play spaces and other greens in local plan as LGS.</i></p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no. 64957) was from the Varrier Jones Foundation who objected to the designation as LGS. Their comments are summarised as:  <i>Whilst this is land the subject of a Section 106 Obligation (in relation to the development of the residential estate to its east), the Council's own studies confirm that its extent is such as not to qualify for LGS status drawing on the NPPF guidance.</i></p> <p>The Council's response in 2015 was:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016).</b>  <i>SC4C.xlii.</i>  <i>Would Summer's Hill Open Space, Papworth Everard meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of its size and extent? Would the fact that the land is also the subject of a section 106 obligation have any bearing on the designation? (NH/12-137).</i></p> <p><i>Papworth Everard Parish Council submitted a large area of open space associated with the new development at Summer Hill for consideration as LGS. The Council in assessing the site considered this to be too extensive an area which would not comply with the guidance for designation in the NPPF125. The Council therefore only designated pockets of green space and recreations areas within the new development. These areas were strongly supported by the Parish Council stating that it was valued by parishioners. In their opinion the village is characterised by housing separated by relatively large greens for which this LGS forms a new addition to the</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 137a</b> (1.26 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Summer's Hill Open Space (inside Village Boundary)</b>		Parish <b>Papworth Everard</b>
	<p><i>village.</i></p> <p><i>The Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of all LGS within their parish including this formal area of open space in the new development within the village. (Appendix 4). This LGS acts as a buffer between any future development to the south and the older part of the village to the north. It is in a quiet part of the village and will give some protection to maintain the setting of the parish church of St Peter's, a Grade II* Listed Building.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 137 into two parts - 137a Summer's Hill Open Space (inside village boundary) and 137b Summer's Hill Open Space (outside village boundary).</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with play area and drainage / balancing pond makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site provides a setting for the grade II* listed Parish Church of St Peter on Church Lane which lies 40m distance to the north west of the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an informal recreation function however, it could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a grassland area with play area and a drainage/balancing pond on the village's western periphery providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Grassland area	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 137a</b> (1.26 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Summer's Hill Open Space (inside Village Boundary)</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		with play area and drainage / balancing pond makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and particularly the area around the church, and the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 137a (1.26 Hectares)	Name of Site Summer's Hill Open Space (inside Village Boundary)	Parish Papworth Everard
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland area with play area and drainage/balancing pond adjacent to residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space with play area and drainage / balancing pond.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space, play area and drainage / balancing pond makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space with play area on western periphery of the village provides a tranquil location.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space within a tranquil location.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 137b (1.81 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Summer's Hill Open Space (outside Village Boundary)	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with play area adjacent to residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 137 into two parts - 137a Summer's Hill Open Space (inside village boundary) and 137b Summer's Hill Open Space (outside village boundary).  For information about site considerations through plan making for site 137b, please refer to that information for site 137a (above) when it was part of the combined site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with play area and drainage / balancing pond makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site provides a setting for the grade II* listed Parish Church of St Peter on Church Lane which lies 40m distance to the north west of the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an informal recreation function however, it could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 137b</b> (1.81 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Summer's Hill Open Space (outside Village Boundary)</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
		which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a grassland area with play area and a drainage/balancing pond on the village's western periphery providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Grassland area with play area and drainage / balancing pond makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and particularly the area around the church, and the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 137b (1.81 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Summer's Hill Open Space (outside Village Boundary)	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Grassland area with play area adjacent to residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 137b (1.81 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Summer's Hill Open Space (outside Village Boundary)	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 138a</b> (9.28 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Papworth Hall</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Large parkland surrounding Papworth Hall.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA08) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This area is already within a PVAA. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS.  During consultations in 2013, there was 1 support: Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green.  2 representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> .	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 138a</b>                      (9.28 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Papworth Hall</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Papworth Everard</b></p>
	<p>One representation (no. 64903) was from an individual who objected to the LGS boundary. The comments are summarised as:  <i>No objection to Local Green Space. Would like site to be separated from Papworth Hall as now private land – not one large LGS.</i></p> <p>Another representation was from Varrier Jones Foundation (Rep 64955) who objected and proposed amending the LGS boundary. Their comments are summarised as:  <i>Amend boundary. This designation takes in (at least) two ownerships. So far as those parts owned by the objector are concerned, none meet the criteria for 'particular significance' cited in the NPPF and the Council's own studies. Thus the tests for LGS status are not met.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 61:  <i>SC4C.xliii.</i>  <i>Should the boundary of the Papworth Hall, Papworth Everard LGS designation be amended so as to exclude the narrow finger of land between Papworth Business Park to the south and existing residential accommodation to the north as this area now comprises a private dwelling and garden? (NH/12-138).</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan as one LGS.</i></p> <p><i>This whole site was submitted by Papworth Everard Parish Council and the boundary that was assessed for consideration as a LGS was that of the existing PVAA. The Council considered that it meets the criteria for LGS. The Parish Council strongly supported its designation during the Issues and Options 2 consultation stating that it was valued by parishioners.</i></p> <p><i>During the targeted consultation with the owners of land proposed as LGS the owners of the narrow finger of land north of the Business Park submitted a representation (Rep no 64903) that pointed out that this part of the site is private land in residential use. They support the designation</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 138a</b> (9.28 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Papworth Hall</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
	<p><i>of it as LGS but requested that their land be a separate LGS to that of Papworth Hall. Although it is recognised that this site is in two different ownerships the designation of a LGS is not determined by its ownership. A LGS can contain a mix of characters as is the case with example.</i></p> <p><i>The Council does not consider it necessary to create two separate LGSs.</i></p> <p><i>The Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of all LGS within their parish including this LGS. The narrow finger of land between Papworth Business Park and the existing residential areas is an important physical barrier and therefore its long-term retention is strongly supported by residents. This wooded strip marked the southern boundary of the parkland attached to Papworth Hall and was contiguous to the grassland of South Park before the interjection of new housing.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 138 into two parts - 138a Papworth Hall and 138b Papworth Hall (small finger of land).</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Well-maintained parkland area which significantly contributes to the character of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site provides a setting for the grade II* listed Parish Church of St Peter on Church Lane which lies 40m distance to the north west of the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No formal rights of way across the site. The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Large parkland area in a central location providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 138a</b> (9.28 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Papworth Hall</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Parkland area which significantly contributes to the character of the village and the park, gardens and moated site is a significant historic important to the village. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 138a (9.29 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Papworth Hall	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Large parkland surrounding Papworth Hall	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 138b</b> (1.93 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Papworth Hall (small finger of land)</b>	Parish <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 138 into two parts - 138a Papworth Hall and 138b Papworth Hall (small finger of land).  For information about site considerations through plan making for site 138b, please refer to that information for site 138a (above) when it was part of the combined site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an accessible wooded area of land. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The wooded area's character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 138b</b> (1.93 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Papworth Hall (small finger of land)</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 138b (1.94 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Papworth Hall (small finger of land)	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to the village's character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area provides a tranquil area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Tranquil wooded area important to the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 139 (5.83 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Village Playing Field	<b>Parish</b> Papworth Everard
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA09) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>This area is already within a PVAA and as playing fields has a recreational value to the local community. The woodland will have wildlife value. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS.</p> <p>During consultations in 2013, there was 1 support: Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 139</b>                      (5.83 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Village Playing Field</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Papworth Everard</b></p>
<p><b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>One representation (no. 64956) was from the Varrier Jones Foundation who objected to the LGS boundary. Their comments are summarised as:  <i>Majority of site has local recreational function. Same not true of woodland strip along its northern edge. There is no evidence that this is the home for the 'richness of wildlife' which the NPPF expects. Delete this strip.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 62:  <i>SC4C.xliv.</i>  <i>Would the strip of woodland along the northern edge of the LGS designation for the Village Playing Field, Papworth Everard meet the criteria in terms of recreational amenity or richness of wildlife? (NH/12-139).</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the whole site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p><i>This whole site was submitted by Papworth Everard Parish Council for consideration as LGS. The boundary assessed was that of the existing PVAA where it was considered by the Council to meet the tests for LGS. The majority of the site is playing field and is considered to have recreational value to the local community. The Parish Council strongly supported its designation during the Issues and Options 2 consultation stating that it was valued by parishioners.</i></p> <p><i>The owners of the land, the Varrier Jones Foundation, objected to the designation of the woodland strip along its northern edge considering that this site does not include the 'richness of wildlife' which the NPPF expects. The Council when it assessed the site considered that the woodland strip was valued by the local community as the Parish Council had proposed the whole site rather than just the playing fields. The existing PVAA extends over the whole site recognising the value of this woodland in adding to the character of this part of the village. The Parish Council has highlighted that the village is characterised by housing separated by green areas and this LGS performs this function. The public do not have to have access to an</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 139</b> (5.83 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Playing Field</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
	<p><i>area to appreciate its value.</i></p> <p><i>The Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of all LGS within their parish including this LGS. (Appendix 4) The narrow wood along the northern edge of the playing field is valued by the PC. It contains mature trees and is a backdrop to the playing fields and highly regarded by local residents as well as visiting sportspersons. It has high amenity value as evidenced by the network of informal pathways that run through it. It is part of what was once a larger wood that existed in the area before the 20th century. It is an important woodland containing a group of TPOs. The PC also submitted a suggested amendment to this LGS which had not previously been raised as an issue.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground contributes to the character and amenity of the village. The site comprises a very large recreation ground at the centre of the village, which enhances the the rural character of the village. It provides a break from the urban form at a north end of the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties that lie on all 4 sides of the site. The large site offers long views through the centre of the village and is very attractive due to its wide variety of activities and spaces, symmetry and coordination of space, well maintained quality, abundance of trees and green space, and enclosure by trees and hedgerows. The site does make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to its contribution to setting and views and a high level of visual attractiveness due to its variety of activities and spaces, symmetry and coordination of space, well maintained quality, abundance of trees and green space, and enclosure by trees and hedgerows.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 139</b> (5.83 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Playing Field</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Papworth Everard</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 140</b> (0.69 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Challis Garden, Mill Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Garden (open to fee paying public).	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G52) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>The site is an area of private woodland adjacent to the recreation ground within Sawston. Outside of the Conservation Area. There is a dense cover of trees which is likely to have a high wildlife value. The site is enclosed but creates an important area of green within the village giving a wooded edge to the recreation ground. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. The consultation produced 44 supports.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The garden itself was gifted to the people of Sawston, and is being restored by volunteers. It has a beauty in itself, but its contribution to the wider character of the village is limited as it is fenced off.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 140</b> (0.69 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Challis Garden, Mill Lane</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is outside the village Conservation area although the site does provide a setting for the Conservation area which includes several grade II listed buildings to the immediate north and east of the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function however it is not publically accessible other than during certain times with an admission fee therefore it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a publically owned garden (with restricted opening hours) that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Garden has a beauty in itself, but its contribution to the wider character of the village, conservation area and settling of listed buildings is limited as it is fenced off. It has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 140 (0.7 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Challis Garden, Mill Lane	<b>Parish</b> Sawston
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Garden (open to fee paying public)	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Formal garden	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Garden area surrounded by high walls.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is tranquil with minimal activity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Gardens are secluded.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Formal garden contributes to the village's tranquility.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 141 (1.49 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace	<b>Parish</b> Sawston
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> grassed playing field.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G53) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is an area of green space on the southern edge of Sawston outside of the village framework. The site is surrounded by well established hedges and has housing to the north and east; and commercial uses to the south. It provides a pocket of green open space between urban uses. It has value for the local community for informal recreation. Site meets test for only LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew support from 40, 3 objected and there were 7 comments. There was an objection from trustees as landowners, who would like to rent the site to generate income and site has limited access for the public. Objections to designation because it is removed from the village and is only used by dog walkers. Lots of support, including from Sawston Parish Council. This area, once used as a playing field, forms an important green space for residents at the southern end of Sawston. Meets the tests</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 141</b> (1.49 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
<p>for LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. There was general support for all LGS in village.</p> <p>2 representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p> <p>Sawston Church Institute made a representation (Rep 64906) who objected to the designate as LGS. Their comments are summarised as:  <i>"Spike Field" is private land bequeathed over 100 years ago to Sawston Church/Institute Trustees of the Towgood Charities are responsible for field, hence it is partially fenced off, apart from a few residents cars near entrance. This is not a recreational area for public. From time to time the respondent also have to fund pruning of trees, hedges etc, from overgrowth. It is not their intention to permit the public access to this private area.</i></p> <p>The Towgoods' Charities of St Mary the Virgin Sawston made a representation (no. 64915) and objected to the designation. Their comments are summarised as:                      1. <i>Designated land is Charity Land in Trust.</i>                      2. <i>Trustees are bound to ensure this area of land is used as set out in the Indenture dated 1903.</i>                      3. <i>Trustees have insufficient income to insure themselves for local community access.</i>                      4. <i>As Trustees cannot accept any public liability they will have to fence it off if necessary.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 63:  <i>SC4C.xlv.</i>  <i>Would The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace, Sawston meet the designation criteria for LGS as it is land bequeathed to Sawston Church/Institute, and in terms of its</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 141</b> (1.49 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
	<p><i>recreational value? (NH/12-141).</i></p> <p><i>The Spike Playing Field is an area of open space on the southern edge of Sawston. It provides a pocket of green open space between urban uses in this part of the village. It has value for the local community for informal recreation and therefore the Council considers that it meets the test for LGS. During the Issues and Options 2 consultation there was much support for this LGS including support from the Parish Council. However the Trustees of Sawston Church Institute who are responsible for the field consider that it is not suitable as a LGS and is not a recreational area for the public. It is not their intention to permit public access to this private area. It should be noted that a LGS can be in private ownership and does not have to be accessible to the public – however in this circumstance if access were to be denied to the public its value as a LGS would be diminished.</i></p> <p><i>Sawston Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. (Appendix 4) The PC considers that the area forms an important LGS and historically has been used as a playing field. During the 1990s the land was leased to the PC for the construction of an earth BMX track in response to a request from a local youth group. Although this use has not lapsed the land is still used for informal recreation, such as dog walking and informal play by residents within the local area. In the Recreation and Open Spaces Study 2013, Sawston was identified as having a shortfall of both sports provision and play space and this land makes a significant contribution towards informal play space.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland contributes to the character and amenity of the village. Provides a pocket of green space between urban parts of the village. The site comprises former playing fields at the western edge of the village, which enhances the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge and adds to the setting of the residential properties. It offers long views through the centre of the village. • The site is only moderately attractive. The site of pastureland is featureless. It is enclosed by trees and hedgerows but does not seem well maintained.
Does the site have a particular	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 141</b> (1.49 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Used informally as a playing field, for informal recreation. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located beside residential properties, industrial units and open countryside, the site provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 141 (1.49 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace	<b>Parish</b> Sawston
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> grassed playing field	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 141 (1.49 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace	<b>Parish</b> Sawston
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 142 (1.88 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Mill Lane Recreation Ground	<b>Parish</b> Sawston
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS152) with the council's assessment (in 2012):  <i>The site is the recreational ground for the village and as such has recreational value for the local community. It is already a PVAA. The respondent has stated that the land is under the control of the parish council and not at any risk, but the principle is supported for LGS. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's assessment in 2013 was:  <i>Submitted by Parish Council and another. Existing PVAA. Grass recreational area, pavilion and children's play area. Part of the setting of the Conservation Area and provides a recreational use for the village and enhances the setting of the Conservation Area. Option G52 meets the test for LGS and was consulted on in 2013.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was:            Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the proposed Submission Local Plan 2013.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 142</b> (1.88 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Mill Lane Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
	<p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no. 64877) was from Sawston Parish Council who fully support inclusion of the local green space.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site does enhance the rural character of the village, provides a break from the urban form at the centre of the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties. It offers long views through the centre of the village and is moderately attractive.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation area and provides a setting for grade II listed building to the immediate north and east of the site and Challis Gardens – No. 11, (The Orchards), Mill Lane. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 143</b> (0.65 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Millennium Copse</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Copse.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No - Nursery site removed from designation	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA11) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>Strip of green space north of Tannery Road. There are a number of young trees growing on the site – planted for millennium. As the trees grow there will be increased biodiversity value for the local community. The site appears to be enclosed behind hedges. This is already within a PVAA. The site meets the test for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the proposed Submission Local Plan 2013.  Representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 143</b>                      (0.65 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Millennium Copse</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Sawston</b></p>
	<p><b>10/03/2015.</b>                      One representation (no. 64878) was from Sawston Parish Council who fully support inclusion of the local green space. The other representation was from John Huntingdon Charity (no. 64882) who objected and proposed an amended Boundary. Their comments can be summarised as:  <i>Part of this site is owned by the charity, but has a nursery built on it back in 2000, so cannot be allocated as a green space. Other part of the site is owned by Sawston Parish Council as marked on the attached plans. The respondent has also attached the Land Registry document for further clarification.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>A representation was received from the owners of the western section of this site indicating that a nursery had been built on their land in 2000 and therefore in their opinion could not be designated as LGS. The designated area incorrectly extends across the nursery site, beyond the wooded area of the Copse. It is appropriate to amend the western boundary to this area.</i>  <b>Recommendation:</b> Amend the Millennium Copse, Sawston LGS to exclude the nursery site. See Map 6 showing revised Boundary.</p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 63-4.                      SC4C.xlvi.  <i>Should the parcel of land at Millennium Copse, Sawston which has operated as a nursery facility for the benefit of a registered charity since 2000 be excluded from the LGS designation? (NH/12-143)</i></p> <p><i>The Council has since the Local Plan was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. A representation was received from the owners of the western section of this site indicating that a nursery had been built on their land in 2000 and therefore in their opinion could not be designated as LGS. The designated area incorrectly extends across the nursery site, beyond the wooded area of the Copse. It is appropriate to amend the western boundary to this area.</i></p> <p><i>In the report to the South Cambridgeshire Planning Portfolio Holder on 10 March 2015 it was agreed to amend the Millennium Copse, Sawston LGS to exclude the nursery site (Note to Inspector on the Targeted Consultation with Landowners of LGS133.)</i></p> <p><i>The Council has proposed an amendment to this site and therefore has met the objectors concerns.</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 143</b> (0.65 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Millennium Copse</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
	<p><i>Modification to the Policies Map: Amend the boundary of LGS NH/12-143.</i></p> <p><i>Sawston Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS and confirm the nursery facility should be excluded from the LGS. (Appendix 4) It is noted that the PC in its statement has raised matters that had not previously been identified for additional land to be included in the LGS. This land is identified in the plan as PVAA.</i></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Copse contributes to to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation area and provides the setting for several Grade II listed buildings including the Large Tannery Building (Drying Shed), the Former Engine House, (The Crust Loft) and Brooklands off the High Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an informal recreation function 'of particular local significance' to the local community and therefore should be protected by LGS designation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a copse that does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Copse makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It does have an informal recreation function and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2015) to exclude land within the nursery.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 144</b> (0.41 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Butlers Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area with trees within residential area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS151) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Informal grass area surrounded by tall hedgerow/trees, with public access from Mill Lane. Part of the setting of the Conservation Area and provides a tranquil area or informal recreation use for the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. During consultations in 2013, there was general support for all LGS in village.  A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> .	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 144</b>                      (0.41 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Butlers Green</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Sawston</b></p>
<p>The individual respondent (Rep 64946) objected to the designation. Reason for objection:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>No evidences exist that John Falkner School playing field has been a special site of historic importance or a specifically cherished site.</i></li> <li>2. <i>There are no evidences of shortage of green in this area.</i></li> <li>3. <i>Council did not find any evidence to convince them this land should be designated a public green.</i></li> <li>4. <i>Site was sold by Council for development only three years ago.</i></li> <li>5. <i>Any such a designation would harm potential for a sympathetic and much needed investment in and development of site.</i></li> </ol> <p><i>The council responded in 2015:                      The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 64-5.                      SC4C.xlvii.  <i>Would Butlers Green, Sawston meet the criteria for LGS designation in terms of its sustainable location for development? (NH/12-144).</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that this LGS should remain the Plan as LGS. Butlers Green is an informal grass area surrounded by tall hedgerow/trees, with public access from Mill Lane. It forms part of the setting of the conservation area and provides a tranquil area for informal recreation use for the village which the Council considers meets the test for LGS. During the Proposed Submission consultation in the summer of 2013 there was general support for all the LGSs in the village.</i></p> <p><i>The owner of the land objected to its designation considering that the site is not a special one cherished by the village and would harm the potential for a sympathetic development on the site. In assessing all the LGS the Council took into account the need to ensure that such designations are not overused so that a village ends up with no future space to grow. The site was formerly the playing fields of the adjoining former John Falkner Infants School. Planning permission was given in June 2013 (S/1783/12/FL) to this adjoining site for nine dwellings. The design statement for this application describes the green as a landscaped area that will be retained as a communal area.</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 144</b>                      (0.41 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Butlers Green</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Sawston</b></p>
<p><i>The design of the scheme creates, enhances and retains the distinctive character that relates to the local area and surrounding environment.</i></p> <p><i>The Sawston Conservation Area Appraisal 2007 identifies the important contribution made by the green adjacent to The Baulks as open space. The conservation area was extended to include this area – these boundary changes were approved on 12 September 2007. The trees on the site make a major contribution to the character and appearance of the area and provide a setting to the adjoining listed buildings.</i></p> <p><i>Sawston Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. The PC states that the area is within the Conservation Area and forms an important feature in the street scene to the north of Mill Lane Recreation Ground (NH/12-142) contributing to the openness of this part of Mill Lane and providing with the recreation ground to the south, a clear green separation between the eastern and western section of Mill Lane. The Conservation Area was extended to include Butler's Green in 2007 at the time of the closure of the adjacent school in recognition of this area's importance in maintaining the rural aspect of this section of Mill Lane and its historical links to the Victorian buildings of the former school. These buildings are of considerable local historic significance, the external fabric of these buildings has been retained in the new housing development. The openness of this area is essential for retaining the setting of these preserved buildings and their visibility from Mill Lane. The PC also mentioned the contribution made by the well established trees on the site to the green environment of this part of Sawston.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p> <p><u>Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017</u>  <b>LGS Site NH/12-144-Butlers Green, Sawston</b>                      The site is a grassed area which separates a number of the dwellings facing onto Mill Lane from the highway. Whilst it has some merit in open space terms, I would have expected that consideration might have been given for possible designation as a Protected Village Amenity Area (PVAA) in the context of Policy NH/11 of the Plan rather than a LGS.</p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 144</b> (0.41 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Butlers Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with trees contributes to the character and amenity of the village. The trees on the site make a major contribution to the character and appearance of the area and provide a setting to the adjoining Listed Buildings. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Formerly the playing fields of the adjoining former John Falkner Infants School. Within the conservation area and the Sawston Conservation Area Appraisal 2007 identifies the important contribution made by the green adjacent to The Baulks as open space. Provides setting for a number of listed buildings. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area with trees that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area beside the village's main arterial road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Grassland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has an informal recreation role. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 144 (0.42 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Butlers Green	<b>Parish</b> Sawston
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area with trees within residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space with trees.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area surrounded by trees within a residential area.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area surrounded by trees within a residential area is located beside a main road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space within a residential area.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 145</b> (2.58 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Spicers' Sports Field</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>he site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS153) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is an area of playing fields adjacent to Sawston Community College and as such has recreational value for the local community. They are already identified as PVAA. The respondent has stated that the land is under the control of the parish council and not at any risk, but the principle is supported for LGS. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's assessment in 2013 was:  <i>Submitted by Parish Council and another. Existing PVAA. Grass recreational area and bowling green. Provides a recreational use for the village. Submitted and assessed in 2012. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 145</b> (2.58 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Spicers' Sports Field</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
	A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b> The respondent was Sawston Parish Council and in their rep (no. 64879), they fully supported inclusion of the local green space.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It enhances the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge at the north end of the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties. It offers long views through the centre of the village along the main streets going south through the centre of the village - Cambridge Road, Hillside and the High Street. The site is reasonably attractive due to its wide range of activities and spaces, symmetry and coordination of space, well maintained quality of the pitches and enclosure provided by a series of trees and hedgerows. However it lacks any distinctive characteristics to offer aesthetic appeal.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 146</b> (2.56 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Lynton Way Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS154) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>These are playing fields within the village of Sawston and as such offer recreational value to the local community. They are already identified as PVAA. The respondent has stated that the land is under the control of the parish council and not at any risk, but the principle is supported for LGS. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i>  The council's assessment in 2013 was: <i>Submitted by Parish Council and another. Existing PVAA. Grass recreational area with children's play area, with housing on three sides. Provides a recreational use for the village. Submitted and assessed in 2012. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 146</b> (2.56 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Lynton Way Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge and adds to the setting of the residential properties. The site is moderately attractive with 2 football pitches and enclosure provided by trees around the perimeter but lacks any particularly distinctive aesthetic merits.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 147a</b> (2.52 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Orchard Park</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Parkland.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
<b>Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS155 / PVAA10) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This is an extensive area of green space within Sawston and is adjacent to a large allotment area. The whole site is already a PVAA. It provides a variety of uses for the local community- a large informal open space with play equipment.</i></p> <p><i>There are some trees scattered over the site which will add to the richness of the wildlife. Given its size it can offer areas of tranquillity. The respondent has stated that the land is under the control of the parish council and not at any risk, but the principle is supported for LGS. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's assessment in 2013 was:  <i>Submitted by Parish Council and another. Existing PVAA. Grass recreational area with children's play area, with housing on three sides. Provides a recreational use for the village. Submitted and assessed in 2012. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was:          Include in local plan as LGS.       </p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 147a</b> (2.52 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Orchard Park</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
<p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The respondent was John Huntingdon Charity and in their rep (no. 64883), they fully supported inclusion of the local green space. Decision to support this was taken at a trustees meeting on 17/11/14. The results of vote for allocating it as a local green space was 7 for and 1 against.</p> <p>In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 147 into two parts - 147a Orchard Park and 147b Orchard Park allotments.</p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Parkland contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is outside the village Conservation area but does provide a setting for it. It provides a setting for a grade II listed dwelling - Brooklands (163 High Street) and the grade II listed tannery building and former Engine House which all lie 50m distance away.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Parkland provide an important recreation function of local significance warranting the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located beside residential properties and allotments, the site provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 147b</b> (1.33 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Orchard Park allotments</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotments.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 147 into two parts - 147a Orchard Park and 147b Orchard Park allotments.  For information about site considerations through plan making for site 147b, please refer to that information for site 147a (above) when it was part of the combined site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Allotment area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of the village, provides a relief from the urban form at a central position in the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties. It offers long views through the centre of the village to the north. The large allotments are moderately attractive with well organised, well maintained allotment gardens, paths and enclosure provided by hedgerows around the perimeter although the site lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site other than the allotments have been long established.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 147b</b> (1.33 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Orchard Park allotments</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located beside the village's main arterial road, the allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 147b (1.33 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Orchard Park allotments	<b>Parish</b> Sawston
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Allotments	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located allotment area.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located allotment area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Centrally located allotment area beside a main road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space within a residential location.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 148</b> (0.56 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Deal Grove</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area and play area within residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS156) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Grass recreational area with children's play area, surrounded by housing. Provides a recreational use for the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> but not in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.                      The respondent was Sawston Parish Council and in their</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 148</b> (0.56 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Deal Grove</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Sawston</b>
	rep (no. 64880), they fully supported inclusion of the local green space.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area and play area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a grassland area with play equipment that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a grassland area with play equipment in a residential area that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland with a play area. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village. It has a recreational function and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 148 (0.57 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Deal Grove	<b>Parish</b> Sawston
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area and play area within residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space with play area	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal open space with play area is located within a residential area.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Important amenity space within a residential location.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 149</b> (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Ransom Strip, Craft Way</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is however made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area and open pastureland.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G54) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This site is located outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. It is a field with well-established area of trees at the western end of the site. This section of the site is within the Steeple Morden Conservation Area. There appears not to be public access to the site. To the south of the site are residential houses in Craft Way. A grade II listed building overlooks the site to the west. Site does meet test for LGS.</i> The consultation in 2013 drew 1 support. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 149</b> (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Ransom Strip, Craft Way</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
<p><b>PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>It was an individual respondent (Rep no. 64921) who objected to the LGS designation and suggested amending the boundary. The reason for objection: Do not designate as LGS part of site owned by respondent Reasons for objection:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>As privately owned land designating site as LGS will provide no benefit to public as they will have no legal access to it.</i></li> <li>2. <i>Village needs affordable housing and as this site is already adjacent to other local housing in Craft Way an ideal opportunity exists to extend services and amenities to this site.</i></li> <li>3. <i>If left undeveloped site will be at risk of being used by fly tippers and other unauthorised access.</i></li> <li>4. <i>Designation of this site as LGS will not meet objectives of NPPF as land is privately owned thereby barring the local Community from access to it.</i></li> </ol> <p>The council responded in 2015: <i>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on pages 65-6. <i>SC4C.xlviii.</i> <i>Would the Ransom Strip, Craft Way, Steeple Morden meet the criteria for LGS designation as it is in private ownership with no public access? (NH/12-149).</i></p> <p><i>Steeple Morden Parish Council submitted the site for consideration as LGS during the Issues and Options consultation in the summer of 2012. The site is a field with well established trees at the western end. Overlooking the area is a Grade II listed building and residential housing in Craft Way. The Council assessed the site as meeting the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The owner of the land has objected to its designation as the public will not be able to gain access to the site as it is in private ownership and in the owners opinion the site does not meet the criteria for LGS. The landowner considers that there is a need for affordable housing in the village and this</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 149</b> (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Ransom Strip, Craft Way</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
<p><i>site provides an opportunity to deliver such development. The Council when assessing sites for LGS took into account the need to ensure that LGS designations are not over used so that a village ends up with no future space for growth. Through the plan making process, sufficient land has been allocated to meet the district's housing requirements. The PC has reassessed the site and consider that it does not meet the criteria for LGS as it is in private ownership.(Appendix 4) It should be noted that LGS can be in private ownership and there does not need to be public access for a site to be valued by the local community.</i></p> <p><i>The site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS. The Council does not consider on balance that the removal of this LGS would be necessary for the plan to be found sound.</i></p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area and open pastureland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The west half of the site is in the Conservation area and provides a setting for 3 grade II listed buildings (38 (Victoria House), 40 (Two Trees) and 42 and country shop (Hay Street). However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value; No formal rights of way across the privately owned site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located beside residential properties, private garden area and wooded area, the site provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area and pastureland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 149</b> (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Ransom Strip, Craft Way</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area and open pastureland		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 149 (0.89 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Ransom Strip, Craft Way	<b>Parish</b> Steeple Morden
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Green</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.
	<b>Reasons:</b> No discernable views.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.
	<b>Reasons:</b> The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 150</b> (3.62 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground, Hay Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Important Countryside Frontage
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is however made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground including play area, bowls green & parking area).		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> Yes - Recreation ground minus the formal play area, bowls club & parking area.	
<b>Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G55) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>This site is located outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. The site is the local recreation ground consisting of grass playing fields with trees around the edge. It has recreational value for the local community. Two listed properties on the east side of Hay Street overlook the site. Site meets test for only LGS.</i> The consultation in 2013 drew 1 support. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 150</b> (3.62 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground, Hay Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
	<b>PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b> The respondent was Steeple Morden Parish Council and in their rep (no. 64860), they fully supported inclusion of the local green space.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site is moderately attractive. It is a grassy site that is divided by a line of trees separating a football pitch on one side and a cricket pitch, bowling green and children's play area on the other. Enclosure is provided by mature trees and hedgerows around the perimeter of the site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation area and provides a setting for 3 grade II listed buildings (38 (Victoria House) and 42 and country shop (Hay Street).
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 151 (3.73 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> The Cowslip Meadow	<b>Parish</b> Steeple Morden
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is however made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Open pastureland and wooded area.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to recreational facilities
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G56) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This site is located outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. It is a field to the west of the recreation ground which is rough grassland. Its name implies it has cowslips upon in so would have biodiversity value. Place of beauty at cowslip time. Of local importance to the community. Site meets test for only LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 1 support.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in</b></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 151</b> (3.73 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Cowslip Meadow</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
	<p><b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The respondent was Steeple Morden Parish Council and in their rep (no. 64861), they fully supported inclusion of the local green space.</p> <p>The site was not specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016).</b></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Pastureland contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Open pastureland does have an informal recreation function however, it could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Located on the western periphery of the village, the area's rural character provides a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and conservation area. It has an informal recreation role and provides a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 152</b> (1.45 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>White Ponds Wood</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Red</b>	Site is not connected to the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Red</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G57) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This woodland is in the ownership with the Woodland Trust. Public footpaths from the village lead to the wood making it accessible to the local community. Beyond village framework west of the Recreation Ground and Cowslip Meadow. The site has wildlife interest offering a tranquil location outside of the village. Site meets test for only LGS.</i></p> <p>The consultation in 2013 drew 1 support. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 152</b> (1.45 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>White Ponds Wood</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Not connected to the village
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 152</b> (1.45 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>White Ponds Wood</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 152 (1.45 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> White Ponds Wood	<b>Parish</b> Steeple Morden
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?		<b>Reasons:</b> Land is not adjacent to the development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 153</b> (3 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Tween Town Wood</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Red</b>	Site is not connected to the village. <b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Red</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PC22) with the council's assessment (in 2012):</p> <p><i>This wood is in the ownership with the Woodland Trust and is located to the north of the village well outside village framework. There are no public footpaths from the village and it is not in the Council's judgement reasonably close to the community it serves. Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS.</i></p> <p>There was objection during the 2013 consultation which was:  Steeple Morden Parish Council objects to rejection of Tween Town Wood as a LGS. Strongly believe that wood should be designated because</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Village contributed to purchase of woodland along with Guilden Morden.</i></li> <li><i>Name means between towns so not surprising it is not near village. Well used by village community.</i></li> <li><i>Site owned by Woodland Trust and other wood has been included as LGS. This woodland is well used by the local community as a recreation space for informal use. It is woodland that was planted by the local community to celebrate the millennium. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></li> </ol> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was:</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 153</b> (3 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Tween Town Wood</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Steeple Morden</b>
	Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Not connected to the village
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 153 (3 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Tween Town Wood	<b>Parish</b> Steeple Morden
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 153 (3 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Tween Town Wood	<b>Parish</b> Steeple Morden
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?		<b>Reasons:</b> Land is not adjacent to the development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 154</b> (0.62 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS160) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grass area with mature trees, seating, bus shelter and the village sign. Within the Conservation Area and forms part of the setting of Listed Buildings. Enhances character of village and provides a tranquil area. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the village Conservation area. There is a grade II listed Smithy building on the Green. The site provides a setting for a war memorial and 2 grade II listed dwellings (No.15 The Green and No 11, (Merrythought), The

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 154</b> (0.62 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
		Green.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small area of land that can support only a limited informal amenity and recreational function.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a centrally located amenity area in a small village and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village and is of historic value to the community. Site is in the conservation area and is the location of a grade II listed smithy and contributes to the setting of other listed buildings. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 155</b> (1.13 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Cricket Pitch</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS161) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grass area surrounded by hedgerows, with public access from Fowlmere Road. Provides a recreational use for the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge at the west side of the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties next to it on Fowlmere Road. The site is moderately attractive. It is a grassy site that is well maintained with seating and huts and is fully enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows around the perimeter of the site.



SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 155</b> (1.13 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Cricket Pitch</b>	Parish <b>Thriplow</b>
		However it does not have any outstanding distinctively aesthetically pleasing features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a cricket pitch that is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The cricket pitch is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 156</b> (0.27 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with trees within residential area..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS162) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grass area surrounded by hedgerows, with public access from Fowlmere Road. Provides a recreational use for the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area with trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge at the west side of the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties surrounding it on Sheraldscroft Lane and Fowlmere Road as well as 2 grade II listed dwellings. The site is moderately attractive. It is a grassy site that is fully enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 156</b> (0.27 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
		around the perimeter of the site. It contains children's play equipment but there are no distinctive, aesthetically pleasing features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area beside the village's main arterial road shielded by trees and shrubs providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 157</b> (0.09 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Spinney</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS168) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Wooded area within the Conservation Area and protected by Tree Preservation Order. Enhances character of village and may have biodiversity value. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.                      It was individual respondent (Rep no. 64855) who objected</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 157</b>                      (0.09 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>The Spinney</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Thriplow</b></p>
	<p>to the designation as LGS. The comments are summarised as:  <i>Idea for green space site comes from one man who wished to cease mowing and tidying up his border to this track and wants to stop land being an entry and exit to Pegs Close. It is not used by members of the public for any reason whatsoever and if made LGS will be forced to remain so thereby shutting off access to Pegs Close.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council’s <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 66.  <i>SC4C.xlix.</i>  <i>Would the Spinney, Thriplow meet the designation criteria for a LGS as it could prejudice access to Pegs Close? (NH/12-157).</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that this site should remain in the Plan as LGS. This site was submitted by Thriplow Parish Council. It is an existing PVAA which enhances the character of this part of the village. It leads to an open field, known as Pegs Close which is to the south and is within the Green Belt. – this site was proposed too by the Parish Council but was not designated as LGS as the Council has not duplicated protection if a site is already within the Green Belt. The Council assessed the Spinney site and considered that it meets the test for LGS.</i></p> <p><i>An objection was received by the owner of the land who considered that by being identified as LGS the track through the Spinney that leads to Pegs Close would be forced to be shut off thereby no longer providing an access to the field. LGS designation does not change the access arrangements to a piece of land nor does it alter the management arrangements for the land. LGS would protect the land from development. The designation of this site as a LGS would not prejudice access to the adjoining area.</i></p> <p><i>The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.</i></p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 157</b> (0.09 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Spinney</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a woodland area that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area and pastureland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 157</b> (0.09 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Spinney</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework	
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this	
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to village character.	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity	
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area is located beside a main road.		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 158</b> (0.34 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Open Land, Church Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Open grassland makes significant contribution to the character of the village and that of the listed buildings opposite..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS169) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Open grass area within the Conservation Area and forms part of the setting of Listed Buildings, including Grade II* Manor Farmhouse. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for</b>	



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 158</b> (0.34 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Open Land, Church Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
<p><b>PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b>                      The representation (rep no. 64886) was from individuals who objected and proposed amending the boundary. Their comments are summarised as:  <i>We own 3.5 strip on southern edge of site which is only access to the land we own to the south east of the site. It is a vehicular access track which is regularly used, so the Local Green Space Site should have it's boundary amended to exclude that strip.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</i></p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 66-7.                      SC4C.I.  <i>Should consideration be given to exclusion of the 3.5m strip of land on the southern edge of the LGS designation relating to Open Land, Church Street, Thriplow as it provides the only means of access to land to the south-east and is in regular use by the owners who may require to resurface the land in order to maintain the access route? (NH/12-158)</i></p> <p><i>The Council considers that this site should remain in the Plan as LGS. This site was submitted by Thriplow Parish Council for consideration as a LGS. It is an existing PVAA and forms part of the setting of adjoining listed buildings including the Grade II* Manor Farmhouse. The Council considers that the site meets the test for LGS.</i></p> <p><i>The owners of a strip of land on the southern edge of the site use this as vehicular access to land they own to the south east of the site. They have proposed that the boundary of the LGS be amended to remove their land. The Council does not consider that the boundary needs to be amended as LGS designation would not prevent the owners from continuing to use their land for access but would prevent the whole site from being developed.</i></p>		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the conservation area and provide a setting for the grade II listed Manor Farmhouse on Church Street. However, it is not

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 158</b> (0.34 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Open Land, Church Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
		considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The land has no recreational value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The open land looking out onto open fields provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Grassland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and conservation area. It provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 158 (0.34 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Open Land, Church Street	<b>Parish</b> Thriplow
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Open grassland makes significant contribution to the character of the village and that of the listed buildings opposite.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Informal Open Space	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village. Forms part of the setting of three Listed Buildings opposite the site.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area is located beside a main road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of three Listed buildings.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 159 (0.63 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Dower House Woodland Area	<b>Parish</b> Thriplow
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS170) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Wooded area within the Conservation Area and forms part of the setting of Listed Buildings. Enhances character of village and may have biodiversity value. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the conservation area and provides a setting for the grade II listed dwellings at 26 and 30 Lower Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 159</b> (0.63 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Dower House Woodland Area</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Thriplow</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a woodland area that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 159 (0.63 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Dower House Woodland Area	<b>Parish</b> Thriplow
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Wooded area	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Site forms part of the setting of Dower House which is a Grade II Listed Building.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertain of tranquillity
	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area is located beside a main road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of Dower House, a Grade II Listed buildings..
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 160</b> (0.03 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land adjacent 6 High Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Toft</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Central village green area at the heart of the village.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G58) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>Area of land at junction of High Street with Mill Lane and Comberton Road forming a small green with seating around a tree. Accessible to the public. Site is within the village framework and could be considered as a PVAA. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 2 supports.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village Green area at the heart of the village. Contributes to village character and amenity
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Includes the grade II listed village pump. Lies within a conservation area.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 160</b> (0.03 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Land adjacent 6 High Street</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Toft</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Although its size limits recreation uses, it does perform as the village green, for various village activities
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a centrally located amenity area in a small village beside the village's main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village and is of historic value to the community. Site is in the conservation area and is the location of a grade II listed village pump. As the village green it performs an important recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 161</b> (0.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Toft</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground & play area.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G59) with the council's assessment (in 2012): <i>The site is outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. It is an area of grassland, the recreation ground for Toft and therefore has a recreational value for the village. Site meets test for only LGS.</i> The consultation in 2013 drew 2 supports. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 161</b> (0.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Toft</b>
	<p><b>PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b>                      The representation was from Toft Parish Council (Rep no. 64908) who objected and proposed an amended boundary, Their comments are summarised as:  <i>Support inclusion of Recreation Ground as Local Green Space however the Community Land is not shown on map, and this should also be included in Local Plan as a LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's response in 2015 was:                      Extension of LGS in village not previously submitted. New sites cannot be considered as part of this consultation.</p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)</b> on page 67:  <i>SC4C.li.</i>  <i>Should the boundary of the Toft Recreation Ground LGS designation be amended so as to include the area of community land adjacent to the Recreation Ground, or alternatively, be designated separately as a LGS? (NH/12-161).</i></p> <p><i>Toft Recreation Ground was included in the Submission Local Plan as a proposed LGS and is owned by the Parish Council. During the targeted consultation with land owners of proposed LGS the Parish Council was asked for their views on the designation of their land. They supported it but suggested that an additional nearby area of Community Land in Toft be included as LGS in the Local Plan. The opportunity to submit new areas for LGS to the Council for assessment was during the earlier consultations on the Local Plan and therefore the Council did not consider this new separate area of green space. If such a site had been assessed there would not have been a chance for others to comment on its inclusion in the plan and it had been made clear that the targeted consultation was only to consider existing proposed sites.</i></p> <p><i>The Council does not consider that an additional site should be added or that the existing LGS can be amended as the two areas do not appear to have shared boundaries.</i></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground & play area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge at the west side of the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties to the south of the site and on the High Street. The site is modestly attractive. It is a grassy site that is enclosed on 3 sides by mature trees and hedgerows around the perimeter of the site. It contains children's play

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 161</b> (0.17 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Toft</b>
		equipment but there are no distinctive, aesthetically pleasing features.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have a recreation function, providing a formal pitch area and a play area.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 162</b> (0.01 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Small green area immediately to west of G58</b>	Parish <b>Toft</b>
<p>Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
		<b>Reasons:</b> Central village green area at the heart of the village.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<p><b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.</p> <p><b>Reasons:</b> No</p>
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		<p>he site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS171) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Small area of land at junction of High Street with Mill Lane and Comberton Road forming a small green. Accessible to the public. Site is within the village framework. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village Green area at the heart of the village. Contributes to village character and amenity
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Vilsually part of the village green and within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 162</b> (0.01 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Small green area immediately to west of G58</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Toft</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Compliments the village green, but smaller area.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a centrally located amenity area in a small village beside the village's main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the conservation area. Complimenting the village green it performs and important recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 163 (0.37 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments	Parish Toft
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Red</b>	Site is not connected to the village. <b>Reasons:</b> Allotment.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Red</b>	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS172) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Allotments to north of Toft provide a recreational use for the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was:            Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.            The representation (no. 64909) was from Toft Parish Council who support the designation.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 163 (0.37 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments	Parish Toft
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>		<b>Reasons:</b>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Not connected to the village
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 163</b> (0.37 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments		Parish Toft
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.	
Is the site undeveloped?			
	<b>Details:</b> Allotment		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>			
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>	
	<b>Reasons:</b>		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>			<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 163 (0.37 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Allotments	<b>Parish</b> Toft
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?		<b>Reasons:</b> Land is not adjacent to the development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 164</b> (0.47 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS174) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Majority of the site is an existing PVAA. Grassed area enclosed by trees. Includes benches, the village sign and is crossed by footpaths. Used by community for events and for informal recreational uses. Open area within the Conservation Area that is part of the setting of the listed buildings along Green Side and helps create a less urban character in this area of the village where many buildings are located immediately adjacent to the road. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the conservation area

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 164</b> (0.47 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Village Green</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>		and contains the village sign. The site provides a setting for 3 listed buildings – a telephone kiosk and 2 dwellings (no.19 The Gables (Greenside) and no.5 Greenside). However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a centrally located village green beside a main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village and forms part of the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It performs a recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 165</b> (0.31 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Gault</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green..	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS175) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grassed area with trees, benches and children's play equipment and is crossed by footpaths. Used by community for events and for informal recreational uses. Open area within the Conservation Area that helps create a less urban character in this area of the village where many buildings are located immediately adjacent to the road. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the Conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 165</b> (0.31 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Gault</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a centrally located village green beside a main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village and forms part of the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It performs a recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 166</b> (0.01 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Old Pond Site</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area on main arterial road.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS178) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Grassed area with mature trees and a bench. Adjacent to PVAA which includes grade II listed building and within Conservation Area. Parish Council has indicated the site has an abundance of wildlife including frogs and toads. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass beside a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site lies just outside the village Conservation area although it does provide it with a setting. The site provides a setting for the grade II listed dwelling No 33 (Berry House) on the High Street. However, it is not considered the land has

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 166</b> (0.01 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Old Pond Site</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
		a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area beside a main road that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a centrally located amenity area beside a main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Abundance of frogs and toads are reported, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area adjacent to a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has a limited amenity function and biodiversity / wildlife function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 166 (0.01 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Old Pond Site	<b>Parish</b> Waterbeach
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Small amenity area on main arterial road	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area on main road.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area on main road.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area on main arterial road	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 167</b> (0.28 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Barracks Frontage</b>	Parish <b>Waterbeach</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Frontage to barracks.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves</b> ?	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS180) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Triangular grassy area with trees at the entrance to Waterbeach Barracks, which is screened from Denny End Road by a hedge. It is part of the green setting of the entrance to the barracks and has in the past had an aircraft upon it. It is part of a larger grassed area that forms the entrance to Waterbeach Barracks. Meets the tests for LGS.</i>                      The council's recommendation in 2013 was:                      Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p> <p>One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> and in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b>.</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<p><b>Site reference</b>  <b>NH/12 – 167</b>                      (0.28 Hectares)</p>	<p><b>Name of Site</b>  <b>Barracks Frontage</b></p>	<p><b>Parish</b>  <b>Waterbeach</b></p>
<p>The representation was from the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (Rep 64970) who objected to the designation as LGS. Their comments are summarised as:  <i>Object to proposed designation. There are positive opportunities to achieve sustainable pedestrian, cycling and public transport links between proposed Waterbeach New Town, Waterbeach and Cambridge. May require some highway re-alignment at Barracks frontage. Master planning process has begun and will fully incorporate objectives of achieving high quality and attractive green spaces in this location. In this context proposed designation could undermine sustainable development objectives of Submission Draft Local Plan, including Policy SS/5, and does not meet the criteria of the NPPF.</i></p> <p>The council responded in 2015:  <i>Defence Infrastructure Organisation objects to designation of the site, as it falls within the Area Action Plan area for the new town, and could be important for creating sustainable transport links. DIO says that the area should be considered as part of the wider masterplan. The LGS does lie within the area proposed to be covered by an Area Action Plan for the new town. On reflection, it is considered that the AAP process is the appropriate mechanism for deciding the future of land within its boundary and the LGS should be deleted from the Local Plan Policies Map.</i>  <b>Recommendation:</b> Delete the Barracks Frontage, Waterbeach LGS See Map 7 showing deleted site.</p> <p>The site was specifically addressed in the Council's <b>Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016): SC4C.ii.</b>  <i>Would the LGS designation at the Barracks Frontage, Waterbeach compromise the future achievement of sustainable pedestrian, cycling and public transport links between the proposed Waterbeach New Town, Waterbeach and Cambridge? Would the designation therefore be consistent with sustainable development principles? (NH/12-167)</i></p> <p><i>The Council has since the Local Plan was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. Defence Infrastructure Organisation objected to the designation of the site during the targeted consultation with landowners of LGS. The reason stated for their opposition was that as it falls within the Area Action Plan (AAP) area for the new town proposed north of Waterbeach village, and could be important for creating sustainable transport links. DIO stated that the area should be considered as part of the wider masterplan.</i></p> <p><i>The LGS does lie within the area proposed for the new town</i></p>		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 167</b> (0.28 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Barracks Frontage</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
	<p><i>and in the report to the South Cambridgeshire Planning Portfolio Holder on 10 March 2015 it was agreed to delete the Barracks Frontage, Waterbeach LGS (see Note to the Inspectors on the Targeted Consultation with Landowners of LGS 139). At that time it was considered that the AAP process would be able to decide the future of the land within its boundary.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has recently proposed modifications to the local plan in relation to the proposed new town at Waterbeach. It is proposed that rather than an AAP a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) should be prepared for this strategic site. An SPD could not designate a LGS however it could look at the relationship between the new town and the edge of the village which includes this area. The SPD could therefore provide an appropriate mechanism for deciding the future of land within its boundary and the Council considers that the deletion of this LGS from the Local Plan Policies Map is still a valid modification/ way forward.</i></p> <p><i>Modification to the Policies Map:</i>  <i>Delete LGS NH/12-167</i></p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Triangular grass area with trees at the entrance to the barracks, which is screened from Denny End Road by a hedge. It is part of the green setting of the entrance to the barracks and has in the past had an aircraft upon it. It is part of a larger grassed area that forms the entrance to Waterbeach Barracks. It makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is part of a larger grassed area that forms the entrance to Waterbeach Barracks which has been part of Waterbeach's history since the war.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area beside a main road that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is beside a main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area at the entrance to the former barracks that makes some contribution to the

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 167</b> (0.28 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Barracks Frontage</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
suitable for designation as LGS?		character and amenity of the village. It has a limited amenity function. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was deleted (in March 2015) as it forms part of the area allocated for the new town and will be addressed through the masterplanning process.]
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 168</b> (0.09 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Coronation Close</b>	Parish <b>Waterbeach</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		No
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area with trees on main road.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	<p>The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b>. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS184) with the council's assessment (in 2013):  <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Grassed area with trees and post box at the entrance to Coronation Close. Glimpses of countryside beyond the houses on the southern side of Cambridge Road. Helps maintain the rural character of this area of the village which borders open countryside. Could be used for informal recreation. Meets the tests for LGS.</i></p> <p>The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.</p> <p>The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.</p>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees in front of residential housing makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 168</b> (0.09 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Coronation Close</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
<b>Historical significance</b>		this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area in trees beside a main road in front of residential housing and could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a small grassland area in trees beside a main road in front of residential housing and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area adjacent to a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It has a limited amenity function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 168</b> (0.09 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Coronation Close</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Small amenity area with trees on main road	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>No</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has not previously been a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area with trees.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area with trees on main road	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area with trees on a road	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area supports the village's character.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 169</b> (0.12 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>School frontage</b>	Parish <b>Waterbeach</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS187) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grassed area with a tree at the entrance to the primary school, separated from the High Street by a low fence and hedge. Adjacent to PVAA which includes grade II listed building and within Conservation Area. Helps create a less urban character in this area of the village where many buildings are located immediately adjacent to the road. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees on school premises makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> The site is in the village Conservation area. The site provides a setting for a grade II



SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 169</b> (0.12 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>School frontage</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Waterbeach</b>
<b>Historical significance</b>		listed dwelling - No 20 (Wiles Cottage) on the High Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees on school premises could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees on school premises beside a main road does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area adjacent to a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has a limited amenity function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 169 (0.12 Hectares)	Name of Site School frontage	Parish Waterbeach
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	Site is within development framework
Is the site undeveloped?	<b>Green</b>	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this
	<b>Details:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Details:</b> It has previously been designated as a PVAA.
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees.	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site is important to village character.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village.	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Details:</b> Site not in a tranquil location.
	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass with trees beside a main road.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 170</b> (1.09 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground / play area</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Whaddon</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		<b>Important Countryside Frontage</b>
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Grassland area which makes important contribution to the character and amenity of the village by seperating the western and eastern parts of the village in a conspicuous location along the road..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS188) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Grassed recreation ground that includes play equipment. Partially screened from Church Street by mature trees along this boundary which is an Important Countryside Frontage. Adjacent to village hall. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations</b>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference <b>NH/12 – 170</b> (1.09 Hectares)	Name of Site <b>Recreation Ground / play area</b>		Parish <b>Whaddon</b>
	<p><b>for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.</b></p> <p>The representation (no.64885) was from Whaddon Parish Council who were in support of the LGS designation. Their comments are summarised as:  <i>Play area and recreation ground are very important to Whaddon village for both social and recreational purposes. Only publically owned green space in village, has a footpath running through it, is well used by residents and wider local community. Various social events are held on the recreation ground. Also has active cricket club. Play area is extremely popular with residents and also families from local area. Parish Council would like to see this important area protected for its residents so that they can continue to benefit from it.</i></p>		
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b></p>	<b>Green</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village. The site does enhance the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge at the north west corner of the linear village and adds to the setting of the residential properties to the south of the site and on Church Street, particularly the Grade II listed Nos 126, 128, (The School House), and 130 (Greenacres) on Church Street, No 100, (Rose Cottage), Church Street and the Grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary on Church Street. It offers long views through the centre of the village further along Church Street. The site is attractive. It is a grassy site that is enclosed on 2 of its 3 sides by mature trees and new trees on the Church Street side. It is a well maintained, scenic, neatly laid, symmetrical cricket pitch, a special open area with well coordinated children's play area and pavilion facilities.</p>	
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b></p>	<b>Amber</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> The site is in close proximity (50m distance) and provides a partial setting for: the grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary on Church Street. The site provides a setting for the grade II listed No. 100, (Rose Cottage) on Church Street and Nos 126, 128, (The School House), and 130 (Greenacres) on Church Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.</p>	
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b></p>	<b>Green</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.</p>	
<p>Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b></p>	<b>Amber</b>	<p><b>Reasons:</b> It is open grassland that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the</p>	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 170</b> (1.09 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Recreation Ground / play area</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Whaddon</b>
		enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

Site reference NH/12 – 171 (0.23 Hectares)	Name of Site Newton Road Play Area	Parish Whittlesford
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>• Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
Is the site <b>local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.  <b>Reasons:</b> Amenity area beside residential area..
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		<b>If yes</b> , map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b>
Is the site in <b>close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS191) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Rectangular grassed area bordered by hedges used for recreational purposes. Remainder of site looks to include back gardens. Boundary to be amended so that site only includes the rectangular grassed area.</i> The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Only rectangular grassed area meets the tests for LGS. Remainder of site does not meet the tests for LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Small amenity area of grass makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No historical significance attached to this site.

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 171</b> (0.23 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>Newton Road Play Area</b>		<b>Parish</b> <b>Whittlesford</b>
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It does have an amenity function on the periphery of the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is open grassland that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area adjacent to a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It has a limited amenity function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>			<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 171 (0.23 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Newton Road Play Area	<b>Parish</b> Whittlesford
Is the site within the development framework of the village?	<b>Red</b>	Site is outside of development framework.
Is the site undeveloped?		
	<b>Details:</b> Amenity area beside residential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		<b>Details:</b>
<b>Criteria tests for PVAA</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for PVAA</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 3 for PVAA</b> Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of development framework.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?</b>		<b>No</b>



SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

<b>Site reference</b> NH/12 – 171 (0.23 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> Newton Road Play Area	<b>Parish</b> Whittlesford
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?	<b>Green</b>	
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	<b>Red</b>	
<b>Criteria tests for ICF</b>		
<b>Criteria Test 1 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>Criteria Test 2 for ICF</b> Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		<b>Details:</b>
	<b>Reasons:</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Does the site warrant designation as ICF?		<b>Reasons:</b> The land is not adjacent to the development framework
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?</b>		<b>No</b>

SITE ASSESSMENTS

**PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN**

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 172</b> (3.63 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Lawn</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Whittlesford</b>
Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protected Village Amenity Area;</li> <li>Important Countryside Frontage.</li> </ul>		Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	<b>Green</b>	Site does not have planning permission
<b>Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land</b>	<b>Green</b>	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.
<b>Reasons:</b> Recreational ground including formal pitch area, play area, tennis courts & parking area).		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	<b>If yes,</b> map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.  <b>Reasons:</b> No	
<b>Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?</b>	<b>Green</b>	Close to residential properties
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	<b>Green</b>	Site submitted by Parish Council
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the <b>Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014</b> . It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS192) with the council's assessment (in 2013): <i>Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Large grassed area with trees, benches, play equipment and sports facilities. Helps to maintain the rural character of the village. Meets the tests for LGS.</i> The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.  The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.  A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in <b>appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014</b> but not in <b>appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015</b> .	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

<b>Site reference</b> <b>NH/12 – 172</b> (3.63 Hectares)	<b>Name of Site</b> <b>The Lawn</b>	<b>Parish</b> <b>Whittlesford</b>
	The representation (no. 64891) from Whittlesford Parish Council was in support of the LGS designation. It can be summarised as: <i>Currently only recreational open space in village and accommodates numerous football teams from 5-year olds to adult. There is a full sized cricket pitch plus practice nets supporting an active cricket club and two hard-surface tennis courts. Local Primary school, adjacent, also use the facility for many activities. There is also an enclosed play area, sports wall and 'trim trail'. All these activities are supported by a well equipped pavilion. The Lawn Trust Management Committee run a recreation programme in a professional manner that the Parish Council helps with financially.</i>	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Beauty</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Grassland and trees contributes to the character and amenity of the village.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Historical significance</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site is outside of the village Conservation area although it does provide a setting for the Conservation area and it provides the setting for grade II listed dwellings such as Lawn Cottage and no.3 Storeys Cottage Church Lane and a grade II listed telephone kiosk and the grade II listed No 2 (The Shrubberies), on Duxford Road. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.  There is a village war memorial - the Stone cross at the junction of North Road and Church Lane, which commemorates WW1, WW2 at the corner of the site.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Recreational value</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Tranquillity</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> It is a centrally located amenity area in a small village beside the village's main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: <b>Richness of wildlife</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?	<b>Green</b>	<b>Reasons:</b> Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.
<b>Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

## SITE ASSESSMENTS